### Special values of *L*-functions

#### Ravi Fernando

#### University of California, Berkeley fernando@berkeley.edu

#### April 14, 2018

Ravi Fernando (UC Berkeley)

Special values of L-functions

April 14, 2018 1 / 19

We start by recalling the definition and some well-known properties of the Riemann zeta function. If  $s = \sigma + it$  is a complex number with  $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1$ , we define

We start by recalling the definition and some well-known properties of the Riemann zeta function. If  $s = \sigma + it$  is a complex number with  $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1$ , we define

$$\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^s},$$

where  $n^s := e^{s \log n}$ . This series is absolutely convergent, so it gives a well-defined function from a half-plane of  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^{s}} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^{s}} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$
$$= \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{s}}.$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^{s}} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$
$$= \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{s}}.$$

One must take some care when working with infinite products of infinite sums like this, but everything does converge correctly.

Riemann proved that the  $\zeta(s)$  has an *analytic continuation* to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ ; that is, a (necessarily unique) holomorphic function  $\zeta : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\} \to \mathbb{C}$  that restricts to our previously defined function on the region  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

Near s = 1,  $\zeta(s)$  blows up as  $\frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma + O(s-1)$ , where  $\gamma \approx 0.577$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Riemann also proved a *functional equation*:

$$\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\zeta(1-s).$$

Riemann also proved a *functional equation*:

$$\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\zeta(1-s).$$

Here,  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function, given by (the analytic continuation of)

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

The gamma function is much easier to understand than the zeta function; one of the breakout sessions this afternoon will study its properties.

## Special values of $\zeta(s)$

The zeta function encodes arithmetic information about the integers. Let's look at some of its particular values.

< < p>< < p>

## Special values of $\zeta(s)$

The zeta function encodes arithmetic information about the integers. Let's look at some of its particular values.

Some patterns to note:

• "Trivial" zeroes:  $\zeta(n) = 0$  when *n* is a negative even integer.

The zeta function encodes arithmetic information about the integers. Let's look at some of its particular values.

Some patterns to note:

- "Trivial" zeroes:  $\zeta(n) = 0$  when n is a negative even integer.
- The rational values for non-positive integer inputs are given by the formula  $\zeta(1-n) = -B_n/n$ , where  $B_n$  denotes the *n*th Bernoulli number.

The zeta function encodes arithmetic information about the integers. Let's look at some of its particular values.

Some patterns to note:

- "Trivial" zeroes:  $\zeta(n) = 0$  when *n* is a negative even integer.
- The rational values for non-positive integer inputs are given by the formula  $\zeta(1-n) = -B_n/n$ , where  $B_n$  denotes the *n*th Bernoulli number.
- For n > 0 even, ζ(n) is determined by ζ(1 − n) via the functional equation; it is a nonzero rational multiple of π<sup>2n</sup>.

The zeta function encodes arithmetic information about the integers. Let's look at some of its particular values.

Some patterns to note:

- "Trivial" zeroes:  $\zeta(n) = 0$  when *n* is a negative even integer.
- The rational values for non-positive integer inputs are given by the formula  $\zeta(1-n) = -B_n/n$ , where  $B_n$  denotes the *n*th Bernoulli number.
- For n > 0 even, ζ(n) is determined by ζ(1 − n) via the functional equation; it is a nonzero rational multiple of π<sup>2n</sup>.
- The values at positive odd integers seem to have no simple formula.

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)}{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}$$

This determines the value of  $\zeta$  on positive even integers but not positive odds:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)}{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}$$

This determines the value of  $\zeta$  on positive even integers but not positive odds:

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(-1/2) \zeta(-1)}{\pi^{-1} \Gamma(1)} = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \cdot (-2\sqrt{\pi}) \cdot (-1/12)}{\pi^{-1} \cdot 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)}{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}$$

This determines the value of  $\zeta$  on positive even integers but not positive odds:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2) &= \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(-1/2) \zeta(-1)}{\pi^{-1} \Gamma(1)} = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \cdot (-2\sqrt{\pi}) \cdot (-1/12)}{\pi^{-1} \cdot 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\ \zeta(3) &= \frac{\pi^1 \Gamma(-1) \zeta(-2)}{\pi^{-3/2} \Gamma(3/2)} = \frac{\pi^1 \cdot \infty \cdot 0}{\pi^{-3/2} \cdot (\sqrt{\pi}/2)} = \text{ indeterminate} \end{aligned}$$

Ravi Fernando (UC Berkeley)

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)}{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}$$

This determines the value of  $\zeta$  on positive even integers but not positive odds:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2) &= \frac{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(-1/2) \zeta(-1)}{\pi^{-1} \Gamma(1)} = \frac{\pi^{1/2} \cdot (-2\sqrt{\pi}) \cdot (-1/12)}{\pi^{-1} \cdot 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\ \zeta(3) &= \frac{\pi^1 \Gamma(-1) \zeta(-2)}{\pi^{-3/2} \Gamma(3/2)} = \frac{\pi^1 \cdot \infty \cdot 0}{\pi^{-3/2} \cdot (\sqrt{\pi}/2)} = \text{ indeterminate} \end{aligned}$$

(Here we are using the fact that  $\Gamma$  has poles at  $0,-1,-2,\ldots.)$ 

We now take a step back and modify the definition of  $\zeta(s)$  to construct a new function  $L(\chi, s)$ , which will turn out to encode information about a larger field than  $\mathbb{Q}$ , in this case  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Although we will focus on one function for concreteness, we emphasize that the following discussion can be made much more general.

We now take a step back and modify the definition of  $\zeta(s)$  to construct a new function  $L(\chi, s)$ , which will turn out to encode information about a larger field than  $\mathbb{Q}$ , in this case  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Although we will focus on one function for concreteness, we emphasize that the following discussion can be made much more general.

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if n is even.} \end{cases}$$

We now take a step back and modify the definition of  $\zeta(s)$  to construct a new function  $L(\chi, s)$ , which will turn out to encode information about a larger field than  $\mathbb{Q}$ , in this case  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Although we will focus on one function for concreteness, we emphasize that the following discussion can be made much more general.

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if n is even.} \end{cases}$$

This is a *Dirichlet character*; i.e. a completely multiplicative function on  $\mathbb{Z}$  that is periodic mod some m (here m = 4) and equal to zero on inputs that are not relatively prime to m.

We then define our L-function by the Dirichlet series

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$
  
= 1 -  $\frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} - \frac{1}{7^s} + \cdots$ 

This again converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

As with  $\zeta,$  this has an Euler product, but now different primes behave differently in it:

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{p=2} 1 \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} - \cdots \right)$$

As with  $\zeta,$  this has an Euler product, but now different primes behave differently in it:

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{p=2} 1 \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} - \cdots \right)$$
$$= \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s} \right) \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \left( \frac{1}{1 + 1/p^s} \right).$$

Now we are ready to see the connection between  $L(\chi, s)$  and the arithmetic of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Consider the product  $L(\chi, s) \cdot \zeta(s)$ :

Now we are ready to see the connection between  $L(\chi, s)$  and the arithmetic of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Consider the product  $L(\chi, s) \cdot \zeta(s)$ :

$$L(\chi, s)\zeta(s) = \prod_{p=2} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s} \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s}\right)^2$$
$$\cdot \prod_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{2s}}\right)$$

Now we are ready to see the connection between  $L(\chi, s)$  and the arithmetic of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Consider the product  $L(\chi, s) \cdot \zeta(s)$ :

$$L(\chi, s)\zeta(s) = \prod_{p=2} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s}\right)^2$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{2s}}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]\\ \text{prime ideals}}} \frac{1}{1 - 1/(N\mathfrak{p})^s}$$

Now we are ready to see the connection between  $L(\chi, s)$  and the arithmetic of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Consider the product  $L(\chi, s) \cdot \zeta(s)$ :

$$L(\chi, s)\zeta(s) = \prod_{p=2} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^s}\right)^2$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{2s}}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]\\ \text{prime ideals}}} \frac{1}{1 - 1/(N\mathfrak{p})^s}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]\\ \text{ideals}}} \frac{1}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

This is the natural analogue of  $\zeta(s)$  for the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , so we will call this function  $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}(s)$ . So we have shown the identity:

$$L(\chi, s) = rac{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}(s)}{\zeta(s)}.$$

This is the natural analogue of  $\zeta(s)$  for the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , so we will call this function  $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}(s)$ . So we have shown the identity:

$$L(\chi, s) = rac{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}(s)}{\zeta(s)}.$$

Remark: We can analogously define the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_K$  for an arbitrary number field K. When  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is an abelian (Galois) extension,  $\zeta_K$  will factor as a product of  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$  Dirichlet *L*-functions, including  $\zeta(s)$  itself.

This *L*-function also has:

• analytic continuation (to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , with no pole at 1)

This *L*-function also has:

- analytic continuation (to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , with no pole at 1)
- a slightly different functional equation:

$$(4/\pi)^{s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)L(\chi,s) = (4/\pi)^{(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{(1-s)+1}{2}\right)L(\chi,1-s)$$

This L-function also has:

- analytic continuation (to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , with no pole at 1)
- a slightly different functional equation:

$$(4/\pi)^{s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)L(\chi,s) = (4/\pi)^{(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{(1-s)+1}{2}\right)L(\chi,1-s)$$

• The generalized Riemann hypothesis (open problem), which predicts that all zeroes of  $L(\chi, s)$  with real part between 0 and 1 must have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ , for all Dirichlet characters  $\chi$ .

# Special values of $L(\chi, s)$

• • • • • • • •

3

Observations:

• Values at negative integers are still rational. In fact, there is a formula for them in terms of "generalized Bernoulli numbers".

Observations:

- Values at negative integers are still rational. In fact, there is a formula for them in terms of "generalized Bernoulli numbers".
- The roles of negative evens and negative odds have been switched, and similarly positive evens and positive odds. This is because the gamma-factors in the functional equation have poles in different places.

Observations:

- Values at negative integers are still rational. In fact, there is a formula for them in terms of "generalized Bernoulli numbers".
- The roles of negative evens and negative odds have been switched, and similarly positive evens and positive odds. This is because the gamma-factors in the functional equation have poles in different places.
- The value at 1 is  $1 \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{7} + \cdots = \frac{\pi}{4}$ , which we will now see has a particularly special meaning.

#### Theorem (Dedekind 1894, Landau 1903)

For any number field K, we have:

$$\lim_{s\to 1}\frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(s)}{\zeta(s)}=\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}h_{\mathcal{K}}R_{\mathcal{K}}}{w_{\mathcal{K}}\sqrt{|D_{\mathcal{K}}|}},$$

where:

- $r_1$  is the number of embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- r<sub>2</sub> is the number of conjugate pairs of embeddings K → C with image not contained in R,
- $h_{\mathcal{K}} = |\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathcal{K}}|$  is the class number of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,
- $R_K$  is the regulator of K,
- $w_K$  is the number of roots of unity in K, and
- $D_K$  is the discriminant of K.

### Analytic class number formula, continued

In our situation,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ :

$$L(\chi, s) = \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_{\kappa}(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$

### Analytic class number formula, continued

In our situation,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ :

$$L(\chi, s) = \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_{\kappa}(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$
$$= \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h_{\kappa} R_{\kappa}}{w_{\kappa} \sqrt{|D_{\kappa}|}}$$

L

In our situation,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi, s) &= \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(s)}{\zeta(s)} \\ &= \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h_{\mathcal{K}} R_{\mathcal{K}}}{w_{\mathcal{K}} \sqrt{|D_{\mathcal{K}}|}} \\ &= \frac{2^0 \cdot (2\pi)^1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{4 \cdot \sqrt{|-4|}} \end{aligned}$$

Ravi Fernando (UC Berkeley)

Special values of L-functions

April 14, 2018 16 / 19

In our situation,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ :

$$L(\chi, s) = \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$
  
=  $\frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}h_K R_K}{w_K \sqrt{|D_K|}}$   
=  $\frac{2^0 \cdot (2\pi)^1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{4 \cdot \sqrt{|-4|}}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{4}.$ 

• a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

- a meromorphic continuation to C,
- a functional equation relating L(s) to  $\overline{L(c-\overline{s})}$  for some constant c,

- a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- a functional equation relating L(s) to  $\overline{L(c-\overline{s})}$  for some constant c,
- a *Riemann hypothesis* restricting the location of their zeroes and poles, and

- a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- a functional equation relating L(s) to  $\overline{L(c-\overline{s})}$  for some constant c,
- a *Riemann hypothesis* restricting the location of their zeroes and poles, and
- rational values—or more precisely, values that are rational multiples of some predictable "periods" such as powers of π—at all integer inputs where neither of the Γ-factors in the functional equation has a pole. (Deligne's conjecture on special values)

If  $E = (y^2 = x^3 + ax + b)$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let

$$a_p = p + 1 - \# E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

for each prime p, where  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the number of points of the reduction of (a minimal Weierstrass model of) E modulo p.

If  $E = (y^2 = x^3 + ax + b)$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let

$$a_p = p + 1 - \# E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

for each prime p, where  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the number of points of the reduction of (a minimal Weierstrass model of) E modulo p. The *L*-function of E is defined approximately as

$$L(E,s) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - a_p \cdot p^{-s} + p \cdot p^{-2s}\right)^{-1},$$

with suitable corrections at the finitely many primes of bad reduction.

#### Conjecture (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer)

For every elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}L(E,s) = \operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Moreover, there is a formula for the leading coefficient in terms of arithmetic invariants of the curve:

$$\lim_{s\to 1} \frac{L(E,s)}{(s-1)^r} = \frac{|\mathrm{III}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}| \cdot \Omega_E \cdot R_E \cdot \prod_{\rho|2\Delta} c_{\rho}}{|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}|^2}.$$

Ravi Fernando (UC Berkeley)