

MULTIPLICITIES AND PLANCHEREL FORMULA FOR THE SYMMETRIC SPACE $U(n) \backslash GL_n(E)$

TALK BY RAPHAEL BEUZART-PLESSIS,
NOTES BY TONY FENG

1. GOAL

We consider a particular case of what has become called the “relative local Langlands correspondence”.

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of p -adic fields. Denote $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \{1, \sigma\}$. Let V be a hermitian space over E of dimension n .

We have an embedding $H = U(V) \hookrightarrow G = GL_n(E)$.

The goal is to study the “spectrum” of $H \backslash G$. This can mean two things.

- Irreducible representations π of G which admit an embedding $\pi \hookrightarrow C^\infty(H \backslash G)$. These are called “distinguished representations”.
- A spectral decomposition of $L^2(H \backslash G)$.

2. MULTIPLICITIES

Conjecture 2.1 (Jacquet). *If $\pi \hookrightarrow C^\infty(H \backslash G)$, then $\pi \cong \pi^\sigma$. The converse holds if H is quasi-split.*

This has essentially been proved by Feigon-Lapid-Offen [FLO]. They prove the first statement in full generality, and the second part for generic or unitary π .

We want to consider the multiplicities

$$m(\pi) := \dim \text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbf{C}).$$

Remark 2.2. We do not have multiplicity one in this situation; in fact, $m(\pi)$ can be as high as 2^{n-1} .

There is a base-change transfer from $\text{Irr}(G')$ to $\text{Irr}(G)$, by work of Arthur-Clozel. We will see that this gives almost everything you want to know about multiplicities.

Using the Langlands classification, we can equip $\text{Irr}(G)$ with the structure of algebraic varieties. It then turns out that this map is a finite morphism. Then one can define a function $(\text{deg BC}): \text{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, which has the property that

$$(\text{deg BC})(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{BC}^{-1}(\pi)} (\text{deg BC})(\sigma).$$

This defines a locally constant function on $\text{Im}(\text{BC})$, and for general $\pi \in \text{Im}(\text{BC})$ we have $(\text{deg BC})(\pi) = |\text{BC}^{-1}(\pi)|$.

Remark 2.3. The work of Arthur-Clozel shows that $\pi \in \text{Im}(\text{BC})$ if and only if $\pi \cong \pi^\sigma$.

Example 2.4. Let $\pi \in \text{Im}(\text{BC})$ be generic. Then by work of Bernstein-Zelevinsky, π can be written as $\delta_1 \times \dots \times \delta_t$ with δ_i essentially square-integrable, and then $(\deg \text{BC})(\pi) = 2^{\#\{i|\delta_i \cong \delta_i^c\}}$. Furthermore, $\deg \text{BC}(\pi) = |\text{BC}^{-1}(\pi)|$ if and only if the Galois stable δ_i are distinct.

Theorem 2.5 (FLO). *For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ generic, we have*

$$m(\pi) \geq \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{\deg \text{BC}(\pi)}{2} \rceil & H \text{ quasisplit,} \\ \lfloor \frac{\deg \text{BC}(\pi)}{2} \rfloor & H \text{ not quasisplit} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, equality holds whenever $(\deg \text{BC})(\pi) = |\text{BC}^{-1}(\pi)|$.

[FLO] and (independently) Prasad have conjectured that equality always holds.

Theorem 2.6. *This is true: for $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ generic,*

$$m(\pi) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{\deg \text{BC}(\pi)}{2} \rceil & H \text{ quasisplit,} \\ \lfloor \frac{\deg \text{BC}(\pi)}{2} \rfloor & H \text{ not quasisplit.} \end{cases}$$

3. PLANCHEREL DECOMPOSITION

Sakellaridis-Venkatesh have made very general conjectures for spherical varieties. It turns out to be convenient to consider also $H' = U(V')$, where $\dim V' = n$ and $V' \not\cong V$ (there are only 2 classes of hermitian spaces). Let $G' = \text{GL}_n(F)$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists an isomorphism of unitary G -representations*

$$L^2(H \backslash G) \oplus L^2(H' \backslash G) \cong \int \text{BC}(\sigma) d\mu_{G'}(\sigma)$$

where $d\mu_{G'}$ is the Plancherel measure for G' .

Remark 3.2. This is not a Plancherel decomposition, because BC is not injective. Hence this decomposition is not unique. But we'll later see a refinement that uniquely characterizes the decomposition.

4. FLO FUNCTIONALS

The work of [FLO] constructs invariant functionals.

4.1. Jacquet-Ye transfer. Let $N' = N_n(F) \subset G' = \text{GL}_n(F)$ be the standard maximal unipotent. Similarly we let $N = N_n(E) \subset G = \text{GL}_n(E)$. Fix generic characters $\psi'_n: N' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ and $\psi_n: N \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$.

We will apply the relative trace formula, so we need to introduce relative orbital integrals.

For $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, $\gamma \in G_{\text{reg}}$ we define

$$O(\gamma, f) = \int_{H \times N} f(h\gamma u) \psi_n(u) dh du.$$

For $f' \in C_c^\infty(G')$ and $\delta \in G'_{\text{reg}}$, we define

$$O(\delta, f') = \int_{N' \times N'} f'(u_1 \delta u_2) \psi'_n(u_1 u_2) du_1 du_2.$$

To make a comparison, we need a matching of orbits:

$$H \backslash G_{\text{reg}} / N \hookrightarrow N' \backslash G'_{\text{reg}} / N'.$$

Given this, we introduce the notion of matching functions: we say $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ matches $f' \in C_c^\infty(G')$ if

$$\Omega(\gamma)O(\gamma, f) = \Omega(\delta)O(\delta, f') \text{ for } \gamma \leftrightarrow \delta.$$

Theorem 4.1 (Jacquet). *Every $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ matches some $f' \in C_c^\infty(G')$.*

Remark 4.2. There is a converse if you consider H, H' at the same time.

4.2. The functionals. By comparison of global relative trace formulas (the Kuznetsov trace formula for G' and the Jacquet-Ye trace formula for G), [FLO] have defined for every $\sigma \in \text{Temp}(G')$ an H -invariant form

$$\alpha_\sigma^H : \mathcal{W}(\text{BC}(\sigma)) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

where $\mathcal{W}(\text{BC}(\sigma))$ is the Whittaker model of $\text{BC}(\sigma)$. This is characterized by the condition that

$$J_{\text{BC}(\sigma)}^H(f) = I_\sigma(f')$$

for matching f, f' , where

$$J_{\text{BC}(\sigma)}^H(f) = \sum_{W \in \text{ON}(\mathcal{W}(\text{BC}(\sigma)))} \alpha_\sigma^H(f \cdot W) \overline{W(1)}$$

with “ON” standing for orthonormal basis (this is called a “relative Bessel distribution”) and

$$I_\sigma(f') = \sum_{W' \in \text{ON}(\mathcal{W}(\sigma))} (f' \cdot W')(1) \overline{W'(1)}$$

(this is called a “Bessel distribution”).

[FLO] prove the inequality by counting the number of independent such functionals.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.6

We reduce to the statement for $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G)$. It is convenient in the proof to consider H and H' together, so define

$$m'(\pi) := \dim \text{Hom}_{H'}(\pi, \mathbf{C}).$$

Since we know a lower bound for each of $m(\pi)$ and $m'(\pi)$, we just need to show an upper bound for the sum: $m(\pi) + m'(\pi) \leq (\text{deg BC})(\pi)$.

Let $X = H \backslash G \amalg H' \backslash G$. Then

$$m(\pi) + m'(\pi) = \dim \text{Hom}_N(C_c^\infty(X)_\pi, \psi_n)$$

where the subscript π refers to the maximal π -isotypic quotient. This is easy, using just Frobenius reciprocity and uniqueness of Whittaker functions.

For $\sigma \in \text{Temp}(G')$, we have $J_\sigma^H, J_\sigma^{H'} \in \text{Hom}_N(C_c^\infty(X), \psi_n)$. Let $J_\sigma = J_\sigma^H + J_\sigma^{H'}$.

The key lemma is:

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_N(C_c^\infty(X)_\pi, \psi_n) \subset \overline{\langle J_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathrm{BC}^{-1}(\Omega_\pi^t) \rangle}$$

where $\Omega_\pi^t \subset \mathrm{Temp}(G)$ is the connected component of π , and the closure is for the weak topology.

In other words, any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)_\pi$ which is killed by all the J_σ is killed by all Whittaker functionals.

Proof. Use the local Kuznetsov trace formula:

$$\int_{N' \backslash G' / N'} O(\delta, f'_1) \overline{O(\delta_1, f'_2)} = \int_{\mathrm{Temp}(G')} I_\sigma(f'_1) \overline{I_\sigma(f'_2)} d\mu_{G'}(\sigma).$$

If f'_1 match, we can write both sides in terms of G .

$$\int_{X/N} O(x, \varphi_1) \overline{O(x, \varphi_2)} = \int_{\mathrm{Temp}(G')} J_\sigma(\varphi_1) \overline{J_\sigma(\varphi_2)} d\mu_{G'}(\sigma).$$

A localization principle implies that $\langle J_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Temp}(G') \rangle$ is dense in $\mathrm{Hom}_N(C_c^\infty(X), \psi_n)$. \square

Let $\mathcal{B}(G') = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{Z}(G')$ and $\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{Z}(G)$ (where \mathcal{Z} denotes the Bernstein center). We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}(G') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{BC}} & \mathrm{Irr}(G) \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda \\ \mathcal{B}(G') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{BC}} & \mathcal{B}(G) \end{array}$$

By Jacquet's theory of Whittaker functionals, we have

$$\{\sigma \mapsto I_\sigma(f') \mid f' \in C_c^\infty(G')\} = \mathbf{C}[\mathcal{B}(G')] = \{\sigma \in J_\sigma(f) \mid f \in C_c^\infty(X)\}.$$

We denote $\Omega_\pi \subset \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the connected component of π , and $\Omega'_\pi \subset \mathrm{Irr}(G')$ the pre-image under BC. Let $(\Omega'_\pi)^\lambda$ be the image under λ .

By Lemma 5.1, every element of $\mathrm{Hom}_N(C_c^\infty(X)_\pi, \psi_n)$ factors through $C_c^\infty(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{C}[(\Omega'_\pi)^\lambda]$, and then further through the quotient by the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda(\pi)}$, whose dimension is $(\deg \mathrm{BC})(\pi)$.

6. EXPLICIT PLANCHEREL

Let $X = H \backslash G \amalg H' \backslash G$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Temp}(G')$. We have a G -invariant semi-definite scalar product

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{X, \sigma} : C_c^\infty(X) \times C_c^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}.$$

This is defined by

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{X, \sigma} = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{BC}(\sigma))} \alpha_\sigma(\varphi_1 \cdot W) \overline{\alpha_\sigma(\varphi_2 \cdot W)}$$

If $\varphi = \varphi^H + \varphi^{H'} \in C_c^\infty(X)$, then

$$\alpha_\sigma(\varphi \cdot W) = \alpha_\sigma^H(\varphi^H \cdot W) + \alpha_\sigma^{H'}(\varphi^{H'} \cdot W).$$

Remark 6.1. We have $(C_c^\infty(X), (\cdot, \cdot)_{X,\sigma})^\wedge \cong \widehat{BC(\sigma)}$.

Theorem 6.2. For every $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(X)$ we have

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{L^2(G)} = \int_{\text{Temp}(G')} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{X,\sigma} d\mu_{G'}(\sigma).$$

Unitary periods: let k'/k be a quadratic extension of number fields. Let

$$H := U(V) \hookrightarrow G := GL_{n,k'}.$$

For $\pi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}$, we define

$$P_H: \phi \in \pi \mapsto \int_{[H]} \phi.$$

Dually, we have $C_c^\infty(H(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \pi$ given by the composition

$$\varphi \mapsto \Sigma\varphi(-) := \sum_{x \in H(k) \backslash G(k)} \varphi(x-),$$

and then projecting $(\Sigma\varphi) \mapsto (\Sigma\varphi)_\pi$.

It's better to replace $H(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})$ by $(H \backslash G)(\mathbf{A}) = X(\mathbf{A})$. It's the (infinite) disjoint union of $H'(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})$ where H' runs over unitary groups.

Theorem 6.3 (FLO, Jacquet (n=3)). Let $\varphi = \prod_v \varphi_v \in C_c^\infty(X(\mathbf{A}))$. Then $(\sum \varphi)_\pi = 0$ unless $\pi = BC(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(GL_{n,k})$, in which case

$$\langle (\sum \varphi)_\pi, (\sum \varphi)_\pi \rangle_{L^2} = \frac{2 L^S(1, \sigma, \text{Ad} \otimes \eta)}{n L^S(1, \sigma, \text{Ad})} \left(\prod_{v \in S} (\varphi_v, \varphi_v)_{X_v, \sigma_v} + \prod_{v \in S} (\varphi_v, \varphi_v)_{X_v, \sigma_v \otimes \eta_v} \right).$$

where η is the character corresponding to k'/k .