

GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION OF ORBITAL INTEGRALS

YIHANG ZHU

1. GEOMETRIC EXPANSION

This is a talk about “geometrization of the geometric side of the analytic RTF”. Yesterday we introduced $\mathbb{J}(f, s)$. This has a geometric expansion and a spectral expansion; we will focus on the geometric expansion:

$$\mathbb{J}(f, s) = \sum_{u \in \mathbf{P}^1(F) - \{1\}} \mathbb{J}(u, f, s).$$

1.1. Orbital integrals. The regular semisimple orbital integrals correspond to $u \neq 0, \infty$:

$$\mathbb{J}(u, f, s) = \mathbb{J}(\gamma, f, s) = \int_{A(\mathbf{A}) \times A(\mathbf{A})} f(h_1^{-1} \gamma h_2) |h_1 h_2|^s \eta(h_2) dh_1 dh_2$$

where $\text{inv}(\gamma) = u$. For these γ , there are no convergence issues because the conjugacy class is closed in $G(\mathbf{A})$, and f has compact support in $G(\mathbf{A})$. So no regularization is needed in this case.

We can restrict our attention to Hecke functions of the form $f = h_D$, for D an effective divisor.

1.2. Observation. We can compute the orbital integral on GL_2 , as follows. If $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a lift of γ , and $D = \sum n_x x$, we can define

$$\tilde{h} := \bigotimes_x \tilde{h}_{n_x, x} \in \mathcal{H}_x(\text{GL}_2)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_{n_x, x} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Mat}_2(\mathcal{O}_x)_{\text{val}(\det)=n_x}} \in \mathcal{H}_x(\text{GL}_2).$$

Remark 1.1. The \tilde{h}_D is not a pullback of h_D ; rather, it is a lift.

Lemma 1.2. *We have*

$$\mathbb{J}(\gamma, h_D, s) = \int_{\Delta(Z(\mathbf{A})) \setminus (\tilde{A} \times \tilde{A})(\mathbf{A})} \tilde{h}_D(h_1^{-1} \tilde{\gamma} h_2) |\alpha(h_1) \alpha(h_2)|^s \eta(\alpha(h_2)) dh_1 dh_2.$$

Here \tilde{A} is the diagonal torus in GL_2 , and $\alpha: \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a/d$.

Proof. Clear. □

1.3. Geometrization. Note that $\tilde{h}_D(h_1^{-1}\tilde{\gamma}h_2)$ only depends on the value of h_1 and h_2 in $\tilde{A}(\mathbf{A})/\tilde{A}(\mathbf{O})$. Since $\tilde{A} \cong \mathbf{G}_m^2$, we have

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{A})/\tilde{A}(\mathbf{O}) \cong (\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{O}))^2 = (\text{Div } X)^2.$$

The condition that $\tilde{h}_D = 1$ defines a subset of $\Delta(\text{Div } X) \setminus (\text{Div } X)^4$. We'll first describe the subset in $(\text{Div } X)^4$ before quotienting by center. It will be denoted

Definition 1.3. We define $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{D,\tilde{\gamma}} \subset (\text{Div } X)^4$ to be the set of $(E_1, E_2, E'_1, E'_2) \in \text{Div}(X)^4$ which are all effective, such that the rational map $\mathbf{O}^2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbf{O}^2$ induces a holomorphic map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{O}^2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & \mathbf{O}^2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}(-E_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-E_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\tilde{\gamma}}} & \mathcal{O}(-E'_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-E'_2) \end{array}$$

such that $\text{Div } \phi_{\tilde{\gamma}} = D$. Finally, we define

$$\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma},D} := \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\tilde{\gamma},D}/\Delta(\text{Div } X).$$

The upshot is

$$\mathbb{J}(\gamma, h_D, s) = \sum_{E_1, E_2, E'_1, E'_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma},D}} q^{-\deg(E_1 - E_2 + E'_1 - E'_2)s} \eta(E_1)\eta(E_2)$$

Since η is a quadratic character, we can rewrite this as

$$\mathbb{J}(\gamma, h_D, s) = \sum_{E_1, E_2, E'_1, E'_2 \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\gamma},D}} q^{-\deg(E_1 - E_2 + E'_1 - E'_2)s} \eta(E_1 - E'_1)\eta(E_2 - E'_2) \quad (1.1)$$

Here $h_1 \leftrightarrow (E'_1, E'_2)$ and $h_2 \leftrightarrow (E_1, E_2)$.

The idea of geometrization is that the formula (1.1) should be expressible as the sum, over k -points of a scheme, of the value at that point of the function associated to a sheaf on the scheme. Through this we can relate the formula to Lefschetz cohomology.

2. THE MODULI SPACES

Definition 2.1. Let $\hat{X}_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$ be the moduli space of sections, i.e.

$$\hat{X}_d(S) = \left\{ (\mathcal{L}, s) : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} = \text{degree } d \text{ line bundle on } X \times S \\ s \in H^0(X \times S, \mathcal{L}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $X_d = \text{Sym}^d X = X^d // S_d$. This is a scheme, with a natural embedding $X_d \hookrightarrow \hat{X}_d$ sending

$$(t_1, \dots, t_d) \mapsto (\mathcal{O}(t_1 + \dots + t_d), 1).$$

This is an isomorphism onto the open subscheme of X^d where the section is not the zero section.

Note that $\widehat{X}_d \setminus X_d \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_X^d$. The composition

$$X_d \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$$

is the Abel-Jacobi map.

Definition 2.2. For $d = \deg D$, let

$$\Sigma_d = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid d_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, d_{11} + d_{22} = d_{12} + d_{21} = d \right\}$$

Given $\underline{d} \in \Sigma_d$, we define the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\underline{d}}$ classifying

- four line bundles K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2 such that

$$\deg K'_i - \deg K_j = d_{ij}.$$

- A map $\varphi: K_1 \oplus K_2 \rightarrow K'_1 \oplus K'_2$, which we can write as

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{11} & \varphi_{12} \\ \varphi_{21} & \varphi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\varphi_{ij}: K_i \rightarrow K'_j$, satisfying some technical conditions. One example is if $d_{11} < d_{22}, d_{12} < d_{21}$

$$\varphi_{11} \neq 0, \varphi_{12} \neq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and $\varphi_{21}, \varphi_{22}$ are not both 0.

There is an obvious action of Pic_X on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\underline{d}}$, and we define

$$\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\underline{d}} / \text{Pic}_X.$$

Definition 2.3. We define the moduli space \mathcal{A}_d classifying (Δ, a, b) where

- $\Delta \in \text{Pic}_X^d$ and
- $a, b \in H^0(X, \Delta)$ are global sections not vanishing simultaneously.

Remark 2.4. The scheme \mathcal{A}_d is covered by two pieces

$$X_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X^d} \widehat{X}_d$$

and

$$\widehat{X}_d \times_{\text{Pic}^d} X_d.$$

The morphism $X_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^d$ is representable, the fibers being vector spaces, hence \mathcal{A}_d is a scheme.

Definition 2.5. We define a map

$$f_{\underline{d}}: \mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$$

sending

$$(K_1, K_2, K'_1, K'_2) \mapsto (K'_1 \otimes K'_2 \otimes K_1^\vee \otimes K_2^\vee, \varphi_{11} \otimes \varphi_{22}, \varphi_{12} \otimes \varphi_{21}).$$

Proposition 2.6. $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}}$ enjoys the following properties.

- (1) $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}}$ is a geometrically connected scheme over k .
- (2) If $d \geq 4g - 3$, $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}}$ is smooth of dimension $2d - g + 1$.
- (3) The morphism $f_{\underline{d}}$ is proper.

Proof. Use the non-vanishing conditions to find a covering of \mathcal{N}_d analogous to the covering of \mathcal{A}_d discussed above. This implies (1) + (3). (Properness reduces to properness of $X_{d_{ij}}$.) For (2), by Riemann-Roch the map $\widehat{X}_{d_{ij}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^{d_{ij}}$ is smooth of relative dimension $1 - g + d_{ij}$ if d_{ij} is large. If d is large then at least one of the relevant d_{ij} is large, and you use that one to run this argument. \square

3. GEOMETRIZATION OF THE ANALYTIC RTF

We now define a crucial local system L_d on \mathcal{N}_d . By geometric class field theory there is a rank 1 local system on the Picard scheme of X corresponding to the quadratic character η . We first define a local system L_d on \widehat{X}_d as the pullback of this local system via the map $\widehat{X}_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X^{\text{coarse}}$.

There is an open embedding

$$\mathcal{N}_d \hookrightarrow (\widehat{X}_{d_{11}} \times \widehat{X}_{d_{22}}) \times_{\text{Pic}_X^d} (\widehat{X}_{d_{12}} \times \widehat{X}_{d_{21}}) \quad (3.1)$$

given by the universal φ_{ij} 's. Finally, we define the rank 1 local system L_d on \mathcal{N}_d to be the restriction of the local system

$$L_{d_{11}} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell \boxtimes L_{d_{12}} \boxtimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell \text{ on } (\widehat{X}_{d_{11}} \times \widehat{X}_{d_{22}}) \times_{\text{Pic}_X^d} (\widehat{X}_{d_{12}} \times \widehat{X}_{d_{21}})$$

to \mathcal{N}_d via (3.1).

Definition 3.1. We define

$$\delta: \mathcal{A}_d \rightarrow \widehat{X}_d$$

to be the morphism sending

$$(\Delta, a, b) \mapsto (\Delta, a - b).$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{A}_D := \delta^{-1}(\mathcal{O}(D), 1) \cong \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)).$$

and the invariant map

$$\text{inv}_D: \mathcal{A}_D(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(F) - \{1\}$$

sending $a \mapsto 1 - a^{-1}$, viewing a as a rational function in F .

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $u \neq 0, \infty$.*

(1) *If $u \notin \text{Im inv}_D$, then $\mathbb{J}(u, h_D, s) = 0$.*

(2) *If $u = \text{inv}_D(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}_D(k)$, then*

$$\mathbb{J}(u, h_D, s) = \sum_{d \in \Sigma_d} q^{(2d_{12}-d)s} \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_a, Rf_{d,*} L_d)_{\bar{a}}$$

Proof. (2) We have a bijection

$$\mathcal{N}_{D, \tilde{\gamma}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_a(k)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_a(k) = \bigsqcup_{d \in \Sigma_d} f_d^{-1}(a)$ sending

$$(E_1, E_2, E'_1, E'_2) \mapsto (\mathcal{O}(-E_1), \mathcal{O}(-E_2), \mathcal{O}(-E'_1), \mathcal{O}(-E'_2), \varphi_{\tilde{\gamma}}).$$

Use (1.1) and the definition of L_d . \square