

DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF \mathcal{M}_d

JOCHEN HEINLOTH

1. GOAL

Let X be a (smooth, projective, geometrically connected) curve over a finite field k , and $\nu: X' \rightarrow X$ a degree 2 étale cover.

Let $T := (\text{Res}_{X'/X} \mathbf{G}_m) / \mathbf{G}_m$. We can embed T into “ PGL_2 ” = $\text{Aut}(\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}) / \mathcal{O}_X^*$.

Remark 1.1. We can also view T as the norm-1 subgroup of the Weil restriction:

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \text{Res}_{X'/X} \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

We can put these two definitions together to get an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Res}_{X'/X} \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t(\sigma^* t)^{-1}} \text{Res}_{X'/X} \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.1)$$

The goal is to compute the intersection number

$$\langle \text{Sht}_T, h_D * \text{Sht}_T \rangle_{\text{Sht}_G}$$

where $G = \text{PGL}_2$.

2. THE MODULI SPACE \mathcal{M}_d

2.1. **Relation to intersection numbers.** Recall the shtuka space is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sht}_T & \longrightarrow & \text{Hk}^\mu \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Bun}_T & \xrightarrow{\text{Id, Frob}} & \text{Bun}_T \times \text{Bun}_T \end{array}$$

The idea is that the shtuka construction is complicated, so we should try to do the Bun_T intersection first. So we should try to compute “ $\text{Bun}_T \cap h_D * \text{Bun}_T$ ”.

Every time we want to do a PGL_2 -computation we actually push it to a GL_2 -computation. So as usual, set $\tilde{T} = \text{Res}_{X'/X} \mathbf{G}_m$ and $\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_2$. Note that $\text{Bun}_{\tilde{T}}$ can just be thought of as parametrizing line bundles on X , by the definition of Weil restriction.

So we want to compute the intersection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ? & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hk}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{\tilde{T}} \times \mathrm{Bun}_{\tilde{T}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Bun}_2 \times \mathrm{Bun}_2 \\ \\ (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}') & \longrightarrow & (\nu_* \mathcal{L}, \nu_* \mathcal{L}') \end{array}$$

In terms of the previous talks, $d = \deg D$. Recall that Hk^d parametrizes maps of vector bundles $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ with quotient a torsion sheaf of degree d .

Definition 2.1. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ to be the fibered product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hk}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Bun}_{\tilde{T}} \times \mathrm{Bun}_{\tilde{T}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Bun}_2 \times \mathrm{Bun}_2 \end{array}$$

Remark 2.2. What we are calling $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is called $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d^\heartsuit$ in the paper, but we're going to omit it because we'll be working with it most often.

2.2. The functor of points. Let's try to compute the functor of points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$. View $\mathrm{Bun}_{\tilde{T}}$ as $\mathrm{Pic}_{X'}$. The bottom horizontal map sends

$$(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}') \mapsto (\nu_* \mathcal{L}, \nu_* \mathcal{L}').$$

The space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ (which is analogous to a ‘‘Hitchin space’’) parametrizes

$$\{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}', \psi: \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{L}') \mid \deg \mathrm{coker} \psi = d\}.$$

Let's digest this. We need $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathrm{Pic}_X^* \times \mathrm{Pic}_X^{*+d}$, and ψ is equivalent to (by adjunction)

$$\varphi: \nu^* \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$$

We have $\nu^* \nu_* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \sigma^* \mathcal{L}$. So φ is equivalent to

$$\nu^* \nu_* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \sigma^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{L}'$$

which amounts to the data of two maps

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}' \\ \sigma^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{L}' \end{array}$$

2.3. Compactification. We now introduce a compactification of \mathcal{M}_d .

Definition 2.3. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ to be the moduli space classifying

- $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}_{X'}^* \times \text{Pic}_{X'}^{*+d}$,
- Maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: \mathcal{L} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}' \\ \beta: \mathcal{L} &\rightarrow \sigma^* \mathcal{L}' \end{aligned}$$

such that α, β are not both 0.

Remark 2.4. The bar on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is because we haven't imposed an injectivity condition on ψ . This space is just called $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ in the paper.

There is an action of Pic_X on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$, and we finally define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d := \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d / \text{Pic}_X$.

Remark 2.5. Obviously $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d$ isn't of finite type, since it has infinitely many components. Since $\nu^*: \text{Pic}_X^* \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X'}^{2*}$ hits "half" the components, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is of finite type. In fact it has exactly 2 components.

The map

$$\psi: \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{L}'$$

when pulled back to X' becomes

$$\nu^* \psi: \nu^* \nu_* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \nu^* \nu_* \mathcal{L}'$$

and is given by

$$\nu^* \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \sigma^* \beta \\ \beta & \sigma^* \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

so $\det \nu^* \psi = \text{Nm } \alpha - \text{Nm } \beta$. We have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d = \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d} \setminus \{\text{Nm } \alpha = \text{Nm } \beta\},$$

and

$$M_d = [\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_d / \text{Pic}_X].$$

2.4. The moduli space \mathcal{A}_d .

Definition 2.6. We define the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_d$ parametrizing

- $\Delta \in \text{Pic}_X$,
- $a, b \in H^0(X, \Delta)$ where a and b never simultaneously vanish.

Thus

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_d = \widehat{X}_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}_d - Z(\text{Pic}_X^d)$$

where $Z(\text{Pic}_X^d) = (\text{Pic}_X \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}_d \cup \widehat{X}_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \text{Pic}_X)$, embedding as the locus where a or b vanish.

Remark 2.7. Again we point out that the notation has changed from the paper and previous talks. What is being called $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_d$ used to be called \mathcal{A}_d , and what is being called \mathcal{A}_d is called \mathcal{A}_d^\heartsuit in the paper.

2.5. **The map f .** There is a map

$$f: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_d \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_d = \widehat{X}_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}_d - Z(\text{Pic}_X^d)$$

sending

$$(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}', \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\text{Nm}(\mathcal{L}') \otimes \text{Nm}(\mathcal{L})^{-1}, a := \text{Nm}(\alpha), b := \text{Nm}(\beta))$$

So $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is the pre-image of $\mathcal{A}_d := \langle (\mathcal{L}, a, b) : a = b \rangle$.

3. PROPERTIES OF \mathcal{M}_d

We begin with an important alternate description of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$. There is a map

$$\iota: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{X}'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}'_d.$$

Recall that $\widehat{X}'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}'_d$ parametrizes

- $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}_{X'}$,
- $\alpha \in H^0(X', \mathcal{L}), \beta \in H^0(X', \mathcal{L}')$ not both 0,
- $c: \text{Nm}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Nm}(\mathcal{L}')$.

In these terms, ι sends

$$(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}', \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \mathcal{L}' \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \alpha, \beta, \text{canonical}).$$

Proposition 3.1. *Keeping the notation above, the map ι is an isomorphism onto the open subset where a, b don't both vanish.*

Proof. We can ignore the sections; the interesting part is to keep track of the map on bundles, which looks like

$$(\text{Pic}_{X'}^* \times \text{Pic}_{X'}^{*+d}) / \text{Pic}_X \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{X'}^d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \text{Pic}_{X'}^d)$$

sending

$$(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}') \mapsto (\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \mathcal{L}' \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}). \quad (3.1)$$

We'll show that this is an isomorphism by describing the inverse.

By "looping" the sequences

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \text{Res}_{F'/F} \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Res}_{F'/F} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1$$

we obtain exact sequences of groups stacks

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Bun}_T \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}} \text{Pic}_X \rightarrow 1 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}} \text{Bun}_T \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Suppose we have a point $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', c: \text{Nm}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \text{Nm}(\mathcal{M}'))$ on the right hand side of (3.1). Then (3.2) tells us that since \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' have the same norm, they differ by a T -bundle. By (3.3), there exist $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{L}' \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, and this choice is unique up to multiplication by an element of Pic_X . □

Proposition 3.2. *If $\text{char} k \neq 2$ then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proof. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is covered by the open stacks $X'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}'_d$ and $\widehat{X}'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} X'_d$, describing when the sections α and β don't vanish, respectively. By symmetry, it suffices to show that one of these is Deligne-Mumford. Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}'_d & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X}'_d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \text{Pic}_{X'} \\ & & \downarrow \text{Nm} \\ X'_d & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \text{Pic}_X \end{array}$$

The map π is representable, since the fiber over \mathcal{L} is $H^0(X', \mathcal{L})$.

The map Nm is a torsor under $\ker(\text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \text{Pic}_X)$, which is the Prym variety $\text{Prym}(X'/X)/\mu_2$, since μ_2 is precisely the group of automorphisms of the norm map on line bundles.

This implies that the fibered product is Deligne-Mumford. \square

Remark 3.3. Alternatively, we can establish the Deligne-Mumford property by showing that the automorphisms groups are étale, i.e. have vanishing tangent space. We can compute the tangent space to the map

$$\text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \text{Pic}_X$$

as follows. The map on tangent spaces is

$$T_{\text{Nm}} = H^1(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) = H^1(X, \nu_* \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and the infinitesimal deformations of this map is the kernel of $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}) \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, which is just multiplication by 2.

Corollary 3.4. *$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$ is smooth if $d > 2g' - 1$.*

Proof. The map $\widehat{X}'_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X'}^d$ is a vector bundle if $d > 2g' - 1$ by Riemann-Roch, and $\widehat{X}'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} X'_d = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_d$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *The morphism $f: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_d \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_d$ is proper. Therefore its restriction to $f: \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d$ is also proper.*

Proof. Recall that f is the map

$$\widehat{X}'_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}'_d - (\text{both } 0) \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \widehat{X}_d \times_{\text{Pic}_X} \widehat{X}_d - (\text{both } 0)$$

where (both 0) refers to the substack where both global sections vanish. So it suffices to show that the norm map $\widehat{X}'_d \rightarrow \widehat{X}_d$ is proper. Note that this is obvious on fibers, since both $X'_d \xrightarrow{\nu_d} X_d$ and $\text{Pic}_{X'} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \text{Pic}_X$ are proper, the first map being even finite and the second map having the Prym variety as its kernel.

To give a formal proof, we compactify. If we define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d = \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_X^d, s \in \mathbf{P}H^0(X, \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X)\}$$

then the natural map $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d \rightarrow \text{Pic}_X$ is obviously proper, so $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d$ is proper. We have an open embedding $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d$ sending $(\mathcal{L}, s) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}, [s : 1])$. Note that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d = [(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d \times \mathbf{A}^1 - \text{both } 0)/\mathbf{G}_m]$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d = [(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d \times \mathbf{A}^1 - \text{both } 0)/\mathbf{G}_m]$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d \times \mathbf{A}^1$ parametrizes $(\mathcal{L}, s \in H^0(\mathcal{L}), f \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X))$, and similarly for \mathcal{X}' . The substack (both 0) refers to the locus where $s = f = 0$. Then we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d \end{array}$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d$ is proper, so $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_d$ is proper. □