

## 1.3-1.4: Vector Equations and $Ax = b$

Tuesday, August 30

### Functions

Define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = 2x$ . What is  $\{f(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ? Does  $f(x)$  have an inverse function? If so, is its inverse defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

The image/range of  $f$  ( $\{f(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ) is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $f^{-1}(x) = x/2$  is an inverse function, and it is defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Answer the same questions as above for  $g(x) = x^2$  and  $h(x) = e^x$ .

The image/range of  $g$  is  $[0, \infty)$ .  $g$  does not have an inverse because it is not one-to-one: for example  $g(-2) = g(2) = 4$ , so an inverse function would have to satisfy both  $g^{-1}(4) = -2$  and  $g^{-1}(4) = 2$ , which is impossible.

If we restrict the *domain* of  $g$  to be the interval  $[0, \infty)$ , then  $g$  is one-to-one and  $\sqrt{x}$  is a well-defined inverse. The image of  $h$  is  $(0, \infty)$ .  $h$  is one-to-one and  $\log(x)$  is an inverse function for  $h$ , but it is only defined for real numbers on the interval  $(0, \infty)$ .

Let's make a secret code with the encryption function  $E('a') = 'm'$ ,  $E('b') = 'm', \dots$ ,  $E('z') = 'm'$ . Use it to encrypt the message "hello world". Is this a useful secret code?

The encoded message is "mmmmm mmmm". This is not very useful since it is impossible to decode. Since the encryption function is not one-to-one, information is lost during the encryption process.

If possible, find functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \{a, b, c\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  that are...

- 1-1 but not onto:  $e^x$ , NONE
- onto but not 1-1:  $x^3 - x$ , NONE
- both:  $2x$ ,  $g(a) = 1, g(b) = 2, g(c) = 3$
- neither:  $f(x) = 0$ ,  $g(a) = g(b) = g(c) = 1$

The lesson: for finite sets, there is a stricter relation between the size of the set and whether the function is one-to-one or onto than for infinite sets. We will see that similar patterns hold when dealing with linear functions.

### Vector Equations

Define  $\mathbf{u} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} := \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  for the rest of this section. Sketch the following:

- $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$
- $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$
- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{u}$
- $\mathbf{w} + 2\mathbf{v}$

- $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ : just a straight line parallel to  $\mathbf{v}$
- $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ : all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$

If we define  $\mathbf{b} := \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ , is  $\mathbf{b}$  in  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ ? What about  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ ?

It is definitely true that  $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  because the span of these three vectors is all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so *every* vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is in the span.

$\mathbf{b}$  is not in the span of  $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$  since (geometrically) the vector  $\mathbf{b}$  does not sit on the line defined by the span (as sketched in the previous exercise). You can also reduce the augmented system  $[\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w} \ \mathbf{b}]$  to echelon form to verify this fact.

Find a vector  $\mathbf{y}$  such that the system  $c_1\mathbf{v} + c_2\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$  has infinitely many solutions.

Any multiple of  $\mathbf{v}$  (which is also a multiple of  $\mathbf{w}$ ), and *only* multiples of  $\mathbf{v}$ , will have infinitely many solutions. To prove this first part: you can check that  $\mathbf{w} = -2\mathbf{v}$ , so if  $\mathbf{y} = \alpha\mathbf{v}$ , it follows that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we will have  $t\mathbf{w} + (2t + \alpha)\mathbf{v} = -2t\mathbf{v} + 2\mathbf{v} + \alpha\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y}$ .

You can also reduce the augmented system  $[\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w} \ \mathbf{y}]$  to echelon form and verify that there is a free variable.

A robot begins at the point  $(0,0,0)$  and is capable of moving in the directions  $\pm(1,1,1)$  and  $\pm(-1,3,0)$ . Find a point in space that the robot cannot reach.

To find **all** points that the robot cannot reach, we can try to see whether an arbitrary point  $[x, y, z]$  is in the span of the vectors  $(1, 1, 1)$  and  $(-1, 3, 0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & x \\ 1 & 3 & y \\ 1 & 0 & z \end{bmatrix} &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & x \\ 0 & 4 & y-x \\ 0 & 1 & z-x \end{bmatrix} \\ &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & z-x \\ 0 & 4 & y-x \end{bmatrix} \\ &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & x \\ 0 & 1 & z-x \\ 0 & 0 & (y-x) - 4(z-x) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The system is therefore consistent if and only if  $y + 3x - 4z = 0$ , and any other vector will be out of the robot's reach. Thus, for example, the robot cannot reach the point  $(1, 0, 0)$ .

To be honest, pretty much any "random" guess would have worked. This is because the span of the robot's direction vectors forms a plane, but planes take up very little of 3-dimensional space (i.e. they have zero volume).

## Matrix Equations

If we define  $A := [\mathbf{u} \ \mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{w}]$ , write  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{u}$ , and  $\mathbf{w} + 2\mathbf{v}$  as matrix-vector products.

$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are the correct matrix-vector products.

Recall that if  $A$  is an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix then

- $A(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = A\mathbf{v} + A\mathbf{u}$
- $A(c\mathbf{v}) = c \cdot A\mathbf{v}$

for any  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using these two facts, prove that if  $A\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1$  and  $A\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{w}_2$  then the system  $A\mathbf{x} = 3\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2$  is consistent.

Let  $\mathbf{x} = 3\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2$ . Then  $A\mathbf{x} = A(3\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2) = A(3\mathbf{v}_1) + A(-\mathbf{v}_2) = 3A\mathbf{v}_1 - A\mathbf{v}_2 = 3\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2$ . This shows that the system is consistent. Note: this was covered in more depth in Thursday's discussion.