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A huge number of typos have unfortunately appeared in the revised edition:

CHAPTER 1
page 12, line 1: Change to $\lim_{m \to \infty}$
page 17, Theorem 1.11 For (i) add the assumption that $\mu\{f = \pm \infty\} = \mu\{g = \pm \infty\} = 0$. For (ii) add the assumption that $\mu\{f_k = \pm \infty\} = 0$.
page 18, lines -8 and -10: Change $[-\infty, a]$ to $(-\infty, a)$
page 21, line 9: Change $f(x)$ to $f(a)$
page 21, line 10: Should read “…can be measure…”
page 21, line -7: Change to $\{B_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$
page 22, line 8: Should be $K_{i1}$
page 23, line 6: Should read “…with respect to the measure…”
page 36, line 14: Change to $D_{i2}^{\frac{1}{1}}$
page 40, line 2: Should be $B(a, r)$
page 42, line -2: Change to “…$\cos \theta - |a_i|^2$…”
page 45, line 9: “Borel” should be “Radon”
page 51, line -3: Change to $\int_A$
page 55, line -3: Change to $\lim_{r \to 0}$
page 63, line 11: Remove extra )
page 64, line -2: Remove extra )
page 65, line -3: Change $\limsup$ to $\limsup_{k \to \infty}$
page 66, line 6: Change to $\mu(B(R))$
page 74, line 14: Should be $\sup_{i,l > m_1} \{\phi_{k_j}(m_1) - \phi_{k_j}(l_j)\}$

CHAPTER 2
page 85, line -2: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 89, line -8: Change to “Lemma 2.3”
page 90, line -5: Change to “Theorem 2.3”
page 91, line 8 and line -8: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 93, line -8: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 94, line -3: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 95, line 3: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 96, line 2: Delete “$\leq \mathcal{H}_s^*(C \cap E)$”
page 96, line 6: $H$ should be $\mathcal{H}$
page 99, line 10: Change to $B(x, r)$
page 99, line -9: Change to $\Lambda^*$

**CHAPTER 3**

page 101, line 12: Change to “Jacobian”
page 109, line -3: Change to $O \circ O^* = I$ on $O(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$
page 111, line 7: Should be $L^*$
page 115, lines 5 and 6: Add $)$ to all expressions in numerators
page 116, line 4: Should be “$\leq \frac{1}{i}$”
page 135, line -4: Change to “Lemma 3.6”
page 136, line 5: Change to “Lemma 3.6”
page 119, line -7: Should be “Then”
page 123, line 3: Replace $j$ with $1$
page 131, line 12: Change to “$\int dy$”
page 132, line -5: Add “$|$” before the period
page 139, line 10: Change to $\mathbb{R}^n$

**CHAPTER 4**

page 152, line 5: Change to $\bar{U}$ and delete the misplaced overbar
page 152, line -3: Delete the comma after $Q$
page 157, line -2: Should be $|\beta'_i(f) Df|$
page 164, lines 4 and 5: Change to $\mathbb{R}^n$
page 165, line 9: Should be $=$; larger font for domain of integration
page 166, line -8: Should be $g$
page 169, line 6: Change $f_k(x)$ to $\bar{f}_k(x)$
page 170, line 2: Change to $||$
page 170, line 5: Change $|||\rightarrow||$
page 170, line 7: Change to $\bar{f}_k$
page 173, line -6: Change to “$\lambda^0$”
page 174, line -1: Should be $g_l$
page 178, line 13: Should be $\frac{C}{\epsilon r}$
page 180, line -3: Should be $\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon r}$
page 181, line 2: Change $\infty$ to $n$
page 182, line 9: Change to $\leq$
page 187, line-9: Change to $\int_{B(x,r)}$
page 189, line 12: Change $x$ to $x'$

**CHAPTER 5**

page 195, line -3: Change to $\bar{L}(\phi)$ and delete the misplaced overbar
page 197, line -5: Remove “$f$” from the formula for $||\partial E||$
CHAPTER 6

page 259, line -1: Remove extra )
I have been extremely slow in posting these errata that readers have found for the revised edition of our book. Many belated thanks to D Ferizovic, W Ozanski, A Rajapakse and M Safdari for sending me lengthy lists of typos, errors and useful comments. Other errors and typos have been found by Giovanni Comi, Dengjun Guo and Giorgio Stefani.

Please let me know about any other mistakes you find, at evans@math.berkeley.edu.

See the next page for correction for pages 227-229.
4. Claim #1: \( \nu_F = e_n \| \partial F \| \)-a.e.

**Proof of claim:** First note that since \( 0 \in \partial^* E \) and \( |\nu_E| = 1 \| \partial E \| \)-a.e., we have

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \int_{B(r)} |\nu_E - e_n|^2 d\| \partial E \| = 2 \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{B(r)} 1 - \nu_E \cdot e_n d\| \partial E \| = 0. \quad (**) 
\]

Let us now write \( \nu_j := \nu_{E_j} \). Then if \( \phi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \), we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \cdot \nu_j d\| \partial E_j \| = \int_{E_j} \text{div} \phi dy \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

Since \( \chi_{E_j} \to \chi_F \) in \( L^1_{1\text{oc}} \), we see from the above and \((*)\) that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \cdot \nu_j d\| \partial E_j \| \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \cdot \nu_F d\| \partial F \|
\]

as \( j \to \infty \).

In addition, for all \( \phi \) as above,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \cdot \nu_j d\| \partial E_j \| = \frac{1}{s_j^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi \circ g_{s_j}) \cdot \nu_E d\| \partial E \|;
\]

consequently for \( r > 0 \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \| \partial E_j \|(B(r)) &= \frac{1}{s_j^{n-1}} \| \partial E \|(B(s_j r)) \\
\int_{B(r)} \nu_j d\| \partial E_j \| &= \frac{1}{s_j^{n-1}} \int_{B(s_j r)} \nu_E d\| \partial E \|.
\end{aligned}
\]

So \((***)\) implies

\[
\int_{B(r)} |\nu_j - e_n|^2 d\| \partial E_j \| = \int_{B(s_j r)} |\nu_E - e_n|^2 d\| \partial E \| \to 0,
\]

as \( j \to \infty \). Select \( \zeta \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( \zeta \geq 0 \), and put \( \phi = \zeta e_n \) above. Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta e_n \cdot \nu_F d\| \partial F \| = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta e_n \cdot \nu_j d\| \partial E_j \| = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta d\| \partial E_j \|. \quad (****) 
\]

Choose now any radius \( r > 0 \) for which \( \| \partial F \|(B(r)) = 0 \). Pick \( h > 0 \) and select the function \( \zeta \) above so that \( 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \), \( \zeta \equiv 1 \) on \( B(r) \), \( \zeta \equiv 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n - B(r + h) \). Then lower semicontinuity and \((****)\) imply

\[
\| \partial F \|(B(r)) \leq \int_{B(r+h)} \zeta e_n \cdot \nu_F d\| \partial F \|.
\]
Sending $h \to 0$, we find that
\[
\|\partial F\| (B(r)) \leq \int_{B(r)} e_n \cdot \nu_{F} d\|\partial F\|,
\]
for all $r$ as above. Since $e_n \cdot \nu_{F} \leq 1$, it follows that $e_n \cdot \nu_{F} = 1$ $\|\partial F\|$-a.e. and so the claim holds.

We also see from the above that
\[
\|\partial F\|(B(r)) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \|\partial E_j\|(B(r))
\]
whenever $\|\partial F\| (\partial B(r)) = 0$. 