Worksheet 1: January 22 (Solutions)

1 Propositional Logic

- 1. Determine whether each of the following sentences is a proposition or not. If it is a proposition, determine its truth value.
 - (a) Take BART from Downtown Berkeley to Embarcadero.
 - (b) 1 + 1 = 2.
 - (c) San Francisco is the largest city in California.
 - (d) $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.
 - (e) This sentence is false.

Solution: Propositions are *statements* that are either *true or false*. The only propositions among these five are (b), which is true, and (c), which is false. (a) is not a statement, it's an instruction. (d) is not true or false for unspecified x, y, and z, and only becomes true or false when we actually plug in numbers. (e) is neither true or false: if it were true, it would be false, and if it were false, it would be true.

2. Describe the difference between $p \lor q$ and $p \oplus q$. How do these relate to the English word "or"?

Solution: $p \lor q$ is true if *at least* one of *p* or *q* is true. $p \oplus q$ is true if *exactly* one of *p* or *q* is true. Though natural language is more ambiguous, we usually use "*p* or *q*" to mean $p \lor q$ and "either *p* or *q*" to mean $p \oplus q$.

- 3. Draw a truth table for the following:
 - (a) $p \wedge \neg p$
 - (b) $p \to (p \lor q)$
 - (c) $(p \to q) \to (p \to r)$

Solution:

p	q	r	$p \to q$	$p \to r$	$\left (p \to q) \to (p \to r) \right $
T	T	T	Т	T	T
T	T	F		F	F
T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T		T	T
F	T	F		T	T
F	F	T		T	T
F	F	F		T	T

- 4. Consider the following three propositions:
 - (a) p = I go shopping for food
 - (b) q = I order at Cheeseboard
 - (c) r = I make dinner

Rephrase the following English sentences using logical operators:

- (a) If I go shopping for food, then I make dinner.
- (b) If I don't go shopping for food, then I order at Cheeseboard.
- (c) I either make dinner or order at Cheeseboard.

Write each English sentence above using different wording, so that each retains the same meaning when expressed using logical operators.

Solution:

- (a) $p \to r$. I do not go shopping or I make dinner.
- (b) $\neg p \rightarrow q$. I go shopping or I order at cheeseboard.
- (c) $r \oplus q$. I make dinner or order at Cheeseboard, but not both.

5. Show that the converse and the inverse of a conditional statement are equivalent. Solution: Given a conditional statement $p \to q$, its converse is $q \to p$, and its inverse

is $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$.

p	q	$q \rightarrow p$	$\neg p \to \neg q$	$(q \to p) \equiv (\neg p \to \neg q)$
T	Т	Т	T	T
T	F	Т	T	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	F		T	T

2 Propositional Equivalences

6. Use De Morgan's laws to find the negation of each of the following statements:

- (a) Kwame will take a job in industry or go to graduate school.
- (b) Yoshiko knows Java and calculus.
- (c) Celia has been to a Giants game and an A's game.

Solution:

- Kwame will not take a job in industry, and he will not go to graduate school.
- Yoshiko doesn't know Java or doesn't know calculus.
- Celia hasn't been to a Giants game or hasn't been to an A's game.

p	q	r	$p \wedge (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	T
F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	F	T

7. Use a truth table to verify the distributive law $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$. Solution:

- 8. Determine whether each of these compound propositions is satisfiable.
 - (a) $(p \leftrightarrow q) \land (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$

(b)
$$(p \lor q \lor \neg r) \land (p \lor \neg q \lor \neg s) \land (p \lor \neg s \lor \neg r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor \neg s) \land (p \lor q \lor \neg s)$$

Solution: (a) is not satisfiable: you can verify this with a truth table. (b) is satisfiable: set p true and q false.

9. How many different truth tables of compound propositions are there that involve the propositional variables p and q?

Solution: $2^4 = 16$

10. The *n*-Queens problem (see section 1.3.6 in the textbook). The *n*-queens problem asks for an arrangement of *n* queens on an $n \times n$ chessboard so that no queen can attack another queen (no two queens can be placed in the same row, column, or diagonal). Introduce variables p(i, j) for each location (i, j) in the chessboard, such that p(i, j) is true is there is a queen at (i, j) and false otherwise.

In row *i*, there exists a queen can be expressed as $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} p(i, j)$. Then as there is a queen in each row, that can be expressed as

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \bigvee_{j=1}^n p(i,j).$$

That row i = 1 has no more than one queen can be expressed as $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{k=j+1}^{n} \neg p(i,j) \lor \neg p(i,k)$. Then as there is no more than one queen in each row, that can be expressed as

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{k=j+1}^{n} \neg p(i,j) \lor \neg p(i,k).$$

Write similar assertions that each column and diagonal must contain at least and no more than one queen. Compute the number of possible solutions to the *n*-queens problem when n = 2, 3, 4.

Solution: The assertion that each column contains at least one queen can be written as

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n}\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}p(i,j).$$

The assertion that each column must contain no more than one queen can be written as

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^n \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigwedge_{k=i+1}^n \neg p(i,j) \vee \neg p(k,j).$$

The assertions for the diagonals are significantly more annoying for little added conceptual benefit, so I'm not going to do them.