## Math 110

## June 21, 2018

## Bases and Linear Transformations (SOLUTIONS)

1. Suppose for a contradiction that

$$v = a_1 v_{i_1} + \dots + a_n v_{i_n} = b_1 v_{j_1} + \dots + b_m v_{j_m}$$

are two ways of expressing  $v \in \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$  as a linear combination of the elements  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ . By adding some terms with 0 coefficients to each side, one can assume that the two linear combinations use elements from the same finite subset of  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ :

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = b_1v_n + \dots + b_nv_n.$$

Subtract one side from the other

$$0 = (a_1 - b_1)v_1 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)v_n.$$

Since the two expressions are different at least one of the coefficients  $a_i - b_i$  is nonzero. This contradicts linear independence of the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ .

2. The strategy used here is to construct a sequence of linearly independent subsets  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots \subset B_n$  where  $B_n$  will be a basis. Start with  $B_1 = \{v_1\}$ . Set  $B_{i+1} := B_i$  if  $B_i \cup v_{i+1}$  is linearly independent. Set  $B_{i+1} = B_i$  otherwise.

Note that if  $B_i \cup v_{i+1}$  is linearly dependent, then  $v_{i+1}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$ . To see this, let

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_iv_i + a_{i+1}v_{i+1} = 0$$

be a linear relation in  $B_i \cup v_{i+1}$ . Because  $B_i$  is linearly independent,  $a_{i+1} \neq 0$ . Hence

$$v_{i+1} = -\frac{a_1}{a_{i+1}}v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}}v_i.$$
 (1)

Let  $B_n$  be the last set produced in this process. By construction, it is linearly independent. It remains to check that it spans. Because  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  spans, for any  $v \in V$  one can write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n.$$

The equation (1) and the argument before it shows that an element  $v_i$  that is not in  $B_n$  can be written as a linear combination of elements in  $B_{i-1} \subset B_n$ . Therefore v can be rewritten as a linear combination of vectors in  $B_n$ .

Since  $B_n$  is linearly independent and spans, it is a basis.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The essential idea in this proof is that if  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$  is linearly independent and adding a vector v to  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$  makes it linearly dependent, then v must necessarily be in Span $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ .

3. Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  be a basis for V. Since the basis spans, so does the set

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_n,u_1,\ldots,u_m\}.$$

Apply the process from the previous proof to extract a basis for this spanning set. Note that, since you start at  $v_1$  and the first *n* vectors are linearly independent,  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is included in the basis you end up constructing.

In class I suggested the following argument: "Start with  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , add any vector  $v_{n+1}$  not in the span of  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , and repeat this procedure until you have dim(V) vectors. At each stage you have a linearly independent collection of vectors. A collection of dim(V) linearly independent vectors is a basis for its span. Any subspace of V has dimension at most dim(V)." The last sentence is true, though the easiest proofs I know all involve citing the result this problem aims to prove.

4. If  $b \neq 0$ , then

$$f(x + y) = ax + b + ay + b \neq a(x + y) + b = f(x + y).$$

Therefore, in order for f to be linear b needs to be 0. If b is zero, then it is easy to check that f is linear.

- 5. T(0) = T(0v) = 0T(v) = 0.
- 6. You want to show that  $T^{-1}(w_1 + w_2) = T^{-1}(w_1) + T^{-1}(w_2)$ . Since T is invertible and hence surjective,  $w_1 = T(v_1)$  and  $w_2 = T(v_2)$  for some  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Then

$$T^{-1}(w_1 + w_2) = T^{-1}(T(v_1) + T(v_2)) = T^{-1}(T(v_1 + v_2)) = v_1 + v_2$$
$$= T^{-1}(w_1) + T^{-1}(w_2).$$

Similarly, you want to show that  $T^{-1}(cw) = cT^{-1}(w)$ . Write w = T(v) then

$$T^{-1}(cw) = T^{-1}(cT(v)) = T^{-1}(T(cv)) = cv = cT^{-1}(w).$$

7. First check that  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots\}$  spans W. Let  $w \in W$  be an arbitrary vector. Write

$$T^{-1}(w) = a_1 v_{i_1} + \dots + a_n v_{i_n}.$$

Applying T to each side then shows that  $w = a_1 T(v_{i_1}) + \cdots + a_n T(v_{i_n})$ . Hence  $w \in \text{Span}\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots\}$  and so  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots\}$  spans all of W.

Similarly, let

$$a_1T(v_{i_1}) + \dots + a_nT(v_{i_n}) = 0$$

be a linear relation. Then since  $T^{-1}(0) = 0$ , applying T gives

$$a_1v_{i_1}+\cdots+a_nv_{i_n}=0.$$

Linear independence of the  $v_i$ s shows that  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (0, \ldots, 0)$ . Hence  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots\}$  is linearly independent.

Since  $\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots\}$  is linearly independent and spanning,  $(T(v_1), T(v_2), \ldots)$  is a basis.

8. This was a bit of a trick question (on purpose) since I wasn't entirely clear about what I meant by integration.

Differentiation is linear:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(af + bg) = a\frac{df}{dx} + b\frac{dg}{dx}$$

for a, b constants and f, g differentiable functions.

Differentiation is not a linear map because it is not injective: all constant functions differentiate to the 0 function.

Integration defined by "take an antiderivative"

$$f \mapsto \int f$$

is not even a function, and therefore is not a linear map. Integration over a particular subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$f \mapsto \int_A f$$

is a linear map from the (infinite dimensional) vector space of differentiable functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ .