

Relation with Cohomology of Lubin-Tate Spaces

Notes by Tony Feng
for a talk by Jared Weinstein

April 8, 2016

The goal of this talk is to confirm Fargues' conjecture in the following (non-abelian!) case:

- $G = \mathrm{GL}_n / \mathbb{Q}_p$,
- $\mu(z) = \mathrm{diag}(z, 1, \dots, 1)$,
- $b = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \\ p^{-1} & & & \end{pmatrix} \in G(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$,
- $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = D^*$ where D/\mathbb{Q}_p is a division algebra of invariant $1/n$.

1 The Hecke stack

We have a Hecke stack

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu & \\ h^\leftarrow \swarrow & & \searrow h^\rightarrow \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} & & \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond \end{array}$$

where Hecke has functor of points

$$\mathrm{Hecke}^{\leq \mu}(S) = \left\{ (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}', S^\#, u) : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}' = G\text{-bundles} \\ S^\# = \text{untilt} \leftrightarrow i: D \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}_{X/S}^1 \\ u: \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\leq \mu} \mathcal{E}' \text{ such that} \\ \text{coker } \mu \text{ supported on } D \end{array} \right\}$$

We could (and usually would) write $\mathrm{Hecke}^{\leq \mu}$ but in this case there's no difference because μ is miniscule. The modification will be

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow i_* W \rightarrow 0$$

where W is a rank 1 $S^\#$ -module.

This should not be a perfectoid space but a “stack” because there are many automorphisms. We can address this by rigidifying, and that is how the Lubin-Tate tower shows up.

2 Rigidification: the Lubin-Tate tower at infinite level

Let $y_1: \text{Spa } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ and $y_b: \text{Spa } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ be two points. (We pass to the algebraic closure because we do not want to keep track of the Weil descent datum right now; one can always go back to this later.) We define a sheaf \mathcal{M}_∞ on Perf by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_\infty & \longrightarrow & \text{Hecke}^\mu \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow h^\leftarrow \times h_0^\rightarrow \\ \text{Spa } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \end{array}$$

where $h_0^\rightarrow = p_1 \circ h^\rightarrow$. Here since y_1 corresponds to the trivial bundle, \mathcal{M}_∞ parametrizes modifications of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_X(1/n) \rightarrow i_* W \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the only thing that varies in moduli is u .

Theorem 2.1 (Scholze-Weinstein). *Let $H_0/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be the p -divisible group which is connected of dimension 1 and height n (exactly the one corresponding to the isocrystal b).*

1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty(R, R^+/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \left\{ (H, \iota, \alpha) : \begin{array}{l} H = p\text{-div group}/R^+ \\ \alpha = \text{quasi-isog.} : H \otimes_{R^+} R^+/p \sim H_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} R^+/p \\ \iota : T_p H \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\oplus n} \end{array} \right\}$$

This has an action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, with $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acting on ι and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acting on α .

2. \mathcal{M}_∞ is a perfectoid space.

Remark 2.2. The $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b$ -action is also clear from the description of \mathcal{M}_∞ as parametrizing extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{O}_X(1/n) \rightarrow i_* W \rightarrow 0.$$

because $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is automorphism group of $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{O}_X^n$ and J_b is automorphism group of $\mathcal{E}_b = \mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$.

Remark 2.3. \mathcal{M}_∞ comes equipped with a map to \mathbb{Q}_p because it's fibered over Hecke^μ , which has such a map, because anything over $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond$ has a map to $\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proof Sketch. 2. How do we parametrize these morphisms u ? Well, u is a map of vector bundles $\mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$, which is the same as giving n global sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$. So that gives a map

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty \mapsto H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1/n))^{\oplus n}.$$

(For clarity, we spell out that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1/n))^{\oplus n}$ is the sheaf that assigns to $S \in \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ n sections in $H^0(X_S, \mathcal{O}(1/n))$.) As covered in the discussion session on p -divisible groups, the sheaf $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1/n))$ is the same as \widetilde{H} , the universal cover of any lift $H/W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ of H_0 . (We have $H_0 \leftrightarrow b \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_b$, and the general theorem is that $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}_b) = \widetilde{H}$). Scholze-Weinstein proves that this map is a locally closed embedding, from which it follows that \mathcal{M}_∞ is a perfectoid space. □

3 Another Rigidification

We just related the Hecke stack to a perfectoid space at infinite level. This is a little overkill. What if we rigidify at just one vector and not the other? Suppose we just fix $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$. Then we are considering

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1/n) \rightarrow i_*W \rightarrow 0.$$

This is easy to parametrize because we just have to say what W is. It is a rank 1 quotient of the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$ at the point D , so it's parametrized by \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

To understand what \mathcal{E} is, we note that $\mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$ has rank n and degree 1 while i_*W has rank 0 and degree 1. By the additivity of rank and degree, we deduce that \mathcal{E} has rank n and degree 0. We also know that $\mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$ is semistable. So what could a slope of \mathcal{E} be? There cannot be a slope $> 1/n$ by the semistability of $\mathcal{O}_X(1/n)$. However, any other positive slope would have a larger denominator, hence larger rank. So we conclude that \mathcal{E} must be semistable of slope 0. It's then proven in Kedlaya-Liu that there's some pro-étale cover trivializing it.

Remark 3.1. This is a really special feature of the Lubin-Tate situation.

As we said, specifying W is picking a line, i.e. 1-dimensional quotient of an n -dimensional space. So we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} \rightarrow \text{Hecke}^\mu \xrightarrow{h^\leftarrow} \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$$

The preceding discussion showed that \mathcal{E} is always pro-étale locally the trivial bundle, so the composite map factors through

$$y_1: [\text{Spa } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)] \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}.$$

Thus we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & [\mathrm{Spa} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)] \\
 & \nearrow r & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hecke}^\mu} & \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The map $r: \mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spa} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$ corresponds by a definition to a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -torsor on $\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond}$, and it turns out to be \mathcal{M}_∞ . The map to $\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond}$ factors through some finite layer, i.e. we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_{\infty, \diamond} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{P}^{n-1, \diamond} \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & \mathcal{M}_K^\diamond &
 \end{array}$$

where $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a compact open subgroup.

In order to match things up with the Hecke correspondence, we now base change to \mathbb{Q}_p (because one of the maps of Hecke^μ is to $\mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times (\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p)^\diamond$).

$$[\mathrm{Spa} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] \xrightarrow{(x_b, 1)} \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond.$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu \\
 \downarrow j & & \downarrow h^\rightarrow \\
 [\mathrm{Spa} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\diamond / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{(x_b, 1)} & \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond
 \end{array}$$

(We have written down this diagram before without modding out by J_b on the left side.) The map $i: [\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] \rightarrow \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu$ is an open embedding. Indeed, as Peter mentioned in his talk, there is a theorem that

$$\coprod_{b \text{ basic}} \left[\frac{\mathrm{Spa} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \right] = \mathrm{Bun}_G^{ss}$$

and i is a base change of this map.

To summarize, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & [\mathrm{Spa} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{Q}_p] \\
& & & \nearrow r & \downarrow x_1 \\
[\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu & \xrightarrow{h^\leftarrow} & \mathrm{Bun}_G \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow h^\rightarrow & & \\
[\mathrm{Spa} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\diamond / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{(x_b, 1)} & \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond & &
\end{array}$$

4 Fargues' conjecture

Let $\phi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a discrete Weil parameter. What does Fargues's conjecture say in this case? (The situation here is a little simplified by the fact that S_ϕ is trivial.) It predicts that there exists \mathcal{F}_ϕ on $\mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ such that (up to shifts and twists)

1. We have

$$h_1^\rightarrow h^{\leftarrow*} \mathcal{F}_\phi = \mathcal{F}_\phi \boxtimes \phi. \quad (2)$$

(This is simpler than in general because IC sheaf is constant up to shifts and twists, and also it is unnecessary to write r_μ because it is the standard representation of GL_n .)

2. We have $x_1^* \mathcal{F}_\phi = \pi$ and $x_b^* \mathcal{F}_\phi = \rho$ where π and ρ correspond to ϕ under the local Langlands correspondence.

Consequences of the conjecture. Pulling back (2) through $(x_b, 1)^*$ gives

$$(x_b, 1)^* h_1^\rightarrow h^{\leftarrow*} \mathcal{F}_\phi = (x_b, 1)^* \mathcal{F}_\phi \boxtimes \phi. \quad (3)$$

On the left side we get $\rho \otimes \phi$ by the second part of the conjecture. On the right side, first apply proper base change to j from the earlier diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
[\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow h^\rightarrow \\
[\mathrm{Spa} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\diamond / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{(x_b, 1)} & \mathrm{Bun}_{G, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond
\end{array}$$

to deduce that

$$\rho \otimes \phi = (x_b, 1)^* h_1^{\rightarrow} h^{\leftarrow*} \mathcal{F}_\phi = (x_b, 1)^* \overline{\mathcal{F}}_\phi \boxtimes \phi = j_! i^* h^{\leftarrow*} \mathcal{F}_\phi. \quad (4)$$

Now we use the top part of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & [\mathrm{Spa} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{Q}_p] \\ & \nearrow r & \downarrow x_1 \\ [\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)] & \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Hecke}^\mu & \xrightarrow{h^{\leftarrow}} \mathrm{Bun}_G \end{array}$$

to deduce that

$$j_! i^* h^{\leftarrow*} \mathcal{F}_\phi = j_! r^* x_1^* \mathcal{F}_\phi.$$

Then part 2 of the conjecture implies that this is $j_! r^* \pi$, so combining this with (4) gives

$$\rho \otimes \phi = j_! r^* x_1^* \mathcal{F}_\phi.$$

Recall that r corresponds to a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -torsor on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1, \diamond}$. We can compose this with the representation associated to π to obtain a sheaf $r^* \pi$ on $[\mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond} / J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$ (recall that $\mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{n-1, \diamond}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -torsor).

Now we apply $j_!$ to get

$$\rho \otimes \phi = H_c^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{n-1}, r^* \pi). \quad (5)$$

Here we have base-changed to \mathbb{C}_p and gotten rid of J_b quotient at the cost of remembering the action of Galois and J_b . (You can get rid of quotients in your sheaves at the cost of remembering the action). So the above isomorphism is equivariant for the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.)

We ignored shifts and twists; if you keep track of them then (assuming that π is cuspidal) you get

$$\rho \otimes \phi = H_c^{n-1}(\mathcal{M}_\infty, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)[\pi^\vee] \left(\frac{1-n}{2} \right). \quad (6)$$

This is a very deep theorem of Harris-Taylor. How did we get from (5) to (6)? The Hoschild-Serre spectral sequence for the fibration

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathbb{C}_p} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}_p \end{array}$$

converges as

$$H_i(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p), H_c^j(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathbb{C}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \otimes \pi) \implies H^{-i+j}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, r^* \pi).$$

In the supercuspidal case there is no higher group cohomology, so you take the invariants in this tensor product, which gives what we claim.