# Extra algebraic points in $X(\mathbb{Q}_p)_1$

Ravi Fernando and Shelly Manber

June 18, 2021

# Background

In Lecture 2, we saw some examples of genus-3 hyperelliptic curves  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $r=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Jac}X=1$  such that the Chabauty-Coleman set  $X(\mathbb{Q}_p)_1$  (for some prime p) does not equal  $X(\mathbb{Q})$ , but all of the extra points are algebraic and can be explained geometrically.

The simplest way this can happen is when we have a non-rational Weierstrass point P=(x,0). Then  $2(P-\infty)$  is a principal divisor, which forces  $\int_{\infty}^{P}\omega=0$  for all global 1-forms  $\omega$ . More generally,  $P\in X(K)$  will lie in  $X(\mathbb{Q}_p)_1$  if  $n(P-\infty)\in J(\mathbb{Q})$  for some n>0.

# Background

A more complicated situation can occur if  $J = \operatorname{Jac}(X)$  decomposes as a product of an elliptic curve E and an abelian variety A, all of  $J(\mathbb{Q})$  (up to torsion) lies in E, and P lives in E mod torsion. Then we have  $P \in \overline{J(\mathbb{Q})} + J(K)_{\operatorname{tors}}$ , so P is killed by the annihilating differentials.

#### Question

Our question was whether genus-2 curves can exhibit similar behavior. That is: can we exhibit a genus-2 rank-1 curve  $X/\mathbb{Q}$  and a prime p such that  $X(\mathbb{Q}_p)_1$  consists entirely of algebraic points, and such that we can explain the points (other than rational points and Weierstrass points) via a decomposition of Jac(X)?

Answer: yes!

#### Example

Let X be the genus-2 hyperelliptic curve with LMFDB label 15360.h.184320.1. This is the unique curve on LMFDB with g=2, r=1,  $\operatorname{Aut}(X)=V_4$ , and four rational Weierstrass points. It is given by the equation

$$y^2 = 2x^5 - x^4 - 5x^3 + 3x + 1,$$

which we renormalized  $(4y \mapsto y, 2x \mapsto x)$  to the monic form

$$y^2 = x^5 - x^4 - 10x^3 + 24x + 16.$$

#### Example

This curve has six rational points:  $(0,\pm 4)$ , (-2,0), (-1,0), (2,0), and  $\infty$ . Choosing p=7 (the smallest prime of good reduction),we calculated that  $|X(\mathbb{Q}_7)_1|=14$ . Besides the rational points, it contains:

$$P_1 = (\sqrt{2}, 2 + 2\sqrt{2}),$$
  
 $P_2 = (2 + 2\sqrt{2}, 16 + 12\sqrt{2}),$ 

and their orbits under  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$  and the hyperelliptic involution.

#### Extra automorphisms

Before explaining the extra points, let's first take a closer look at X. As I said, Aut(X) is a Klein four-group, where one of the involutions is the hyperelliptic involution  $\iota$ . Another involution is given by

$$\sigma:(x,y)\mapsto\left(\frac{-2x}{x+2},\frac{8y}{(x+2)^3}\right).$$

The Jacobian J = Jac(X) splits (up to isogeny) as  $E_1 \times E_2$ , where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are respectively the quotients of X by the involutions  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma \circ \iota$ .

(Aside: the quotient by  $\iota$  is just  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , of course. Here's a nice visualization challenge: picture a 2-holed torus, and figure out which of the three "obvious" involutions corresponds to the hyperelliptic involution.)

## Explanation of extra points

Let's figure out where the (non-torsion) rational points are on  $J \sim E_1 \times E_2$ .

LMFDB tells us that  $J(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 3}$ , and (0,4) and  $(0,-4) \in X(\mathbb{Q})$  map to an inverse pair of generators of  $J(\mathbb{Q})/\mathrm{tors}$ . Notice that  $\sigma$  fixes each of these points, and  $\iota$  switches them. It follows that the quotient  $X/(\sigma \circ \iota) = E_2$  identifies these two points with each other, and in particular  $2 \cdot (0,4)$  maps to 0 in  $E_2$ .

So up to torsion, all of  $J(\mathbb{Q})$  lives in (and is dense in) the  $E_1$  factor, and none of it lives in  $E_2$ .

## Explanation of extra points

The upshot: if we can show that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  map to torsion points in  $E_2$  (i.e. they live in  $E_1 + \text{tors} \subset J$ ), then this will explain their appearance in  $X(\mathbb{Q}_7)_1$ : every point in  $E_1$  is killed by the annihilating differentials because  $J(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $E_1$ , and torsion points in J are killed by all differentials.

So we must show that  $P_1$  is torsion in J once we identify every point with its image under  $\sigma \circ \iota$ , and similarly for  $P_2$ . In fact, we have  $\sigma \circ \iota(P_1) = \overline{P_2}$  (where the bar denotes the nontrivial element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$ ), and similarly  $\sigma \circ \iota(P_2) = \overline{P_1}$ . A calculation in Sage shows that

$$4(P_1+\overline{P_2})=4(P_2+\overline{P_1})=0\in J.$$

So  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are at worst 8-torsion in  $E_2$ .

#### Other primes

Aside: working with the same curve X but p=11 instead, we lose these special points (since  $\sqrt{2}\notin\mathbb{Q}_{11}$ ), gain the irrational Weierstrass points  $(1\pm\sqrt{5},0)$ , and also gain two pairs of points that appear to be transcendental.

With p=17 (the next prime such that  $\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), we get the two special points again, along with some points whose x-coordinates appear to lie in the quartic fields 4.2.1984.1 and 4.0.656.1. I don't know why.