

# Beilinson-Kato and Beilinson-Flach elements in $p$ -adic families II

Notes by Tony Feng  
for a talk by Henri Darmon

June 16, 2016

Again, this is a slides talk so I'm just jotting down some impressions.

## 1 Overview

### 1.1 Rubin's formula

Rubin proved a formula for CM elliptic curves, basically stating that if  $L(E, 1) = 0$  then there is a global point  $P$  such that some Katz  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function equals a period times the square of the logarithm of  $P$ .

### 1.2 Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Perrin-Riou conjectured a generalization to all elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

One key ingredient is a *Perrin-Riou  $L$ -function*, which is a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function valued in de Rham cohomology (which is viewed as the Dieudonne module of the Galois representation).

### 1.3 Compare and contrast

- both formulas involve the square of the logarithm of a class,
- Rubin's formula involves a value whereas Perrin-Riou's formula involves a derivative
- Rubin's formula involves a value outside the classical range of interpolation, whereas Perrin-Riou's formula involves a derivative at a point in the classical range of interpretation.

The goals are

1. clarify the relationship between Rubin's original formula and Perrin-Riou's formula,
2. use the ideas emerging from this comparison to prove Perrin-Riou's formula in special cases.

## 1.4 Recap

- There is a Beilinson-Kato class  $\kappa_{BK}(E, \chi_1, \chi_2) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p(E)(\chi_1))$ .
- There is a Perrin-Riou  $L$ -function  $\mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(E, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  and a PR-Garret-Rankin  $L$ -function  $\mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$ .
- The pair  $(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  gives rise to a genus character  $\psi$ , from which we get a Hida family of theta series specializing to  $\theta_\psi$ .
- There is a Beilinson-Flach (cohomology) class  $\kappa_{BF}(E, \theta_\psi)$ .
- We are trying to relate

$$\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(f, \chi_1, \chi_2)$$

to the square of the  $p$ -adic logarithm of some point. Perrin-Riou relates it to the BK logarithm of  $\kappa_{BK}(f, \chi_1, \chi_2)$ .

- Also there is a formula relating

$$\log_p \kappa_{BF}(f, \theta_\psi, E_1(1, \chi)) \leftrightarrow \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(F, \theta_\psi, \mathcal{E}(1, \chi)).$$

- There is also a  $\Lambda$ -adic Siegel-Weil formula relating Eisenstein series and the theta function. From this one recovers a relation between the Kato and BF classes:

$$\log_p \kappa_{BK}(f, \chi_1, \chi_2) = \log 2 \kappa_{BF}(f, \theta_\psi, E_1(1, \chi)).$$

Why is this useful? Because the data of  $(K, \psi)$  gives rise to a Heegner point.

The BF class and the Heegner point can be compared; that is the subject of today's talk. The comparison proceeds via two *different* "Rubin-style formulas".

## 2 A broader setting: generalized Kato classes

What are the objects that play the role, for  $\mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$  of

- the Coates-Wiles classes  $\kappa_{CW}(\psi)$  for  $\mathcal{L}_p^{Katz}(\psi)$ ?
- the BK classes  $\kappa_{BK}(f, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  for  $\mathcal{L}_p^{PR}(f, \chi_1, \chi_2)$ ?

The *generalized Kato classes* are a natural extension suitable for studying  $\mathcal{L}_p(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$  for arbitrary Hida families  $\underline{g}$  and  $\underline{h}$ .

These come in three "flavors".

## 2.1 Setup

Let  $f$  be a modular form on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with trivial nebentypus, and  $g, h$  modular forms with the same weight  $k$  but opposite nebentypus. Let  $V_f, V_g, V_h$  be the representations attached and  $V_{fgh}$  the Kummer self-dual Tate twist of the tensor product. We can associate a class  $\kappa_{fgh}$ .

Let's do the example  $k = 2$ . Let  $c \in [1, 3]$  be the number of cuspidal forms among  $\{f, g, h\}$ .

**Fact:** we can construct an element  $\gamma(f, g, h) \in H_{\text{ét}}^{1+c}(X_1(N)^c, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))$  associated to  $(f, g, h)$ .

*Important preliminary remark:* if  $g$  is an Eisenstein series of weight 2, then there is a modular unit  $u_g \in \mathcal{O}_{Y_1(N)}^*$  such that

$$d \log u_g = g.$$

- If  $c = 1$  and  $g, h$  are Eisenstein then we consider the  $\delta$  from Kummer theory and

$$\gamma(f, g, h) = \delta(u_g) \cup \delta(u_h).$$

- If  $c = 2$  and  $h$  is Eisenstein, then we have  $i: H_1(N) \hookrightarrow Y_1(N)^2$  and

$$i_*: H_{\text{ét}}^1(Y_1(N), \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^3(Y_1(N)^2, \mathbb{Q}_p(2))$$

$$\text{and } \gamma(f, g, h) = i_*(\delta(u_h)).$$

- If  $c = 3$ , then  $\Delta \in CH^2(X_1(N)^3)$  be the diagonal and  $\gamma(f, g, h)$  is the class of  $\Delta$ .

In all cases there is a simple modification of these classes yielding a class in the cohomology of the completed curve.

The Serre spectral sequence from geometric to absolute cohomology gives a map from étale cohomology to  $H^1(Q, H_{\text{ét}})$  and we consider the image of  $\gamma(f, g, h)$ . Then there is a formula which looks like tensoring with the representations corresponding to the cuspidal terms.

Assemble these classes into a  $\Lambda$ -class  $\underline{\kappa}(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$ . Then specialize to weight  $k = 1$ .

## 2.2 A general theme

Coates-Wiles says that if the  $L$ -function doesn't vanish, then the CW class is crystalline.

Kato and BDR proved analogous statements for the Kato and generalized Heegner classes.

From this, we get analogues of the Coates-Wiles results on "BSD in analytic rank 0".

One can use Euler systems to strengthen this to a bound on Selmer groups, hence III. This was done by Karl Rubin in the Coates-Wiles setting, in the BK setting ( $c = 1$ ) by Kato, and in the Beilinson-Flach ( $c = 2$ ) by Zerbes et al.

### 2.3 Importance of $p$ -stabilizations

From  $f, g, h$  we get four classes

$$\kappa(f, g_{\alpha/\beta}, h_{\alpha/\beta})$$

where the  $\alpha, \beta$  are distinct roots of some Hecke relation for  $g, h$ .

So we also get four logarithms, to the four summands of

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_f \otimes V_{gh}) = \bigoplus H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_f \otimes V_{\alpha/\beta, \alpha/\beta}).$$

## 3 Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all  $(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$  specializing to  $(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$  in weights  $(2, 1, 1)$*

1.  $\log_{\alpha\alpha} \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) = 0$ ,
2.  $\log_{\beta\beta} \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) \sim \frac{d}{ds}(\mathcal{L}_p(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h}))_{s=1}$  modulo  $\text{Fil}^0$ . (This generalizes PR's formula.)

We also need a Perrin-Riou style formula.

**Theorem 3.2** (Lauder, Rotger, D). *For all  $(f, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$  specializing to  $(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$  in weight  $(2, 1, 1)$*

1. If  $g$  is cuspidal, then  $\log_{\alpha\beta} \kappa(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha) \sim \mathcal{L}_p^{g_\alpha}(f, g_\alpha, h_\alpha)$
2. Analogous for  $h$ .

There are more formulas. We need a formula of Waldspurger, which is for something like  $L(f \otimes \theta_\psi, 1 + t)$  where  $\psi$  is a Hecke character.

$$L(f \otimes \theta_\psi, 1 + t) \sim \left( \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi^{-1} \kappa(\mathfrak{a}) \delta^{t-1} f(\mathfrak{a}) \right)^2.$$

Using Waldspurger's formula one gets a Rubin-style Gross-Zagier formula.

**Theorem 3.3.**

$$\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Wald}}(f, \psi) \sim \log_p^2(P_\psi).$$

The point is that the  $d^{-1} f^{[p]}(\mathfrak{a}) \sim \log_p P_{E, \mathfrak{a}}$  because the LHS is the Coleman primitive.

## 4 Conclusion

The comparison involved two-different Rubin-style formulas.