

# ON THE BRAVERMAN-KAZHDAN PROGRAM

TALK BY NGÔ BÀO CHÂU,  
NOTES BY TONY FENG

The Braverman-Kazhdan program is about automorphic  $L$ -functions. The goal of the subject is about constructing automorphic  $L$ -functions and proving that they share the nice properties enjoyed by the Riemann zeta function. The way that Langlands conceived to study automorphic  $L$ -functions is via the so-called “principle of functoriality”. But there is actually another route – a much more direct one – which is taken up by Braverman-Kazhdan.

## 1. GODEMENT-JACQUET THEORY

There is one case we know a lot about  $L$ -functions, which is that of the “standard  $L$ -functions”, whose theory was developed by Godement-Jacquet.

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $k$ , and  $\rho: {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$  be a representation of its dual group. For  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , we can take  ${}^L G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ . The general principle is that, given an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  and  $\rho$  as above, we can construct an automorphic  $L$ -function  $L(s, \rho, \pi)$ . A priori this comes as a function on some half-plane, but one should be able to prove a meromorphic continuation and functional equation

$$L(s, \rho, \pi) \leftrightarrow L(1 - s, \rho, \pi^\vee).$$

The setting of the standard  $L$ -functions have  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $\rho = \mathrm{std}$ .

We will now explain the ansatz that implies this story, in the standard (Godement-Jacquet) case. It comes from Fourier and Mellin analysis. We will write out the ingredients. Take  $k$  to be a global field.

**1.1. Local theory.** For  $v \in |K|$ , we have a completion  $k_v$ , which we also denote by  $F$ .

- (1) (Schwartz space) We define a space of Schwartz functions  $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{std}}(G(F))$ , which is  $\mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$ , restricted to  $G(F)$ .
- (2) (Basic function) We have a basic function  $\beta^{\mathrm{std}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{std}}(G(F))$ . When  $F$  is non-archimedean, this is taken to be  $\beta := \mathbf{1}_{M_n(\mathcal{O})}$ . When  $F$  is archimedean, we take  $\beta := e^{-\pi x^2}$ .
- (3) (Fourier transform) Fix an additive character  $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ . There is a Fourier transform

$$\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}(x) = \int_{M_n(F)} \varphi(y) \psi(\mathrm{Tr}(xy)) dy.$$

It is desirable to write this as  $\varphi^\vee * J^{\mathrm{std}}$  where  $*$  is convolution with respect to the *multiplicative Haar measure* on  $G(F)$ , where  $J^{\mathrm{std}}(x) = \psi(\mathrm{Tr}(x))|x|^n$ .

Now we'll take the Mellin transform.

- (1) For  $\pi$  an irreducible representation of  $G(F)$  and  $f \in \pi \otimes \pi^\vee$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ , we consider the family of zeta integrals.

$$Z(\varphi, f, s) := \int_{G(F)} |x|^s \varphi(x) f(x) d^*x.$$

These will have a GCD, which is  $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ .

- (2) Recall that in the Riemann zeta function, one needs to complete with  $\Gamma$ -factors to get the functional equation. These are Mellin transforms of the basic functions of the form  $e^{-\pi x^2}$ . At the non-archimedean places,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\beta, \pi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \pi \text{ ramified,} \\ L(\frac{1-n}{2}, \pi, \rho) & \pi \text{ unramified.} \end{cases}$$

- (3) Since Mellin transform takes convolution to product, we get a functional equation

$$Z(1-s, \pi^\vee, \rho) = \Gamma(\pi, \rho) Z(s, \pi, \rho).$$

**1.2. Global theory.** That was all local. What about the global theory? Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_k$  be the ring of adèles of  $k$ .

We define the global Schwartz space to be the restricted product

$$\mathcal{S}(G(\mathbf{A})) := \bigotimes^I \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{std}}(G(k_v))$$

with respect to the basic functions  $\beta_v$ .

We have a *Poisson summation formula*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in G(k)} \varphi(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(k)} \widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)$$

under some local conditions on  $\varphi, \widehat{\varphi}$  (whose role is to annihilate boundary terms).

Under Mellin transform, this then gives a global functional equation.

## 2. BRAVERMAN-KAZHDAN PROGRAM

How can we generalize this?

**2.1. Reductive monoids.** First question: what is the Schwartz space? In the case of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , we defined a bigger ambient object  $M_n$ , then took Schwartz functions on that and restricted them to  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . So the first problem is to find a space  $M^\rho \supset G$  which generalizes this. We want the  $G \times G$ -action to extend to  $M_\rho$ . We ask for a monoid structure on  $M_\rho$ , generalizing the multiplication on  $M_n$ .

How can we construct such  $M^\rho$ ? It just so happens that a theory of “reductive monoids” has been developed by Putsch, Renner, and Vinberg, which are suitable for this purpose. Take a maximal torus  $T \subset G$ . Let  $M_T^\rho$  be the closure; this will be a normal affine algebraic (toric) variety. We have a  $W$ -action on  $T$ , which extends to an action on  $M_T^\rho$ . Conversely, we can construct  $M^\rho$  out of the data of

$T, W, M_T^\rho$ . Namely, start with a  $W$ -equivariant, strictly convex cone  $\sigma \subset \Lambda_{\mathbf{R}} = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}_m, T) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ .

It's not completely obvious that such a thing exists. Indeed, if  $G$  is semisimple then such a thing *cannot* exist. You need to have a center which will allow you to “shift” the translates of the cone to a half.

Let  $\rho: {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\rho)$ . Then we can take the cone generated by the weight of  $\rho$ . This will give rise to a satisfactory  $M^\rho$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Note that  $M^\rho$  doesn't capture all the information of  $\rho$ . The cone forgets the multiplicities. For example,  $\rho \oplus \rho$  gives the same monoid.

**2.2. The basic function.** The Godement-Jacquet case is basically the only one where the monoid is smooth. When it is not smooth, it isn't right to take smooth functions.

**Problem:** Define a sheaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho$  (in the  $p$ -adic topology) on  $M^\rho(F)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}^\rho(G) = \Gamma_c(M^\rho(F), \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^\rho)$ .

When  $v$  is non-archimedean,  $\beta_v$  should be the trace of Frobenius on the intersection complex of  $\mathcal{L}M^\rho$  (when  $\rho$  is irreducible). This is a joint result of Bouthier-Sakellaridis-N. The philosophy is that the basic function should “only depend on the singularities”.

**2.3. The Fourier transform.** A more difficult problem seems to be to develop a theory of “ $\rho$ -Fourier transform”. This should stabilize the Schwartz space, preserve the basic function, and have the form  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^\vee * J^\rho$ . Experience suggests that we want  $J^\rho$  to be a stably invariant smooth function on  $G_{\text{rss}}(F)$ .

For all irreducible representations  $\pi$ , we believe  $J^\rho * f = \gamma^\rho(\pi)f$  for  $f \in \pi$ . Since  $\gamma^\rho$  is a function *packets*, this is consistent with the property that  $J_\rho$  should be stably invariant.

**Example 2.1.** For  $\text{GL}_n$ , we saw that  $J^\rho(g) = \psi(\text{Tr}(g))|\det g|^n$ .

**2.4. The finite field case.** Let  $G$  be a reductive group over a *finite* field  $K$ . Let  $\rho: {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . For every irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G(K)$ , we can define  $\gamma^\rho(\pi) \in \mathbf{C}$ . (Think of this as something like a Gauss sum.) We then get an invariant function  $J^\rho: G(K) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  determined by: for  $v \in \pi$ ,  $J^\rho * v = \gamma^\rho(\pi)v$ .

Here is Braverman-Kazhdan's proposal for constructing  $J^\rho$ . Consider restricting  $\rho: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\rho)$  to  $\widehat{T}$ . This will break up as

$$\rho|_{\widehat{T}} = \chi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \chi_n$$

which corresponds (by local Langlands for tori) to the character  $\rho_T: \mathbf{G}_m^n \rightarrow T$  given by

$$\rho_T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_i(x_i).$$

We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G}_m^n & \xrightarrow{\Sigma} & \mathbf{A}^1 \\ \downarrow \rho_T & & \\ T & & \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\psi$  be the Artin-Schreier sheaf on  $\mathbf{A}^1$ , and form  $J_T^\rho := \rho_{T!} \Sigma^* \mathcal{L}_\psi$ .

**Example 2.1.** For  $T = \mathbf{G}_m, \rho = \text{std} \oplus \text{std}$  then  $J_T^\rho$  corresponds to a Kloosterman sum.

We have now defined a sheaf on  $T$ , and we want to define a sheaf on  $G$ . A process called *Lusztig induction* takes in  $W$ -invariant perverse sheaves on  $T$  and constructs perverse sheaves on  $G$ .

**Remark 2.2.** In Lusztig's case, he uses Kummer sheaves. Here we use the Artin-Schreier sheaf, which is more complicated, but his formalism still goes through.

But the  $W$ -equivariant structure is not obvious. There is an  $S_n$ -action on  $\mathbf{G}_m^n$ , but it's not clear how this plays with the  $W$ -action on  $T$ .

What happens is that there is  $W'$  mapping to both  $S_n$  and  $W$ , and you have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^n S_{n_i} & \longrightarrow & W' & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & S_n & & \end{array}$$

You then find that  $\rho_T$  is  $W'$ -equivariant, but  $\prod_{i=1}^n S_{n_i}$  doesn't act trivially (so it doesn't descend to a  $W$ -action). It turns out that the kernel acts by a sign character. So you twist the action by the sign character of  $S_n$ , at which point it descends, and then you untwist by the sign character of  $W$ .

Braverman-Kazhdan conjecture that this gives the correct  $J^\rho$ . This is basically known now, even in a more geometric version. It was proved by Braverman-Kazhdan for  $G$  semisimple of rank 1. Chen-Ngô extended the result to  $G = \text{GL}_n$  and all  $\rho$ . Tsao-Hsien Chen proved it in general in the  $\mathbf{C}$ -setting, reformulating in terms of  $D$ -modules. Laumon-Lettelian have recently proved it in general.

**2.5. The  $p$ -adic case.** The twisting and untwisting by the sign character is puzzling – what would correspond to the sign character in the  $p$ -adic case? But I've realized that you don't really need it.

Let  $F = k_v$  and  $T$  be a torus, not split, over  $F$ . Let  ${}^L T = \widehat{T} \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\rho)$ . It is an elementary exercise to show that this is equivalent to  $\rho: D_\rho \rightarrow T$  where  $D_\rho$  is the induced torus. (Namely,  $\Gamma \rightarrow S_n$  corresponds to an extension  $E/F$ , and  $D_\rho := E^\times$ .) We compose with  $E^\times \rightarrow \psi(\text{Tr})F$ . Integrating along the fibers, with appropriate regularization, gives  $J_\rho^T$ .

Now consider  $\rho: {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\rho)$ . We want to make a function  $J_G^\rho$  on  $G$ . We can consider  $T \subset G$  a maximal torus. There is no canonical  ${}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$ , but it turns out that one can make a canonical composition  $\rho_T: {}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\rho)$ . You then get

a function  $J_T^\rho$  on  $T$ . This is compatible, and “glues” to a (stably invariant) function on  $G(F)$ . However, it is not the correct distribution: it stops working after the standard representation.

Lastly let me explain the work of Lafforgue. He studied  $GL_2$ . Start with  $J_G^{\rho, \text{naïve}}(c, a)$  where  $c$  is the trace and  $a$  is the determinant. Then do Fourier transform on the first variable and multiply by  $|\xi|$ , getting  $|\xi| \mathcal{F}_1 J^\rho(\xi, a)$ , and then Fourier transform on the second variable, getting  $\mathcal{F}_G^\rho$ . He proved that this satisfies compatibility with constant terms, so it’s right on the induced representations. It seems probable that it is correct in general.