

TOPICS IN ENUMERATIVE ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY
LECTURE 10

A. GIVENTAL

Recall the the system of differential equations

$$(1) \quad h\partial_\alpha S = \phi_\alpha \circ S$$

has a basis of solutions (we sum over repeated Greek indices)

$$S_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\mu\gamma}\phi_\gamma$$

defined by the bilinear form $S_{\mu\nu}$. It can be written invariantly as

$$\langle \phi | S | \psi \rangle := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d \in \Gamma} q^d (\phi, t, \dots, t, \frac{\psi}{h-c})_{0, n+2, d}$$

where the undefined term ($n = 2, d = 0$) is defined to be $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle$.

By Poincaré duality we can also view S as taking values in $H^*(X \times X)$, or think of S as a matrix. Remember that S depends on the variables t, q , and the parameter h .

Given S we can in principle recover the quantum multiplication $\phi_\alpha \circ$. In particular, we will begin to find a systematic way to derive relations in the quantum cohomology ring. This will allow us to eventually compute the (small) quantum cohomology ring of generalized flag manifolds (G/B).

In this lecture, we derive various properties of S . We see what the implication of the divisor equation is and we see how to restrict the differential equation 1 to $t = 0$ (for small Q.C.) and how the solution S restricts. It is in this setting that we are able to prove that operators that annihilate the first row of S give rise to relations in the small Q.C. ring.

If we write $t = t_0 \mathbf{1} + t_1 p_1 + \dots + t_r p_r + \sum_{\deg(\alpha) \geq 2} t_\alpha \phi_\alpha$ then intuitively, the divisor equation tells us that the dependence of S on t and q only involves $q_i e^{t_i}$ and t_α . We formalize this using auxiliary variables τ_1, \dots, τ_r with $\tau p := \tau_1 p_1 + \dots + \tau_r p_r$. We wish to compute

$$h \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} - q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right) S(t + \tau p, q)$$

and then apply the divisor equation. First recall that the effect of a t_i differentiation on S is to replace one of the t 's (in this case one of the $t + \tau p$'s) by p_i . The effect of the $q_i \partial / \partial q_i$ differentiation on $q^d = q_1^{d_1} \dots q_r^{d_r}$ is to multiply by d_i (recall that we write $d = d_1 p_1 + \dots + d_r p_r$). Recall that the divisor equation when we have

Date: July 1, 2005.

Notes taken by J. Bryan. I'm afraid this lecture is going be sketchier than my previous one due to time constraints.

gravitational descendants is

$$(T_1, \dots, T_n, p)_{g, n+1, d} = \langle p, d \rangle (T_1, \dots, T_n)_{g, n, d} + \sum_{i=1}^n (T_1, \dots, pDT_i, \dots, T_n)_{g, n, d}.$$

Finally, we will use the fact that

$$D\left(\frac{h}{h-c}\right) = \frac{1}{h-c}.$$

Combining these facts we can compute that

$$h \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} - q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right) S_{\mu\nu}(t + \tau p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_d q^d (\phi_\mu, t + \tau p, \dots, \frac{p_i \phi_\nu}{h-c}).$$

We can write the above invariantly as

$$(2) \quad h \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) S(t + \tau p, q) = S(t + \tau p, q) \cup (\mathbf{1} \otimes p)$$

where the cup product is in $H^*(X \times X)$.

Theorem 1. *The above formula implies that*

$$S_{\mu\nu}(t + \tau p, q) = S_{\mu\epsilon}(t, qe^\tau) \eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} \langle e^{p\tau/h} \phi_{\epsilon'}, \phi_\nu \rangle$$

or, written more invariantly

$$S(t + \tau p, q) = S(t, qe^\tau) \cup (1 \otimes e^{\tau p/h})$$

where the cup product is in $H^*(X \times X)$. We can also phrase this in terms of the bilinear form:

$$\langle \phi | S(t + \tau p, q) | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | S(t, qe^\tau) | \psi e^{\tau p/h} \rangle.$$

PROOF: This follows because the equations are true for $\tau = 0$ and both sides of the equation satisfy the same 1st order O.D.E., namely Equation 2.

Exercise. Define a bilinear form $V(t, q, x, y)$ by the formula

$$V_{\alpha\beta}(t, q, x, y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_d q^d \left(\frac{\phi_\alpha}{x-c}, t, \dots, t, \frac{\phi_\beta}{y-c} \right)_{0, n+2, d}$$

with the $n = d = 0$ term defined by $\langle \phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta \rangle / (x + y)$. Prove that V satisfies

$$(1) \quad \partial_0 V_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) = \partial_0 S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x) \eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} \partial_0 S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y). \text{ Hint: use WDVV.}$$

(2) Derive from (1) that

$$S_{\epsilon\alpha}(h) \eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} S_{\epsilon'\beta}(-h) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

(3) By applying the string equation to (1) prove that

$$V_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{x+y} S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x) \eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y).$$

Remarks: The meaning of (2) is the following. While S satisfies $h\partial_\alpha S = \phi_\alpha \circ S$, (2) implies that the formal adjoint S^* satisfies $-h\partial_\alpha S^* = \phi_\alpha \circ S^*$. We also remark that *a priori* it is not clear that $1/(x+y)$ divides $S_{\epsilon\alpha}(x) \eta^{\epsilon\epsilon'} S_{\epsilon'\beta}(y)$ (thought of as power series in $1/x$ and $1/y$), but (3) tells us that it does.

Our system of O.D.E.'s lives on the space H which can be written

$$H = H^* \text{ (not 2)}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) / 2\pi i H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The small quantum cohomology ring restricts the parameter space H to the second factor in the above decomposition. The restricted system of O.D.E.'s is

$$hq_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} S = p_i \hat{\circ} S$$

where $\hat{\circ}$ denotes the product in the small quantum cohomology ring:

$$\langle \phi_\alpha, p_i \hat{\circ} \phi_\beta \rangle := \sum_d q^d (\phi_\alpha, p_i, \phi_\beta)_{0,3,d}$$

We can find the fundamental solution to the restricted ODE essentially by restricting our fundamental solution to the unrestricted equations.

Theorem 2. *The fundamental solution to the equations $hq_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} S = p_i \hat{\circ} S$ is determined by the matrix¹*

$$S_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{d \in \Lambda} q^d (\phi_\mu, \frac{\phi_\nu e^{p \log q/h}}{h-c})_{0,2,d}$$

and the $n = d = 0$ term is defined to be $\langle \phi_\mu, \phi_\nu e^{p \log q/h} \rangle$.

PROOF: We need to restrict our previous fundamental solution to the H^2 part of H . One way to restrict S to H^2 is to set $t = 0$ and $q = 1$ in the expression $S(t + \tau p, q)$ so that the variable τ parameterizes the second cohomology. From Theorem 1 we have

$$S_{\mu\nu}(t + \tau p, q)|_{t=0, q=1} = \sum_d e^{d\tau} (\phi_\mu, \frac{\phi_\nu e^{\tau p/h}}{h-c})_{0,2,d}.$$

Since we would rather parameterize the $d_i p_i$ cohomology class with $q_i^{d_i}$ rather than $e^{d_i p_i}$, we can substitute into the above equation $\tau = \log q$ to get the theorem. Note that we should then think of q_i and $\log q_i$ as independent variables and assign them degrees $c_1(p_i)$ and 0 respectively in order to make S homogeneous. We could also prove the theorem directly, using the same argument that we used to show that the unrestricted fundamental solution is a solution (see previous lecture).

We extract the first row of S and show that operators that annihilate it give rise to relations in the small quantum cohomology ring. We define $J_\nu := S_{0\nu}$ or, more invariantly, J is characterized by:

$$\langle J, \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1} | S | \phi \rangle.$$

Using the proposition and the string equation we can write an explicit formula for J :

$$J = e^{p \log q/h} \left(1 + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \neq 0} q^d ev_* \left(\frac{1}{h-c} \right) \right)$$

where $ev : X_{0,1,d} \rightarrow X$ is the evaluation map.

Example. In the case where $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, J is

$$e^{p \log q/h} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^d}{(p+h)^2 (p+2h)^2 \cdots (p+dh)^2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

¹Warning: we use the same letter S to denote the fundamental solutions to the restricted equations and the unrestricted equations. It will hopefully be clear from the context which S is which.

The importance of J is the following key lemma:

Lemma 1. *Suppose that*

$$D(hq_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}, \dots, hq_r \frac{\partial}{\partial q_r}, q_1, \dots, q_r, h)$$

is an operator that is polynomial in the $hq\partial/\partial q$ variables and a power series in q and h , and suppose that $DJ = 0$. Then

$$D(p_1 \circ, \dots, p_r \circ, q_1, \dots, q_r, 0) = 0$$

is satisfied in $QH^(X)$.*

Remark:² If we consider \mathcal{D} , the space of differential operators on $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})/2\pi i H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ then those operators that annihilate J form an ideal $(\text{Ann } J)$ and we can consider

$$\mathcal{D}J = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{(\text{Ann } J)}$$

as a \mathcal{D} -module. From this point of view $QH^*(X)$ is the ring of functions on the character variety of the \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{D}J$. In some sense, QH should really be called “semi-classical cohomology” rather than “quantum cohomology”.

We can illustrate the phenomenon of the Lemma in ordinary cohomology (although it is somewhat trivial). In this case it says that if $D(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau})$ annihilates $e^{\tau p}$, then $D(p) = 0$ in $H^*(X)$.

PROOF OF LEMMA: We apply D to the whole matrix S . The hypothesis says that the first row of

$$DS = (D(p \circ, q, 0) + o(h))S$$

vanishes. Since S is invertible the first row of $D(p \circ, q, 0) + o(h)$ must also vanish. Said invariantly

$$\langle 1 \circ D(p \circ, q, 0), \phi \rangle = 0 \pmod{h}$$

for all ϕ and so $D(p \circ, q, 0) = 0$.

²I do my best to regurgitate the correct words of this remark. I don't know D-modules at all, so I'm not sure if I got it right or not. -J.B.