

THE BRAVERMAN-KAZHDAN-NGO APPROACH TO L-FUNCTIONS

LECTURES BY LEI ZHANG,
NOTES BY TONY FENG

CONTENTS

1.	Local L -factors and γ -factors	1
2.	The local Langlands correspondence	5
3.	The basic function	8
4.	Reductive monoids	10

1. LOCAL L -FACTORS AND γ -FACTORS

Let F be a local field of characteristic 0. The Local Langlands Correspondence gives a finite-to-one map from irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$ to Langlands parameters:

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G).$$

We want to recall the definition of L -factors and ϵ -factors.

1.1. Representations of the Weil group. Let W_F be the Weil group of F .

Definition 1.1. A representation $\sigma: W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is *admissible* if it is smooth and $\sigma(W_F)$ consists of semi-simple elements.

1.1.1. $F = \mathbf{C}$. The Weil group is $W_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^\times$, so irreducible admissible representations are characters of \mathbf{C}^\times , which are parametrized by pairs $(\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, t \in \mathbf{C})$, with

$$(\ell, t) \leftrightarrow \left(\sigma_{\ell, t}: z \mapsto |z|^t \left(\frac{z}{|z|} \right)^\ell \right).$$

1.1.2. $F = \mathbf{R}$. The Weil group is $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{C}^\times \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$. We let j be the image of the non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$ under the splitting, so we have a presentation

$$W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{C}^\times \oplus \mathbf{C}^\times j, \quad j^2 = -1, \quad jzj^{-1} = \bar{z}.$$

All irreducible representations of $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ have dimension 1 or 2, since $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ has an abelian index-2 subgroup.

- Irreducible characters of $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ are parametrized by $\{\pm\} \times \{t \in \mathbf{C}\}$, with

$$t \leftrightarrow (\sigma_{\pm,t}: z \mapsto |z|^t, \quad j \mapsto \pm 1).$$

The Local Langlands correspondence with representations of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbf{R})$ matches

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{+,t} &\leftrightarrow 1 \otimes |\cdot|^t \\ \sigma_{-,t} &\leftrightarrow \mathrm{sgn} \otimes |\cdot|^t \end{aligned}$$

- Next we discuss the irreducible 2-dimensional representations of $W_{\mathbf{R}}$. These are parametrized by $\{\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, t \in \mathbf{C}\}$. A model for $\sigma_{\ell,t}$ can be presented with basis e_1, e_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\ell,t}(z)e_1 &= \left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)^\ell |z|^{2t} e_1 \\ \sigma_{\ell,t}(z)e_2 &= \left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)^{-\ell} |z|^{2t} e_2 \\ \sigma_{\ell,t}(j)e_1 &= e_2 \\ \sigma_{\ell,t}(j)e_2 &= (-1)^\ell e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Fact 1.1. Admissible representations of W_F are automatically semi-simple.

Under the local Langlands correspondence, the $\sigma_{\ell,t}$ correspond to discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, namely $\sigma_{\ell,t} \leftrightarrow D_\ell \otimes |\cdot|^t$ where D_ℓ is the discrete series of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$.

1.1.3. *The p -adic case.* The p -adic theory is much more complicated. We'll just describe some low rank examples. In addition, we'll assume that the residue characteristic is odd.

Definition 1.1. An *admissible pair* (E, χ) : consists of:

- a quadratic extension E/F , and
- a character χ of E^\times , satisfying
 - (1) χ doesn't factor through $\mathrm{Nm}: E^\times \rightarrow F^\times$.
 - (2) If $\chi|_{1+\varpi_E \mathcal{O}_E}$ factors through $N_{E/F}$, then E/F is unramified.

From such an admissible pair, we can construct an irreducible 2-dimensional representation of W_E . Let $a_E: W_E \rightarrow E^\times$ be the Artin reciprocity map. Then $\chi \circ a_E^{-1}$ is the character associated to W_E by local class field theory, and we can form $\mathrm{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F}(\chi \circ a_E^{-1})$, a 2-dimensional representation of W_F . The conditions in Definition 1.1 imply that this is irreducible. The assumption that the residue characteristic is ≥ 3 implies that all irreducible admissible representations of W_F come from this construction, so we get a bijection.

Under the local Langlands correspondence, admissible pairs are bijection with the supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$.

1.2. **Local L -factors.** Now we're going to define the L -factor associated to Weil-Deligne representations.

Definition 1.1. Let $\sigma: W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. The L -factor associated to σ is

$$L(s, \sigma) := \det(1 - q^{-s}\sigma(\mathrm{Frob}_v) | V^I)^{-1}.$$

We have multiplicativity of both L -factors and ϵ -factors in direct sums:

$$L(s, \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) = L(s, \sigma_1)L(s, \sigma_2)$$

and

$$\epsilon(s, \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2) = \epsilon(s, \sigma_1)\epsilon(s, \sigma_2).$$

1.2.1. $F = \mathbf{R}$. Refer to the parametrization of L -parameters in §1.1.2. The L -factor is

$$L(s, \sigma_{\ell,t}) = \begin{cases} \pi^{-(s+t)/2}\Gamma((s+t)/2) & \sigma = \sigma_{(+,t)} \\ \pi^{-(s+t+1)/2}\Gamma((s+t+1)/2) & \sigma = \sigma_{(-,t)} \\ 2(2\pi)^{-(s+t+\frac{\ell}{2})}\Gamma(s+t+\frac{\ell}{2}) & \sigma = \sigma_{(\ell,t)} \end{cases}$$

1.2.2. $F = \mathbf{C}$. Refer to the parametrization of L -parameters in §1.1.1. The L -factor is

$$L(s, \sigma_{\ell,t}) = 2(2\pi)^{-(s+t+\frac{|\ell|}{2})}\Gamma\left(s+t+\frac{|\ell|}{2}\right).$$

1.3. **ϵ -factors.** We now discuss the local ϵ -factors.

1.3.1. $F = \mathbf{R}$. We define

$$\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma = \sigma_{(+,t)} \\ i & \sigma = \sigma_{(-,t)} \\ i^{\ell+1} & \sigma = \sigma_{(\ell,t)} \end{cases}$$

where we choose $\psi = e^{2\pi i x}$.

1.3.2. $F = \mathbf{C}$. We define

$$\epsilon(s, \sigma_{(\ell,t)}, \psi) = i^{|\ell|}$$

where we choose $\psi = e^{2\pi i(z+\bar{z})}$.

1.3.3. p -adic. We now consider the case where F is a p -adic field.

Theorem 1.1. *Let ψ be a non-trivial additive character of F . As E ranges over all finite extensions of F , there exists a unique family of functions*

$$\{\text{admissible reps of } W_E\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[q^{-s}, q^s]^\times$$

denoted

$$\sigma \mapsto \epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi_E := \psi \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{E/F})$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1) (GL_1 -normalization) If χ is a character of E^\times , then $\epsilon(s, \chi \circ a_E, \psi_E) = \epsilon(s, \chi, \psi_E)$ from Tate's thesis.
- (2) (Additivity) We have $\epsilon(s, \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2, \psi_E) = \epsilon(s, \sigma_1, \psi_E)\epsilon(s, \sigma_2, \psi_E)$.

(3) (Inductive in degree 0) If $E \supset K \supset F$, then

$$\frac{\epsilon(s, \text{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_K} \sigma, \psi_K)}{\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi_E)} = \frac{\epsilon(s, \text{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_K} 1_{W_E}, \psi_K)^n}{\epsilon(s, 1_{W_E}, \psi_E)^n}$$

where $n = \dim \sigma$.

Proposition 1.2. *This ϵ -factor enjoys the following properties:*

- (1) $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi) = q^{n(\sigma)(1/2-s)} \epsilon(1/2, \sigma, \psi)$ where $n(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the Artin conductor.
- (2) Functional equation

$$\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi) \epsilon(1-s, \sigma^\vee, \psi^{-1}) = 1.$$

1.4. **Local factors for L -parameters.** Since G is split, ${}^L G = \widehat{G} \times \Gamma_F$. Suppose we have an L -parameter

$$\phi: \text{WD}_F \rightarrow {}^L G.$$

We denote by ϕ_N the Weil representation $\phi_N: W \rightarrow {}^L G$ which is the summand of ϕ corresponding to $\ker N$.

Let

$$\rho: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

be any (algebraic) representation.

Definition 1.1. Given an L -parameter ϕ , we define

$$L(s, \phi, \rho) := L(s, \rho \circ \phi)$$

and

$$\epsilon(s, \phi, \rho) := \epsilon(s, \rho \circ \phi).$$

Suppose

$$\rho \circ \phi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \sigma_n \otimes \text{Sym}^n$$

as representations of $\text{WD}_F \times \text{SL}_2$. Denote the space of σ_n by V_n . Then

$$L(s, \phi, \rho) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \det(1 - q^{-\frac{n}{2}-s} \sigma_n(\text{Frob}) | (V_n)^I)^{-1}$$

and

$$\epsilon(s, \phi, \rho) = \epsilon(1/2, \phi, \rho) q^{a(\rho \circ \phi)(\frac{1}{2}-s)}$$

where

$$a(\rho \circ \phi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1) a(\sigma_n) + \sum_{n \geq 0} n \dim((V_n)^I_N)$$

with $a(\rho \circ \phi)$ the Artin conductor of σ_n , and

$$\epsilon(1/2, \phi, \rho) = \prod_{n \geq 0} \epsilon(1/2, \sigma_n)^{n+1} \prod_{n \geq 0} \det(-\phi(\text{Frob}) | (V_n)^I_N)^n.$$

1.5. **γ -factors.** Let ϕ, ρ be as before. We define the associated γ -factor to be

$$\gamma(s, \phi, \rho, \psi) = \frac{L(1-s, \phi, \rho^\vee) \epsilon(s, \rho \circ \phi, \psi)}{L(s, \phi, \rho)}.$$

From the functional equation for ϵ , we get

$$\gamma(s, \phi, \rho, \psi) \gamma(1-s, \phi, \rho^\vee, \psi^{-1}) = 1.$$

We can apply this to our previous examples.

Example 1.1. Suppose F is p -adic. If σ is irreducible admissible of W_F with $\dim \sigma > 1$, we have $L(s, \sigma) = 1$ but the ϵ factor is complicated.

Unramified representations of GL_n correspond to parameters φ which are trivial on SL_2 and inertia, so they are completely specified by $\varphi(\mathrm{Frob})$, a semi-simple conjugacy class in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Such representations are $\pi < \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\chi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \chi_n)$ with all χ_i unramified, and the corresponding $\varphi(\mathrm{Frob})$ is $\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(\varpi), \dots, \chi_n(\varpi))$. Then

$$L(s, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \chi_i(\varpi) q^{-s})^{-1}$$

and $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi) = 1$.

Exercise 1.2. For $F = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} , pick your favorite G and \widehat{G} and compute the poles of $L(s, \varphi, \rho)$ in terms of the parametrization.

Exercise 1.3. Suppose F is p -adic. Compute $L(s, \mathrm{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F} \chi, \mathrm{Sym}^n)$ and $\epsilon(s, \mathrm{Ind}_{W_E}^{W_F} \chi, \mathrm{Sym}^n)$. [The ϵ -factor is complicated for $n = 1$, but less bad for $n \geq 2$.]

2. THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

Let F be a non-archimedean field of char 0. Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of F and $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ a uniformizer. Let $q = |\mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}|$.

2.1. Characterization of LLC. Let $\mathcal{L}: \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n) \rightarrow \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n)$, sending $\pi \mapsto \varphi = \mathcal{L}(\pi)$. We defined L -factors and ϵ -factors on the Galois side. How do we define them in terms of representation theory?

Godement-Jacquet explained how to go construct them directly from π , in a compatible way.

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \pi) &= L(s, \varphi) \\ \epsilon(s, \pi, \psi) &= \epsilon(s, \varphi, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the conductor of π should agree with the Artin conductor of φ . Recall that $c(\pi) = 0$ iff π is unramified. In general,

$$c(\pi) = \min_{t \geq 0} \{t: V^{k_t} \neq 0\}$$

where

$$K_t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) : \begin{array}{l} C \in M_{1 \times (n-1)}(\varpi^t \mathcal{O}) \\ D \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^t \mathcal{O}} \end{array} \right\}$$

This normalization assumes $\psi|_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{O}) = 1$.

The L -factors and ϵ -factors do not determine the representations. (For supercuspidals the L -factor is always 1, while the ϵ -factor is more complicated, but still not rich enough to determine π .)

Theorem 2.1. *There is a unique map*

$$\mathcal{L}: \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_2) \rightarrow \Phi(\text{GL}_2)$$

satisfying:

- (1) $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi) = L(s, \mathcal{L}(\pi) \otimes \chi)$ for all χ of F^\times .
- (2) $\epsilon(s, \pi \otimes \chi, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \mathcal{L}(\pi) \otimes \chi, \psi)$ for all χ of F^\times .

Why is this enough? One line of reasoning comes from the converse theorem. Another comes from functoriality. For G/F connected, split, reductive, there should be a map from

$$\{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)\} \mapsto \{\varphi: WD_F \rightarrow \widehat{G}\}.$$

Given a representation $\rho: \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}_N(\mathbf{C})$, we get a Langlands parameter for GL_N , hence $\ell_\rho(\pi) := \Pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_N)$. Furthermore, it is easy to show that the γ -factors enjoy

$$\gamma(s, \varphi, \rho, \psi) = \gamma(s, \rho \circ \varphi, \psi) = \gamma(s, \ell_\rho(\pi), \psi).$$

(The same holds for the ϵ and L -factors.)

In the setting of the theorem, think $G = \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_1$ and ρ is the tensor product of the standard representations.

2.2. The Hecke algebra. Let B be a Borel subgroup over F . Suppose a Levi decomposition $B = TU$. Let $X^*(T), X_*(T)$ be the character/cocharacter groups. Let $K = G(\mathcal{O})$ be a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$.

Definition 2.1. The *Hecke algebra* is $\mathcal{H}(G, K) = (C_c^\infty(K \backslash G/K), \star)$ where the multiplication \star is given by

$$f_1 \star f_2(g) = \int_G f_1(x) f_2(x^{-1}g) dx.$$

We have a decomposition

$$G(F) = \bigcup_{\mu \in X_*^+(T)} K\mu(\varpi)K$$

where $X_\mu^+(T) = \{\lambda \in X_*(T): \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Let $\mathbf{1}_{K\mu(\varpi)K}$ be the characteristic function of $K\mu(\varpi)K$. Then $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ is commutative.

2.3. Satake transform. Define the *Satake transform*

$$\text{Sat}: \mathcal{H}(G, K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(T, T(\mathcal{O}))$$

by

$$\text{Sat}(f)(t) \mapsto \delta_B^{1/2}(t) \int_{U(F)} f(tu) du$$

where δ_B is the modular character of B .

We have a map $\gamma: T(F)/T(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(T) \cong X^*(\widehat{T})$ sending

$$t \mapsto \langle \gamma(t), \chi \rangle := \text{ord } \chi(t) \text{ for all } \chi \in X^*(T).$$

Theorem 2.1 (Harish-Chandra). *For regular $t \in T(F)$, we have*

$$\text{Sat}(f)(t) = D(t) \int_{G(F)/T(F)} f(gtg^{-1}) dg$$

where $D(t) = \delta_B^{1/2}(t) |\det \text{Ad}_U(t) - \text{Id}_U|$.

Theorem 2.2 (Satake). *The Satake transform induces*

$$\text{Sat}: \mathcal{H}(G, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}[X_*(T)]^W \cong \mathbf{C}[X^*(\widehat{T})]^W$$

where W is the Weyl group of G .

2.4. Change of basis. For $\lambda \in X_*^+(T)$, we have

$$\text{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K\lambda(\varpi)K}) = q^{\langle \lambda, \rho_B \rangle} \chi_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} a_\lambda(\mu) \chi_\mu$$

where ρ_B is the half sum of positive roots, $a_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\chi_\lambda = \text{Tr } V_\lambda$.

We can invert this to express χ_λ in terms of the Satake basis.

Theorem 2.1 (Lusztig-Kato). *We have*

$$\chi_\lambda = q^{-\langle \lambda, \rho_B \rangle} \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda, \mu \in X_*^+(T)} P_{\mu, \lambda}(q) \text{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K\mu(\varpi)K})$$

where $P_{\mu, \lambda}$ is a Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial.

2.5. Satake parameter. Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible admissible representation of $G(F)$. For all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we define an operator $\pi(f)$ by

$$\pi(f)v := \int_{G(F)} f(g)\pi(g)v dg, \quad v \in V.$$

We define the trace of π as a distribution,

$$\text{Tr}_\pi: C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

sending $f \mapsto \text{Tr } \pi(f)$.

For $v \in V_\pi$, assume π is unramified, and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$. If $v \in V^K$, which is 1-dimensional, then it must be an eigenvector for the $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ -action, i.e. $\pi(f)v = \omega(f)v$. This ω defines a character of $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$, which is of the form

$$\omega(f) = \int_{T(F)} \text{Sat}(f)(t)\theta(t) dt$$

where $\pi < \text{Ind}_{B(F)}^{G(F)} \theta$.

Definition 2.1. We define the *Satake parameter* of π , denoted c_π , as the semi-simple conjugacy class of \widehat{G} determined by the property that

$$\text{Tr } \pi(f) = \text{Sat}(f)(c_\pi).$$

3. THE BASIC FUNCTION

3.1. **Goal.** Assume that we have a group G satisfying the following properties.

(1) There exists a short exact sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 1 \quad (3.1)$$

hence also a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_*(T_0) \rightarrow X_*(T) \xrightarrow{\det} X_*(\mathbf{G}_m) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) We have a representation $\rho: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that $\rho(z) = z \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ for $z \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ for $\mathbf{G}_m \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}$ induced by (3.1).

Our goal is to define the *basic function* $\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr} \pi(\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}) = L(s, \pi, \rho).$$

3.2. **Example.** Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, ρ the standard representation of $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{C})$.

Consider a representation of the form $\pi < \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{GL}_2}(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$, where χ_1, χ_2 are unramified characters. The Satake parameter is

$$c_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(\varpi) & \\ & \chi_2(\varpi) \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

The associated L -factor is then

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) := \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha q^{-s})(1 - \beta q^{-s})}. \quad (3.2)$$

We Taylor-expand (3.2)

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha^i \beta^{k-i} \right) q^{-ks},$$

which we can rewrite as

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Tr} \left(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot q^{-ks}. \quad (3.3)$$

Now, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Sym}^k \rho) = q^{-k/2} \sum_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a \geq b \geq 0}} \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^a, \varpi^b)K}) \quad (3.4)$$

Inserting (3.4) into (3.3) above, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \pi, \rho) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{a+b=k \\ a \geq b \geq 0}} \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^a, \varpi^b)K}) q^{-k(s+1/2)} \\ &= \sum_{a \geq b \geq 0} \mathrm{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^a, \varpi^b)K}) q^{-(s+1/2)(a+b)}. \end{aligned}$$

We define a new function

$$\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s} := \sum_{a \geq b \geq 0} \mathbf{I}_{K \text{diag}(\varpi^a, \varpi^b)K} q^{-(s+1/2)(a+b)}.$$

Recalling that $\text{Tr } \pi(f) = \text{Sat}(f)(c_\pi)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$, the upshot is that

$$\text{Tr } \pi(\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}) = L(s, \pi, \rho).$$

Notice that the support of $\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}$ is $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{GL}_2(F)$.

Suppose we take $s = -1/2$. Then we get

$$\mathbf{L}_{\rho,-1/2} = \sum_{a \geq b \geq 0} \mathbf{I}_{K \text{diag}(\varpi^a, \varpi^b)K}.$$

This is just the characteristic function of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{GL}_2(F)$.

3.3. Definition of the basic function. Let π be an unramified representation of G , with Satake parameter c_π . Suppose we're given a representation

$$\rho: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbf{C}).$$

By definition,

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = \det(I_N - \rho(c_\pi)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

We again want to rewrite this in terms of Satake transforms. First, we have

$$\det(I_N - \rho(c_\pi)q^{-s})^{-1} = \sum \text{Tr} \left(\text{Sym}^k \rho(c_\pi) \right) q^{-ks}.$$

We then decompose $\text{Sym}^k \rho$ in terms of irreducibles.

$$\text{Sym}^k \rho = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in X_+^*(\widehat{T})} m(\text{Sym}^k \rho : V_\lambda) V_\lambda$$

hence

$$\text{Tr} \left(\text{Sym}^k \rho(c_\pi) \right) q^{-ks} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in X_+^*(\widehat{T})} m(\text{Sym}^k \rho : V_\lambda) \chi_\lambda(c_\pi) q^{-ks}.$$

To express χ_π in terms of Satake transforms, we use the Lusztig-Kato formula from Theorem 2.1:

$$\chi_\lambda = q^{-\langle \lambda, \rho_B \rangle} \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} P_{\mu, \lambda}(q) \text{Sat}(\mathbf{I}_{K\mu(\varpi)K}).$$

We then plug this in to get a complicated formula for the L -function in terms of the Satake transform of some function.

Definition 3.1. Define

$$\mathbf{L}_k^\rho := \text{Sat}^{-1}(\text{Tr } \text{Sym}^k \rho) =: \sum_{\mu \in X_*^+(T)} a_\mu(q, s, k) \mathbf{I}_{K\mu(\varpi)K}.$$

Define the *basic function* to be

$$\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s} := \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{L}_k^\rho q^{-ks} \in C^\infty(K \backslash G / K).$$

By construction, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} \pi(\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}) = L(s, \pi, \rho).$$

Several natural questions present themselves:

- (1) What is the support of $\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}$? (It will be a union of double cosets $K\mu(\varpi)K$, but which ones?)
- (2) Find M_ρ to replace $M_{2 \times 2}$ in the example of §3.2 such that the support of $\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}$ is contained in $G(F) \cap M_f(\mathcal{O})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s})}$ is compact in $M_\rho(F)$.

4. REDUCTIVE MONOIDS

4.1. Linear algebraic monoids.

Definition 4.1. A *linear algebraic monoid* M is an affine algebraic variety together with an associative morphism

$$\mu: M \times M \rightarrow M$$

and an identity element $1 \in M$ for μ .

We define the *unit group* of a linear algebraic monoid M to be

$$G(M) := \{g \in M : g^{-1} \in M\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be an irreducible algebraic group. There exists an irreducible algebraic monoid M with $G(M) = G$ such that $G \neq M$ if and only if $X^*(G) \neq \{1\}$.*

Let M be reductive (i.e. $G(M)$ is reductive), normal, with $0 \in M$ and one-dimensional center. We will generally restrict our attention to such monoids, possibly relaxing the last condition. Under these conditions, have the following facts:

- (1) If M is smooth, then $M \cong M_{n \times n}(\overline{F})$ as algebraic monoids.
- (2) $(M - 0)/\mathbf{G}_m$ is projective.

4.2. Example: Vinberg's universal monoid of SL_3 . Let $G' = \mathrm{SL}_3$,

$$T' := \left\{ t := \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & (t_1 t_2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset G'.$$

Let Z' be the center of G' .

Define $G^+ = (G' \times T')/Z'$. We have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_3 \rightarrow G^+ \rightarrow T'/Z' \rightarrow 1.$$

We will construct a monoid M for G^+ . The idea is to take a large faithful representation, and take the affine closure in the space of endomorphisms.

Define two representations of $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$: $\rho_1 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\rho_2 = g \mapsto (g^{-1})^T$, corresponding to the fundamental weights $\omega_1(t) = t_1$ and $\omega_2(t) = t_1 t_2$, respectively.

We will define new representations $\rho_i^+ : G^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3$, by

$$(t, g) \mapsto \omega_i(w_0(t^{-1}))\rho_i(g).$$

where w_0 be the longest Weyl element, which specializes in this case to

$$\rho_1^+ : (t, g) \mapsto (t_1 t_2 g)$$

and

$$\rho_2^+ : (t, g) \rightarrow (t_1(g^{-1})^T).$$

Let $\alpha_1(t) = t_1 t_2^{-1}$ and $\alpha_2(t) = t_1 t_2^2$. Hence we have $(\alpha^+, \rho^+) : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m^2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ by

$$(t, g) \mapsto (t_1 t_2^{-1}, t_1 t_2^2, t_1 t_2 g, t_1(g^{-1})^T).$$

Let M^+ be the affine closure of the image of (α^+, ρ^+) in $\mathbf{G}_a^2 \times M_{3 \times 3}^2$. We can describe the image as

$$\left\{ (x, y, A_1, A_2) \in \mathbf{G}_m^2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3^2 : \begin{array}{l} A_1(A_2^T) = (A_1^T)A_2 = xy \mathrm{Id}_3 \\ \wedge^2 A_1 = x A_2 \\ \wedge^2 A_2 = y A_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have $G^+ = G(M^+)$. Writing $M^+ = \bigcup_{e \in \Lambda} G^+ e G^+$, we have $\#\Lambda = 11$ in this case. There is an action of $G' \times G'$ on M^+ . Let

$$\pi : M^+ \rightarrow M^+ // G' \times G'$$

be the GIT quotient.

Example 4.1. For $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$, $M^+ = M_{2 \times 2}$ and $\pi : M^+ \rightarrow M^+ // \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ and $\pi(g) = \det g$.

In the previous example, $M^+ / G' \times G' \cong \mathbf{G}_a^2$. In general, the quotient is an affine space of dimension rank equal to rank G' .

4.3. Example: $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$. Let's go back to the $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$ case. Let $\lambda_n : \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t^n$. This is the highest weight of the representation $\rho_n = \mathrm{Sym}^n$ of SL_2 .

Consider $Z' \subset T' \subset G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$. We define $\lambda_n \in X^*(\widehat{T}^{\mathrm{sc}}) = X_*(T^{\mathrm{ad}})$ by $\lambda_n(a) = a^n$. This extends to a morphism $\mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a$.

We then define M^{λ_n} by the cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^{\lambda_n} & \longrightarrow & M^+ \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi^+ \\ \mathbf{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} & \mathbf{G}_a^r \end{array}$$

Explicitly,

$$M^{\lambda_n} = \{(a, m) \in \mathbf{G}_a \times M_{2 \times 2} : \pi^+(m) = \lambda_n(a), \text{ i.e. } \det(m) = a^n\}.$$

- If $n = 2m$ is even, then we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G(M^{\lambda_n})$$

by

$$(a, g) \mapsto (a, a^m g).$$

- If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, then

$$\mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G(M^{\lambda_n})$$

by

$$g \mapsto (\det g, (\det g)^m g).$$

The dual groups are then $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. We take $\rho = \mathrm{Sym}^n$. This is always well defined.

Exercise 4.1. Check that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{L}_{\rho,s}) \subset M^{\lambda_n}(\mathcal{O}) \cap G^{\lambda_n}(F)$.