Necessary and Sufficient Conditions Monday, September 28

Key Topics

- p is sufficient for q if $p \Rightarrow q$.
- p is necessary for q if $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$ (or, $q \Rightarrow p$).
- p is necessary and sufficient for q if $p \Leftrightarrow q$.

Warmup

Theorem 0.1 (Primality Test) If p is a prime number and p > 2 then $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

- 1. If $2^{128} \equiv 4 \pmod{129}$, what can you conclude? **129 is not prime.**
- 2. If $2^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$, what can you conclude? Nothing.
- 3. If n is a number such that $2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, what can you conclude? Either $n \leq 2$ or n is not prime.
- 4. How can you tell for certain that a number is prime? The simplest way is to try dividing n by every prime number less than its square root. There are more efficient tests, but they are beyond the scope of this course.

Theorem 0.2 (Raven Theorem) All ravens are black.

Suppose we want to find a counterexample to the statement "All birds are black." What information does Theorem 0.2 give us about such a counterexample? The counterexample must be a bird that is not a raven.

Let x be a real number, and say we want to ensure that $x^2 + 1 > 5$. Find conditions on x that are...

- 1. Necessary and sufficient. |x| > 2
- 2. Necessary, but not sufficient. $x \neq 0$
- 3. Sufficient, but not necessary. x > 10
- 4. Neither necessary nor sufficient. x > 0

Hypothesis Testing

Theorem 0.3 (Bezout's Theorem) If gcd(a,b) = 1 then there exist x and y such that ax + by = 1.

Theorem 0.4 (The Prime Property) If p is prime, then p has the following property: if p|ab then p|a or p|b.

1. Suppose we have two integers a and b and want to find x and y such that ax + by = 1. We know that the condition gcd(a, b) = 1 is sufficient, but is it necessary?

Yes: suppose that gcd(a,b) = d > 1, then ax + by = dnx + dmy = d(nx + my), which is divisible by d and therefore not equal to 1.

2. Suppose we want to find x and y such that ax + by = 7. Is the condition gcd(a, b) = 1 necessary? Is it sufficient?

It is sufficient, since if ax+by = 1 then (7x)a+(7y)b = 7. It is not necessary... take a = 7, b = 0 as a counterexample.

3. Consider the statement "If $gcd(a, b) \leq 3$ then there exist x and y such that ax + by = 1." What must a counterexample to this statement look like?

Must have gcd(a, b) = 2 or 3.

- 4. Is it necessary that p be prime in order for it to have the prime property? No. p can also be 0 or 1.
- 5. Prove or find a counterexample: If p is prime and $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

True, since when put in divisibility notation this is the same as the Prime property.

6. Prove or find a counterexample: If $n \ge 2$ and $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ or $b \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. False. n = 6, a = 3, b = 2 is a counterexample.