Math 110 June 27, 2018 Eigenvectors (SOLUTIONS)

- 1. Let $u \in U_1 \cap U_2$. Since $u \in U_1$ then $T(u) \in U_1$. Since $u \in U_2$ then $T(u) \in U_2$. Hence $T(u) \in U_1 \cap U_2$.
- 2. Consider a linear transformation that is, with respect to a fixed basis, given by a rotation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\theta \notin \pi \mathbb{Z}$. Such a rotation does not fix a line.

- 3. Let V be the vector space of polynomials in the variable x with a complex coefficients. Let T(p) = xp. Then $Tp \neq \lambda p$ for any nonzero p because T increases the degree of the polynomial.
- 4. This proof uses the solution to 7. Let $T(u) = \lambda_1 u$, $T(v) = \lambda_2 v$ and $T(u+v) = \lambda_3(u+v)$. Then $T(u+v) = \lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v$ and

$$\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v = \lambda_3 (u + v)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)u + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)v = 0$$

If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then problem 7 implies that u and v are linearly independent. Then $\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = 0$, contradicting $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

- 5. Define P by $P(u_i) := v_i$. Then $P^{-1}TPu_i = P^{-1}Tv_i = \lambda_i P^{-1}v_i = \lambda_i u_i$.
- 6. $T^3 = \text{id}$, so after three iterations it takes the standard basis back to itself. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ be an eigenvector for T. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a - b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a \\ \lambda b \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving these equations shows that $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$. This equation does not have solutions over \mathbb{R} , so T does not have any eigenvectors.

Viewing T instead as a transformation $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$, $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1 = 0$ does have two solutions, and so you can use these solve for the eigenvectors. Let ζ_1 and ζ_2 be the two roots. Explicitly ζ_1 and ζ_2 are $\frac{-1\pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\zeta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

are two eigenvectors. Since these are linearly independent, they form a basis.

7. Suppose that $a_1v + a_2w = 0$ is a linear relation. We'd like to show that $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. Suppose that $a_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$v = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}u$$

so v is a scalar multiple of w. Then v must have the same eigenvalue as w since

$$T(cw) = cT(w) = c\lambda w = \lambda cw.$$

Something similar works if $a_2 \neq 0$. Since v and w have different eigenvalues, it follows that both a_1 and a_2 must be 0.

- 8. The identity transformation, which sends every vector to itself, has the property that every nonzero vector is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1.
- 9. Eigenvectors with nonzero eigenvalue are in the image of T. Eigenvectors with different eigenvalues are linearly independent, and there can be no more than k elements in a linearly independent subset of a space of dimension k. Hence if dim(Im(T)) = k there can no more than k nonzero eigenvalues. Adding on the possibility of the zero eigenvalue implies that T can have at most k + 1 eigenvalues.

I didn't prove in class that if v_1, \ldots, v_n are eigenvectors with different eigenvalues then they are linearly independent. Here is a proof, by induction on the number of eigenvectors. Because an eigenvector is nonzero, a set with one eigenvector is linearly independent. For the inductive step, suppose you know that (n-1) eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent. Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be eigenvectors with different eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Suppose

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$$

Applying T both sides shows that

$$\lambda_1 a_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n v_n = 0$$

or instead multiplying by λ_1 shows that

$$\lambda_1 a_1 v_1 + \lambda_1 a_2 v_2 + \lambda_1 a_3 v_3 + \dots + \lambda_1 a_n v_n = 0.$$

Subtracting these two shows that

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)a_2v_2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)a_3v_3 + \dots + (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)a_nv_n = 0.$$

By the inductive hypothesis v_2, \ldots, v_n are linearly independent. Therefore

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_1)a_i = 0$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\lambda_i - \lambda_1 \neq 0$, each $a_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Since $v_1 \neq 0$ it follows that $a_1 = 0$. Hence

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \ \forall i.$$

10. Let $Tv = \lambda v$. Then $S^{-1}TS(S^{-1}v) = S^{-1}Tv = \lambda S^{-1}v$ so that if v is an eigenvector for T with eigenvalue λ then $S^{-1}v$ is an eigenvector for $S^{-1}TS$ with eigenvalue λ . Similarly, if w is an eigenvector for $S^{-1}TS$ with eigenvalue λ then Sw is an eigenvector for T with eigenvalue λ .