TOPICS IN ENUMERATIVE ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY
LECTURE 10

A. GIVENTAL

Recall the the system of differential equations

$$h\partial_\alpha S = \phi_\alpha \circ S \tag{1}$$

has a basis of solutions (we sum over repeated Greek indices)

$$S_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\gamma}\phi_\gamma$$

defined by the bilinear form $S_{\mu\nu}$. It can be written invariantly as

$$\langle\phi|S|\psi\rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{d\in\Gamma}q^d(\phi,t,\dots,t,\frac{\psi}{h-c})_{0,n+2,d}$$

where the undefined term $(n=2,d=0)$ is defined to be $\langle\phi,\psi\rangle$.

By Poincaré duality we can also view S as taking values in $H^*(X\times X)$, or think of S as a matrix. Remember that S depends on the variables t, q, and the parameter h.

Given S we can in principle recover the quantum multiplication $\phi_\alpha\circ$. In particular, we will begin to find a systematic way to derive relations in the quantum cohomology ring. This will allow us to eventually compute the (small) quantum cohomology ring of generalized flag manifolds (G/B).

In this lecture, we derive various properties of S. We see what the implication of the divisor equation is and we see how to restrict the differential equation 1 to $t=0$ (for small Q.C.) and how the solution S restricts. It is in this setting that we are able to prove that operators that annihilate the first row of S give rise to relations in the small Q.C. ring.

If we write $t = t_0\mathbf{1}+t_1p_1+\cdots+t_rp_r+\sum_{\deg(\alpha)\geq 2}t_\alpha\phi_\alpha$ then intuitively, the divisor equation tells us that the dependence of S on t and q only involves $q_ie^{t_i}$ and t_α. We formalize this using auxiliary variables $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_r$ with $\tau p := \tau_1p_1+\cdots+\tau_rp_r$. We wish to compute

$$h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}-q_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}\right)S(t+\tau p,q)$$

and then apply the divisor equation. First recall that the effect of a t_i differentiation on S is to replace one of the t's (in this case one of the $t+\tau p$'s) by p_i. The effect of the $q_i\partial/\partial q_i$ differentiation on $q^d = q_1^{d_1}\cdots q_r^{d_r}$ is to multiply by d_i (recall that we write $d = d_1p_1+\cdots d_rp_r$). Recall that the divisor equation when we have gravitational descendants is

$$(T_1,\dots,T_n,p)_{g,n+1,d} = \langle p,d\rangle(T_1,\dots,T_n)_{g,n,d}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(T_1,\dots,pDT_i,\dots,T_n)_{g,n,d}.$$

Date: May 5, 1998.

Notes taken by J. Bryan. I'm afraid this lecture is going be sketchier than my previous one due to time constraints.

Finally, we will use the fact that

$$D(\frac{h}{h-c}) = \frac{1}{h-c}.$$

Combining these facts we can compute that

$$h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} - q_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}\right) S_{\mu\nu}(t+\tau p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_d q^d(\phi_\mu, t+\tau p, \ldots, \frac{p_i\phi_\nu}{h-c}).$$

We can write the above invariantly as

$$h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right) S(t+\tau p, q) = S(t+\tau p, q) \cup (\mathbf{1}\otimes p) \tag{2}$$

where the cup product is in $H^*(X\times X)$.

Theorem 1. *The above formula implies that*

$$S_{\mu\nu}(t+\tau p, q) = S_{\mu\epsilon}(t, qe^\tau)\eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'}\langle e^{p\tau/h}\phi_{\epsilon'}, \phi_\nu\rangle$$

or, written more invariantly

$$S(t+\tau p, q) = S(t, qe^\tau)\cup(1\otimes e^{\tau p/h})$$

where the cup product is in $H^*(X\times X)$. We can also phrase this in terms of the bilinear form:

$$\langle\phi|S(t+\tau p, q)|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi|S(t, qe^\tau)|\psi e^{\tau p/h}\rangle.$$

Proof: This follows because the equations are true for $\tau = 0$ and both sides of the equation satisfy the same 1st order O.D.E., namely Equation 2.

Exercise. Define a bilinear form $V(t,q,x,y)$ by the formula

$$V_{\alpha\beta}(t,q,x,y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_d q^d(\frac{\phi_a}{x-c}, t, \ldots, t, \frac{\phi_\beta}{y-c})_{0,n+2,d}$$

with the $n = d = 0$ term defined by $\langle\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta\rangle/(x+y)$. Prove that V satisfies

1. $\partial_0 V_{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = \partial_0 S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x)\eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'}\partial_0 S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y)$. Hint: use WDVV.
2. Derive from (1) that
$$S_{\epsilon\alpha}(h)\eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'}S_{\epsilon'\beta}(-h) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}.$$
3. By applying the string equation to (1) prove that
$$V_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{x+y}S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x)\eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'}S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y).$$

Remarks: The meaning of (2) is the following. While S satisfies $h\partial_\alpha S = \phi_\alpha\circ S$, (2) implies that the formal adjoint S^* satisfies $-h\partial_\alpha S^* = \phi_\alpha\circ S^*$. We also remark that *a priori* it is not clear that $1/(x+y)$ divides $S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x)\eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'}S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y)$ (thought of as power series in $1/x$ and $1/y$), but (3) tells us that is does.

Our system of O.D.E.'s lives on the space H which can be written

$$H = H^{*\ (\text{not } 2)}(X,\mathbb{C})\oplus H^2(X,\mathbb{C})/2\pi i H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The small quantum cohomology ring restricts the parameter space H to the second factor in the above decomposition. The restricted system of O.D.E.'s is

$$hq_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}S = p_i\hat{\circ}S$$

where $\hat{\circ}$ denotes the product in the small quantum cohomology ring:

$$\langle \phi_\alpha, p_i \hat{\circ} \phi_\beta \rangle := \sum_d q^d (\phi_\alpha, p_i, \phi_\beta)_{0,3,d}$$

We can find the fundamental solution to the restricted ODE essentially by restricting our fundamental solution to the unrestricted equations.

Theorem 2. *The fundamental solution to the equations* $hq_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} S = p_i \hat{\circ} S$ *is determined by the matrix*[1]

$$S_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{d \in \Lambda} q^d (\phi_\mu, \frac{\phi_\nu e^{p \log q/h}}{h - c})_{0,2,d}$$

and the $n = d = 0$ *term is defined to be* $\langle \phi_\mu, \phi_\nu e^{p \log q/h} \rangle$.

Proof: We need to restrict our previous fundamental solution to the H^2 part of H. One way to restrict S to H^2 is to set $t = 0$ and $q = 1$ in the expression $S(t + \tau p, q)$ so that the variable τ parameterizes the second cohomology. From Theorem 1 we have

$$S_{\mu\nu}(t + \tau p, q)|_{t=0,q=1} = \sum_d e^{d\tau} (\phi_\mu, \frac{\phi_\nu e^{\tau p/h}}{h - c})_{0,2,d}.$$

Since we would rather parameterize the $d_i p_i$ cohomology class with $q_i^{d_i}$ rather than $e^{d_i p_i}$, we can substitute into the above equation $\tau = \log q$ to get the theorem. Note that we should then think of q_i and $\log q_i$ as independent variables and assign them degrees $c_1(p_i)$ and 0 respectively in order to make S homogeneous. We could also prove the theorem directly, using the same argument that we used to show that the unrestricted fundamental solution is a solution (see previous lecture).

We extract the first *row* of S and show that operators that annihilate it give rise to relations in the small quantum cohomology ring. We define $J_\nu := S_{0\nu}$ or, more invariantly, J is characterized by:

$$\langle J, \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1} | S | \phi \rangle.$$

Using the proposition and the string equation we can write an explicit formula for J:

$$J = e^{p \log q/h} \left(1 + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \neq 0} q^d ev_* (\frac{1}{h - c}) \right)$$

where $ev : X_{0,1,d} \to X$ is the evaluation map.

Example. In the case where $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$, J is

$$e^{p \log q/h} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{(p + h)^2 (p + 2h)^2 \cdots (p + dh)^2} \mod p^2.$$

The importance of J is the following key lemma:

[1] Warning: we use the same letter S to denote the fundamental solutions to the restricted equations and the unrestricted equations. It will hopefully clear from the context which S is which.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that*

$$D(hq_1\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1},\dots,hq_r\frac{\partial}{\partial q_r},q_1,\dots,q_r,h)$$

is an operator that is polynomial in the $hq\partial/\partial q$ variables and a power series in q and h, and suppose that $DJ=0$. Then

$$D(p_1\circ,\dots,p_r\circ,q_1,\dots,q_r,0)=0$$

is satisfied in $QH^*(X)$.

Remark:[2] If we consider $\mathcal{D}$, the space of differential operators on $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})/2\pi iH^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ then those operators that annihilate J form an ideal $(\mathrm{Ann}\,J)$ and we can consider

$$\mathcal{D}J=\frac{\mathcal{D}}{(\mathrm{Ann}\,J)}$$

as a $\mathcal{D}$-module. From this point of view $QH^*(X)$ is the ring of functions on the character variety of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}J$. In some sense, QH should really be called "semi-classical cohomology" rather than "quantum cohomology".

We can illustrate the phenomenon of the Lemma in ordinary cohomology (although it is somewhat trivial). In this case it says that if $D(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau})$ annihilates $e^{\tau p}$, then $D(p)=0$ in $H^*(X)$.

Proof of Lemma: We apply D to the whole matrix S. The hypothesis says that the first row of

$$DS=(D(p\circ,q,0)+o(h))S$$

vanishes. Since S is invertible the first row of $D(p\circ,q,0)+o(h)$ must also vanish. Said invariantly

$$\langle 1\circ D(p\circ,q,0),\phi\rangle=0\mod h$$

for all ϕ and so $D(p\circ,q,0)=0$.

[2] I do my best to regurgitate the correct words of this remark. I don't know D-modules at all, so I'm not sure if I got it right or not. -J.B.