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## 1 Introduction

One way to obtain information about the geometry of a complex manifold is by looking at the metrics it admits. When do complex manifolds admit metrics which give them a special structure? For example, requiring a metric of constant bisectional curvature puts a strong restriction on the manifold; in the case of a complete Kähler manifold, it then has universal cover $\mathbb{C P}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{n}$ or the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and its metric pulls back to the canonical metrics on these covers, up to scaling, Tia00, Theorem 1.12]. So one may look for a slightly weaker condition, such as the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics. These are Kähler metrics for which the Ricci form is proportional to the Kähler form. Kähler-Einstein (KE) metrics provide a special solution to the Einstein equation, which describes how space-time curves as a result of gravitation from mass and energy. An Einstein metric solves the Einstein equation in a vacuum, Bes87.

In order for KE metrics to exist on a compact complex manifold, the first Chern class must be definite. Under this condition, the first Chern class separates complex manifolds into three cases. $M$ always admits a KE metric when $c_{1}(M) \leq 0$. In the case of positive first Chern class, $M$ is called a Fano manifold and does not always admit a Kähler-Einstein metric. Obstructions include the vanishing of the Calabi-Futaki invariant, asymptotic Chow stability and K-stability. The answer to when KE metrics exist is known for Fano surfaces. These surfaces have been classified by N. Hitchin in Hit75. $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ admit Kähler-Einstein metrics. If $M=\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, the blow up of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ in $k$ points in general position, then $M$ does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric for $k \in\{1,2\}$ and it does for $k \in\{3, \ldots, 8\}$ ([Tia00, pg 87]).

The layout of this essay is as follows: in Section 1 I will give background for Kähler manifolds and Chern classes. In Section 2 I will state the Calabi-Yau theorem (without proof) and note some corollaries. In Section 3 I will introduce the Calabi-Futaki invariant, show $\mathbb{C P}^{2}(p)$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}(p)$ do not admit Kähler-Einstein metrics, and discuss the other Fano surfaces. In Section 4 I will introduce asymptotic Chow stability and describe an equivalence between Chow polystability and balanced varieties as given in Wang Wan04, and state the theorem of Donaldson Don01 that the existence of a constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metric implies there is a sequence of balanced metrics converging to the cscK metric, when the automorphism group is discrete. In Section 5 I will define Tian's K-stability and describe his proof Tia97] that Kähler-Einstein implies weakly K-stable.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Dr Julius Ross for advising me and for discussions about the material, as well as Emile Bouaziz and Ruadhaí Dervan for discussions on algebraic geometry; I attended a talk given by the latter in the Part III seminar series.

### 1.1 Kähler metrics

The background material of this section is similar to that in Tia00.
Let $(M, g)$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold. An almost complex structure $J: T M \rightarrow T M$ is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle such that $J^{2}=-i d$. The Nijenhuis tensor $N(J)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
N(J): T M \times T M \rightarrow T M \\
N(J)(u, v)=[u, v]+J[J u, v]+J[u, J v]-[J u, J v], \quad u, v \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)
\end{gathered}
$$

$M$ is a complex manifold if it admits an almost complex structure $J$ which is also integrable, meaning $J$ is induced from multiplication by $i$ on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0} M \subset T_{\mathbb{C}} M:=T M \otimes \mathbb{C}$, defined below. By a theorem of Newlander and Nirenberg, $J$ is integrable if and only if $N(J)=0$.

Definition 1. $J$ is compatible with $g$ if

$$
g(J u, J v)=g(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)
$$

Definition 2. The Kähler form of $g$ is defined as

$$
\omega_{g}(u, v):=-g(u, J v)
$$

Remark 3. The Kähler form is alternating by compatibility of $J$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{g}(v, u) & =-g(v, J u)=-g(J v,-u) \\
& =g(u, J v)=-\omega_{g}(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\nabla$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on $M$. This is the unique torsion-free connection on $M$ such that $\nabla g=0$.
Definition 4. A Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ) with a compatible almost complex structure $J$ is a Kähler manifold if $\nabla J=0$. Then $g$ is a Kähler metric.
Throughout this essay, "Kähler metric $\omega_{g}$ " means "Kähler form $\omega_{g}$ corresponding to Kähler metric $g$ ".

Remark 5. Note that $\nabla J=0 \Longrightarrow N(J)=0$. This can be seen as follows: $\nabla$ is symmetric so $[u, v]=\nabla_{u} v-\nabla_{v} u$. Further, $J \in \Gamma(M, \operatorname{End}(T M))$ means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) Y:=\nabla_{X}(J Y)-J\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $M$. Thus taking $X=J u, Y=v$ and $X=v, Y=J u$ respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \nabla_{J u} v=\nabla_{J u}(J v)-\left(\nabla_{J u} J\right) v \\
& J \nabla_{v}(J u)=-\nabla_{v} u-\left(\nabla_{v} J\right) J u
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(J)(u, v) & =[u, v]+J[J u, v]+J[u, J v]-[J u, J v] \\
& =\nabla_{u} v-\nabla_{v} u+J\left(\nabla_{J u} v-\nabla_{v} J u\right)+J\left(\nabla_{u} J v-\nabla_{J v} u\right)-\left(\nabla_{J u} J v-\nabla_{J v} J u\right) \\
& =\left(\nabla_{u} v-\nabla_{v} u\right)-J\left(\nabla_{v} J u-\nabla_{u} J v\right)-\left(\nabla_{J u} J\right) v+\left(\nabla_{J v} J\right) u \\
& =\left(\nabla_{v} J\right) J u-\left(\nabla_{u} J\right) J v-\left(\nabla_{J u} J\right) v+\left(\nabla_{J v} J\right) u=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\nabla J=0$. So a Kähler manifold is a complex manifold. Equivalently, a Kähler manifold could be defined as a complex manifold with metric $g$ such that the induced Kähler form $\omega_{g}$ is $d$-closed. Then $g$ is a Kähler metric. See [Tia00, Prop 1.5] for this equivalence.

### 1.1.1 Holomorphic tangent bundle

Definition 6. Assume $M$ is a Kähler manifold. $J$ induces a splitting of $T_{\mathbb{C}} M$ into eigenspaces $T^{1,0} M \oplus T^{0,1} M$ corresponding to eigenvalues $+i,-i$ respectively, called the holomorphic and antiholomorphic tangent bundles.

We can extend $g \mathbb{C}$-linearly to $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $T_{\mathbb{C}} M$. Note that $T M \cong T^{1,0} M$ as real vector bundles; if $M$ has local real coordinates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$ and local complex coordinates $z_{j}:=x_{j}+i x_{n+j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, then this isomorphism is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} \mapsto i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n . T^{0,1} M$ is generated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Define a hermitian inner product on $T^{1,0} M$ by $h(u, v)=g(u, \bar{v})$. In local complex coordinates, $h=\sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \otimes d \overline{z_{j}}$, where $g_{i \bar{j}}=g_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{j}}}\right)$. Then from the definition of the Kähler form, $\omega_{g}$ is locally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \overline{z_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric $h$ is hermitian since $g$ is symmetric and $\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}$, therefore

$$
\overline{h_{i j}}=\overline{g_{i \bar{j}}}=g_{\bar{i} j}=g_{j \bar{i}}=h_{j i}
$$

Also $\omega_{g}=\overline{\omega_{g}}$ so the Kähler form is real.

### 1.1.2 Connections

We can extend $\nabla \mathbb{C}$-linearly to $T_{\mathbb{C}} M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}=\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\Gamma_{i j}^{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}} \\
& \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}=\Gamma_{i \bar{j}}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\Gamma_{i \bar{j}}^{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ denote the Christoffel symbols. Since $\nabla J=0$, by Equation 1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}}\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\right)=J \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So putting the Christoffel symbols in (3) and using that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}$ are in the $i$ and $-i$ eigenspaces of $J$ respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}}\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\right) & =\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}}\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\right) \\
& =i\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\Gamma_{i j}^{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} & =J\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}+\Gamma_{i j}^{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right) \\
& =i\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{i j}^{\bar{k}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By similar calculations, all Christoffel symbols are zero except $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{i} \bar{k}}^{\bar{k}}$. Then the connection matrix for the induced connection on $T^{1,0} M$ is $\theta$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}=\theta_{j}^{k} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}=\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{k} d z_{i}\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $M$ is Kähler, $d \omega_{g}=0$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{g}=0 & \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i, j, k}\left[\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{j}}}{\partial z_{k}} d z_{k}+\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}} d \bar{z}_{k}\right] \wedge d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}=0  \tag{5}\\
& \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\partial g_{i \bar{j}}}{\partial z_{k}}=\frac{\partial g_{k \bar{j}}}{\partial z_{i}}, \quad \frac{\partial g_{i \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}=\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{k}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g_{i j}=g_{\overline{i j}}=0$ by compatibility of $J$, we can write out the Christoffel symbols as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}^{l}=\frac{1}{2} g^{l \bar{r}}\left(\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{r}}}{\partial z_{j}}+\frac{\partial g_{j \bar{r}}}{\partial z_{i}}-\frac{\partial g_{i j}}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}}\right)=g^{l \bar{r}} \frac{\partial g_{i \bar{r}}}{\partial z_{j}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 Chern classes

Set $n:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$. It is a property of the Chern connection on $M$ that its induced curvature form on $K_{M}^{-1}:=\wedge^{n} T^{1,0} M$ is equal to the trace of the curvature form on $T^{1,0} M$, that is

$$
\Theta_{K_{M}^{-1}}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta_{T^{1,0} M}\right)
$$

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a local frame for $T^{1,0} M$ so $e_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{n}$ is a local frame for $K_{M}^{-1}$. Since $K_{M}^{-1}$ is a line bundle, the curvature form induced by the Chern connection is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{K_{M}^{-1}}=\partial \bar{\partial} \log h \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=h\left(e_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{n}, e_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{n}\right):=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)$.
$M$ is also a Riemannian manifold. The Riemannian curvature induced by $g$ is

$$
R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{l}}=R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}\right)=g\left(R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}\right)
$$

and the Ricci curvature $R_{k \bar{l}}=g^{i \bar{j}} R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{l}}$. Using Equation 7 we can obtain $R_{i \bar{j} \bar{k} \bar{l}}$. Recall

$$
R(u, v) w=\nabla_{u} \nabla_{v} w-\nabla_{v} \nabla_{u} w-\nabla_{[u, v]} w
$$

Thus taking $u=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, v=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}, w=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}} & =R_{i \bar{j} l}^{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}}  \tag{9}\\
& =\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the product rule for differentiation of $g^{p \bar{r}} g_{l \bar{r}}=\delta_{l}^{p}$ we find

$$
\frac{\partial g^{p \bar{r}}}{\partial z_{i}}=-g^{p \bar{s}} g^{n \bar{r}} \frac{\partial g_{n \bar{s}}}{\partial z_{i}}
$$

Also from (7) above

$$
\Gamma_{\bar{j} l}^{\bar{r}}=g^{p \bar{r}} \frac{\partial g_{p \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}
$$

Thus (10) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}}\left(\Gamma_{\bar{j} \bar{l}}^{\bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(g^{p \bar{r}} \frac{\partial g_{p \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}} \\
& =\left(-g^{p \bar{s}} g^{n \bar{r}} \frac{\partial g_{n \bar{s}}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial g_{p \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}+g^{p \bar{r}} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{p \bar{j}}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{l}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}} \\
\Longrightarrow R_{i \bar{j} k \bar{l}} & =R_{i \bar{j} l}^{\bar{r}} g_{\bar{r} k} \\
& =-g^{p \bar{s}} \frac{\partial g_{k \bar{s}}}{\partial z_{i}} \frac{\partial g_{p \bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g_{k \bar{j}}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{l}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Ricci curvature is

$$
R_{i \bar{j}}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}\left(\log \operatorname{det} g_{k \bar{l}}\right)
$$

The Ricci form is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i, j} R_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}=-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det} g_{i \bar{j}}
$$

Note that the Ricci form is, up to a factor of $-\frac{i}{2}$, the same as $\Theta_{K_{M}^{-1}}$ in 8 . On a Kähler manifold, the Chern connection and Levi-Civita connection are equivalent on $T^{1,0} M \cong T M$ Huy 05 , Prop 4.A.9]. Let $\Omega_{i}^{j}=g^{j \bar{p}} R_{i \bar{p} k \bar{l}} d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l}$. By the equivalence between Chern and Levi-Civita connections, we can define the Chern classes in terms of $\Omega$.

Let $c(M)=\operatorname{det}\left(I+t \frac{i}{2 \pi} \Omega\right)$. It is a fact that the coefficients on $t^{k}$ are real closed $(k, k)$ forms and their cohomology classes in $H^{k, k}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^{2 k}(M, \mathbb{R})$ are independent of $g$. Huy05, pgs 194-195, 198]. The $k$ th Chern class $c_{k}(M)$ is defined to be the cohomology class represented by this coefficient.

Definition 7. The first Chern class $c_{1}(M)$ is $\frac{i}{2 \pi}[\operatorname{tr}(\Omega)]=\frac{1}{\pi}[\operatorname{Ric}(g)]$.
We write $c_{1}(M)>0$ if the first Chern class can be represented by a form with coefficients in local coordinates given by $\sqrt{-1} \cdot \phi_{i \bar{j}}$ for $\phi_{i \bar{j}}$ positive definite, $c_{1}(M)<0$ if $-c_{1}(M)>0$ and $c_{1}(M)=0$ if it can be represented by a form cohomologous to zero.

Definition 8. $[\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^{2}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a Kähler class if it can be represented by a form corresponding to a Kähler metric, i.e. we can choose $\omega$ to be $\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}$ for some $g_{i \bar{j}}$ positive definite.

Remark 9. In particular if $c_{1}(M)>0$ for a compact complex manifold $M$, then $c_{1}(M)$ is a Kähler class since it can be represented by a positive definite closed $(1,1)$ form, so $M$ is a Kähler manifold. In general if $L$ is a positive line bundle over $M$, i.e. a holomorphic line bundle with $c_{1}(L)>0$, then $M$ is Kähler. The case $c_{1}(M)>0$ is the special case $L=K_{M}^{-1}$.

## 2 Calabi-Yau Theorem

Definition 10. A Kähler metric $g$ on a Kähler manifold $M$ is said to be Kähler-Einstein if

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda \omega_{g}
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, $M$ is called a Kähler-Einstein manifold.
The question of when a complex manifold $M$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric has been answered in the cases $c_{1}(M) \leq 0$. The answer makes use of the following theorem first conjectured by Calabi and later proved by Yau.
Theorem 11 (Calabi-Yau). Let $M$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Let $\Omega$ be a representative form for $\pi c_{1}(M)$ and $[\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^{2}(M, \mathbb{R})$ a Kähler class. Then there exists a unique Kähler metric $g$ with $\omega_{g} \in[\omega]$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\Omega$.

Thus when $c_{1}(M)=0$, the Calabi-Yau theorem implies $M$ has a Ricci flat metric $g$, i.e. $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=0$, so $g$ is a Kähler-Einstein metric. Aubin and Yau independently proved that when $c_{1}(M)<0$, there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein metric $g$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=-\omega_{g}$. The full answer is in progress when $c_{1}(M)>0$. In this case, $M$ is called a Fano manifold.

Some ideas in the proof of Theorem [11. I will not give a proof of the Calabi-Yau theorem but will note a few points from the proof in Tia00, Theorem 5.1].

The proof makes use of the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma which will also be used later. The part of the lemma needed is the following.

Lemma 12 ( $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma). Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Suppose $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ is d-exact. Then there exists a smooth function $\beta$ such that $\alpha=\partial \bar{\partial} \beta$.

Remark 13. If $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are two cohomologous Kähler forms associated to Kähler metrics (in particular they are real), then by the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma $\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=\partial \bar{\partial} \beta$ is real so

$$
\partial \bar{\partial} \beta=\overline{\partial \bar{\partial} \beta}=\bar{\partial} \partial \bar{\beta}=\partial \bar{\partial}(-\bar{\beta})
$$

which implies we can choose $\beta$ such that $\beta=i \cdot f$ for some $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$.
Proof of Lemma, Huy05, Cor 3.2.10]. Since $\alpha$ is $d$-exact we can write $\alpha=d \eta$ for some $\eta \in$ $H^{1}(M, \mathbb{C}) . M$ is Kähler so the notions of $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ and $d$ harmonicity are equivalent. If $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product on $(p, q)$ forms given by

$$
(\psi, \eta) \mapsto \int_{M} g_{\mathbb{C}}(\psi, \bar{\eta}) \omega_{g}^{n}=\int_{M} h(\psi, \eta) \omega_{g}^{n}
$$

then $d^{*}$ is the formal adjoint of $d$ with respect to this inner product. $\psi$ is $d$-harmonic if and only if $d \psi=d^{*} \psi=0$. Thus for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C})$, the space of harmonic ( 1,1 ) forms,

$$
(\alpha, \psi)=(d \eta, \psi)=\left(\eta, d^{*} \psi\right)=0
$$

i.e. $\alpha \perp \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C})$. We know $d \alpha=0$, thus $\partial \alpha=\bar{\partial} \alpha=0$. Since $\alpha \in$ ker $\partial$, by the Hodge decomposition for $\partial, \alpha$ is in the direct sum of the harmonic $(1,1)$ forms and the image of $\partial$ on $(0,1)$ forms. We know $\alpha \notin \mathcal{H}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C})$ hence $\alpha=\partial \gamma$ for some $(0,1)$ form $\gamma$. Again by Hodge decomposition, now for $\bar{\partial}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma & =\bar{\partial} \beta+\bar{\partial}^{*} \beta^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime \prime} \\
\Longrightarrow \alpha & =\partial \bar{\partial} \beta+\partial \bar{\partial}^{*} \beta^{\prime}=-\bar{\partial} \partial \beta-\bar{\partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(M)$. Note $\bar{\partial}^{*} \partial=-\partial \bar{\partial}^{*}$ by the Hodge identities. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial} \alpha=0 & \Longrightarrow{\overline{\partial \partial^{*}} \partial \beta^{\prime}=0} \\
& \Longrightarrow 0=\left(\overline{\partial \partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}, \partial \beta^{\prime}\right)=\left(\bar{\partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}, \bar{\partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}\right)=\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \Longrightarrow \bar{\partial}^{*} \partial \beta^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Longrightarrow \alpha=\partial \bar{\partial} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning to the Calabi-Yau theorem, in local coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{g} & =\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \\
\operatorname{Ric}(g) & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ric}(g)$ and $\Omega$ are cohomologous, the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma says there exists a real smooth function $f$ such that

$$
\Omega-\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} f
$$

We normalize $f$ so that $\int_{M} e^{-f} \omega_{g}^{n}=\int_{M} \omega_{g}^{n}$, and then such an $f$ is unique. We want to find some metric $\omega \in\left[\omega_{g}\right]$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)=\Omega$. Again by the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma, $\omega$ must be of the form $\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$, so $\omega$ corresponds to the metric with coefficients $g_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi\right)=\Omega=\operatorname{Ric}(g)+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} f
$$

In local coordinates this is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right.\left.+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)=-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)\right)+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} f \\
& \Longrightarrow-\partial \bar{\partial} f=\partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow-f+c=\log \left(\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c$, since harmonic functions on a compact complex manifold are constant. The left hand side is defined globally so the right hand side is as well. Exponentiating both sides gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)=e^{-f+c} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{i \bar{j}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi\right)^{n}=e^{-f+c} \omega_{g}^{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that using Stokes' theorem for $0<m \leq n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} \omega_{g}^{n-m} \wedge(\partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{m} & =\int_{M} \partial\left(\omega_{g}^{n-m} \wedge(\partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{m-1} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi\right) \\
& =\int_{M} d\left(\omega_{g}^{n-m} \wedge(\partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{m-1} \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\omega_{g}$ is closed and we can replace $\partial$ with $d$ since the form is a $(n-1, n)$ form. So integrating both sides of Equation 12 over $M$ implies

$$
\int_{M} \omega_{g}^{n}=\int_{M} e^{-f+c} \omega_{g}^{n}
$$

so by the normalization condition above, $c=0$. Thus to find an $\omega$ as in the Calabi-Yau theorem, we need to solve the complex Monge-Ampère equation, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi\right)^{n}=e^{-f} \omega_{g}^{n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Yau's proof of this conjecture is given in [Tia00, Theorem 5.1] and involves a continuity argument on solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi\right)^{n}=e^{-f_{s}} \omega_{g}^{n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{s}:=s f+c_{s}, s \in[0,1]$, and $c_{s}$ are constants uniquely determined by the normalization condition $\int_{M}\left(e^{-f_{s}}-1\right) \omega_{g}^{n}=0$. It is shown that the set

$$
S=\{s \in[0,1] \mid \text { there is a solution to } 14 \text { for all } t \leq s\}
$$

is open and closed (and non-empty, since it contains zero by setting $\phi=$ constant) hence $S=[0,1]$ and there is a solution at $f$.

## 3 Calabi-Futaki invariant

There are obstructions to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. The vanishing of the Calabi-Futaki invariant is necessary and is an obstruction related to holomorphic vector fields.

Assume $M$ is a compact Fano manifold. Let $K a(M)$ denote the set of Kähler classes on $M$ and $\eta(M)$ the space of holomorphic vector fields on $M$, i.e. in local coords $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$, vector fields of the form $X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$ with $X_{i}$ holomorphic.

Choose $[\omega] \in K a(M)$ and Kähler metric $\omega_{g} \in[\omega]$. Let $s(g)$ denote the complex scalar curvature of $g$, i.e. $s(g)=g^{i \bar{j}} R_{i \bar{j}}$ locally. Define a function $h_{g}$ on $M$ by

$$
s(g)-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} s(g) \omega_{g}^{n}=\Delta h_{g}
$$

where $V=\int_{M} \omega_{g}^{n}$ is the volume.
Definition 14. The Calabi-Futaki invariant $f_{M}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{M}: K a(M) \times \eta(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
& f_{M}\left(\left[\omega_{g}\right], X\right)=\int_{M} X\left(h_{g}\right) \omega_{g}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calabi and Futaki proved
Theorem 15. $f_{M}([\omega], X)$ is a holomorphic invariant independent of the representative $g$ chosen in $[\omega]$. In particular, if there exists a constant scalar curvature metric $\omega_{g} \in[\omega]$, then $f_{M}([\omega],-)=0$.
We can restrict the first argument to $\pi c_{1}(M)>0$. Let $\pi c_{1}(M)=\left[\omega_{g}\right]=\left[\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{g}\right)\right]$. So $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{g}\right)-\omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{g}$ for some function $h_{g}$, by the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma. A Kähler manifold locally admits normal coordinates about any $x \in M$ Tia00, Prop 1.6], where $g_{i \bar{j}}(x)=\delta_{i \bar{j}}$. We can assume $\omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} \sum d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}, \operatorname{Ric}(g)=\frac{i}{2} \sum R_{i \bar{i}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}$ at $x$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(g) \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1} & =(n-1)!\sum_{i} R_{i \bar{i}} \frac{\omega_{g}^{n}}{n!} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} s(g) \omega_{g}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

at $x$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} s(g) \omega_{g}^{n} & =\frac{n}{V} \int_{M} \operatorname{Ric}(g) \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{n}{V} \int_{M}\left(\omega_{g}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{g}\right) \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1} \\
& =\frac{n}{V} \int_{M} \omega_{g}^{n}=n
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step follows since $\int_{M} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{g} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1}=\int_{M} \partial\left(\bar{\partial} h_{g} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1}\right)$ as $\omega_{g}$ is closed, which equals $\int_{M} d\left(\bar{\partial} h_{g} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1}\right)$ as $\bar{\partial} h_{g} \wedge \omega_{g}^{n-1}$ is an $(n-1, n)$ form, so this integral vanishes by Stokes' theorem.

So in the special case $\left[\omega_{g}\right]=\pi c_{1}(M), f_{M}\left(\left[\omega_{g}\right],-\right)$ gives Futaki's invariant [Fut88, §3.1] where $h_{g}$ is equivalently defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{g}\right)-\omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{g} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent since contracting the coefficients in with $g^{i \bar{j}}$ gives $s(g)-n=\Delta h_{g}$ and we already saw that $n=\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} s(g) \omega_{g}^{n}$.
Remark 16. In $\pi c_{1}(M)>0$, the notions of constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metrics and KE metrics are equivalent. We have $\pi c_{1}(M)=\left[\omega_{g}\right]=[\operatorname{Ric}(g)]$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(g)-\omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{g}$. KE implies cscK since $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\lambda \omega_{g}$ implies locally $R_{i \bar{j}}=\lambda g_{i \bar{j}}$ therefore $s(g)=n \lambda$ by taking the trace of both sides, where $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$.

Conversely, suppose $\omega_{g} \in \pi c_{1}(M)$ has constant scalar curvature. We know $n=\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} s(g) \omega_{g}^{n}$. Thus if $s$ is constant, $s=n$ so $h_{g}$ is harmonic on a compact manifold hence constant. Therefore $\operatorname{Ric}(g)=\omega_{g}$ and $(M, g)$ is Kähler-Einstein.

Proof of Theorem 15, [Fut83], [TD2]. The following proof is for the Kähler-Einstein case using the Futaki invariant and follows [Fut83] and TD92]. I will give the idea behind the proof for the general case, given in [Tia00, Theorem 3.3]. The second statement of the theorem is clear in the more general case; if $s(g)$ is constant then $\Delta h_{g}=0$ so $h_{g}$ is constant. Hence $X\left(h_{g}\right)=0$ $\forall X \in \eta(M)$ and $f_{M}([\omega],-)=0$.

Let $f_{M}(X):=f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M), X\right)$. To show $f_{M}(X)$ is independent of the representative $g$ chosen, it suffices to show $f_{t}(X):=\int_{M} X\left(h_{g_{t}}\right) \omega_{t}^{n}$ is locally constant for an arbitrary differentiable family
of Kähler metrics $\omega_{t}:=\omega_{g_{t}}$ in $\pi c_{1}(M)$, that is $\frac{d}{d t} f_{t}(X)=0$. This will suffice since the set of all Kähler metrics in $\pi c_{1}(M)$ is a cone, hence contractible.

Let $\pi c_{1}(M)$ be represented by $\omega$. By the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma, there exists smooth real functions $\psi_{t}$ such that

$$
\omega_{t}-\omega=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{t}
$$

Then differentiating with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{t}}{\partial t}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{t}}{\partial t}\right)
$$

Set $\phi_{t}:=\frac{\partial \psi_{t}}{\partial t}$. Here $\omega_{t}^{n}$ denotes $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{t}\right)_{i \bar{j}}$. Then using $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)-\omega_{t}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\omega_{t}^{n}\right) & =n \frac{\partial \omega_{t}}{\partial t} \wedge \omega_{t}^{n-1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)\right) & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \omega_{t}^{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{n i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{t} \wedge \omega_{t}^{n-1} & & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\Delta \phi_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}}{\omega_{t}^{n}}\right) \\
& =\Delta \phi_{t} \omega_{t}^{n} & & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \Delta \phi_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\partial \bar{\partial} h_{g_{t}}\right) & =-2 i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)-\omega_{t}\right) \\
& =\partial \bar{\partial}\left(-\Delta \phi_{t}-\phi_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So choose $h_{g_{t}} \equiv h_{t}$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial t}=-\Delta \phi_{t}-\phi_{t}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} f_{t}(X) & =\int_{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(X\left(h_{t}\right) \omega_{t}^{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{M}\left(X\left(\frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial t}\right)+X\left(h_{t}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}\right) \omega_{t}^{n} \\
& =\int_{M}\left(X\left(-\Delta \phi_{t}-\phi_{t}\right)+X\left(h_{t}\right) \Delta \phi_{t}\right) \omega_{t}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following argument is from [TD92]. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(\Delta \phi_{t}\right) & =X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(g_{t}^{j \bar{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\left(X^{i} g_{t}^{j \bar{k}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right)-\Delta \phi_{t} \frac{\partial X^{i}}{\partial z_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term vanishes when integrating over $M$, by the divergence theorem, and the second term is $-\operatorname{div}(X) \cdot \Delta \phi_{t}$. Similarly we can replace $X\left(-\phi_{t}\right)$ with $\operatorname{div}(X) \cdot \phi_{t}$. Also in local coordinates, $i_{X} \omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2} X^{j} g_{j \bar{k}} d \overline{z_{k}}$ and $\omega_{g}$ is closed so $\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{j}}}{\partial \overline{z_{k}}}=\frac{\partial g_{i \bar{k}}}{\partial \overline{z_{j}}}$. Since the $X^{j}$ are holomorphic

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 i \bar{\partial}\left(i_{X} \omega_{t}\right) & =X^{j} \bar{\partial}\left(g_{t j \bar{k}}\right) d \overline{z_{k}} \\
& =X^{j}\left[\sum_{i<k} \frac{\partial g_{t} j \bar{k}}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}} d \overline{z_{i}} \wedge d \overline{z_{k}}+\sum_{i>k} \frac{\partial g_{t j \bar{k}}}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}} d \overline{z_{i}} \wedge d \overline{z_{k}}\right] \\
& =X^{j}\left[\sum_{i<k} \frac{\partial g_{t_{j} \bar{k}}}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}} d \overline{z_{i}} \wedge d \overline{z_{k}}-\sum_{i<k} \frac{\partial g_{t_{j} \bar{k}}}{\partial \overline{z_{i}}} d \overline{z_{i}} \wedge d \overline{z_{k}}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

So by the Hodge theorem

$$
\iota_{X} \omega_{t}=\alpha_{t}+\bar{\partial} \eta_{t}
$$

for some harmonic $(0,1)$ form $\alpha_{t}$ and smooth function $\eta_{t}$. The $\alpha_{t}$ will vanish in the integral (n.b. $\left.\left(\alpha_{t}, \Delta \phi_{t}\right)=\left(\Delta \alpha_{t}, \phi_{t}\right)=0\right)$ so we can assume it is zero. Then $L_{X} \omega_{t}=d\left(\iota_{X} \omega_{t}\right)=\partial\left(\iota_{X} \omega_{t}\right)$ by Cartan's formula and $(\operatorname{div} X) \omega_{t}^{n}=L_{X}\left(\omega_{t}^{n}\right)$, so

$$
\partial\left(\iota_{X} \omega_{t}\right)=\partial \bar{\partial} \eta_{t} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{div}(X)=\Delta \eta_{t}
$$

Since $\left(\phi_{t}, \Delta \eta_{t}\right)=\left(\Delta \phi_{t}, \eta_{t}\right)$ with respect to the inner product $($,$) induced by integrating over M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} f_{t}(X) & =\int_{M}\left[\left(\operatorname{div}(X)+X\left(h_{t}\right)\right) \Delta \phi_{t}+\operatorname{div}(X) \phi_{t}\right] \omega_{t}^{n} \\
& =\int_{M}\left(\Delta \eta_{t}+X\left(h_{t}\right)+\eta_{t}\right) \Delta \phi_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We show $\bar{\partial}\left(\Delta \eta_{t}+X\left(h_{t}\right)+\eta_{t}\right)=0$. Since $\frac{\partial^{2} h_{t}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}=R_{i \bar{j}}-g_{t_{i \bar{j}}}\left(\right.$ where $R_{i \bar{j}}$ depends on $\left.t\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}\left(X\left(h_{t}\right)\right) & =\bar{\partial}\left(X^{i} \frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial z_{i}}\right) \\
& =X^{i} \frac{\partial^{2} h_{t}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}} d \bar{z}_{j} \\
& =\iota_{X}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{t}\right)-\omega_{t}\right) \\
\bar{\partial} \eta_{t} & =\iota_{X} \omega_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from the definition of $\operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{t}\right)$ we show $\bar{\partial} \Delta \eta_{t}=-\iota_{X} \operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{t}\right)($ from [Tia00, pg 25])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{X} R i c\left(g_{t}\right) & =-\frac{i}{2} X^{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{t k \bar{l}}\right) d \bar{z}_{j} \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial}\left(X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \log \operatorname{det}\left(g_{t_{k \bar{l}}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial}\left(X^{i} g_{t}{ }^{k \bar{l}} \frac{\left.\partial g_{t t_{k \bar{l}}}^{\partial z_{i}}\right)}{}\right. \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial}\left(X^{i} g_{t} k \bar{l} \frac{\partial g_{t i \bar{l}}}{\partial z_{k}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial}\left(g_{t}^{k \bar{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}\left(X^{i} g_{t_{i \bar{l}}}\right)-g_{t}^{k \bar{l}} g_{t_{i \bar{l}}} \frac{\partial X^{i}}{\partial z_{k}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\partial}\left(g_{t}^{k \bar{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}\left(X^{i} g_{t_{i \bar{l}}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\bar{\partial}\left(g_{t}^{k \bar{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}} \eta_{t}\right) \\
& =-\bar{\partial} \Delta \eta_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $X$ is holomorphic is used in the second line and sixth lines, the definition of the inverse of a matrix $A$ as $\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \operatorname{adj}(A)$, where $\operatorname{adj}(A)$ is the adjugate matrix, is used in the third line, the fourth line uses that $\omega_{g}$ is closed, the fifth line is the Chain rule, and the penultimate line uses $\iota_{X} \omega_{t}=\bar{\partial} \eta_{t}$. So $\bar{\partial}\left(\Delta \eta_{t}+\eta_{t}+X\left(h_{t}\right)\right)=0$ and by the chain rule and divergence theorem $\frac{d}{d t} f_{t}(X)=0$.

In the more general case, one can show that $f_{M}([\omega], X)=\int_{M} \theta_{X} \Delta_{g} h_{g} \omega_{g}^{n}$, for a specified function $\theta_{X}$. By the Hodge theorem, $i_{X} \omega_{g}=\frac{i}{2}\left(\alpha+\bar{\partial} \theta_{X}\right)$ for some harmonic 1-form $\alpha$ and smooth function $\theta_{X}$. Thus $X^{j}=g^{j \bar{k}}\left(\alpha_{\bar{k}}+\frac{\partial \theta_{X}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}\right)$.

Let $\Delta_{g}$ denote the $\bar{\partial}$-Laplacian on functions, which is $\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}$. Note that the inner product $g_{\mathbb{C}}(\psi, \bar{\eta})$ on forms is the dual of the inner product on vector fields, so has coefficients $g^{j \bar{k}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{M}([\omega], X) & =\int_{M} X\left(h_{g}\right) \omega_{g}^{n} \\
& =\int_{M} X^{j} \frac{\partial h_{g}}{\partial z_{j}} \omega_{g}^{n} \\
& =\int_{M} g^{j \bar{k}}\left(\alpha_{\bar{k}}+\frac{\partial \theta_{X}}{\partial \overline{z_{k}}}\right) \frac{\partial h_{g}}{\partial z_{j}} \omega_{g}^{n} \\
& =\left(\partial h_{g}, \bar{\alpha}\right)+\left(\partial h_{g}, \partial \theta_{X}\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha, \bar{\partial} h_{g}\right)+\left(\bar{\partial} \theta_{X}, \bar{\partial} h_{g}\right) \\
& =\left(\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha, h_{g}\right)+\left(\theta_{X}, \bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial} h_{g}\right) \\
& =\int_{M} \theta_{X} \Delta_{g} h_{g} \omega_{g}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final line follows since $\alpha$ is harmonic, so $\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=0$. Then defining $F(g, X)=(n+$ 1) $2^{n+1} \int_{M} h_{g} \Delta \theta_{X} \omega_{g}^{n}$, one takes a family of metrics $\left\{g_{t}\right\}$ in the given Kähler class $[\omega]$ and shows $\left.\frac{d}{d t} F\left(g_{t}, X\right)\right|_{t=0}=0$, done in [Tia00, pg 24-27].

### 3.1 Formula for the Calabi-Futaki invariant

With the additional condition that $X \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ be non-degenerate, Futaki gives a formula for $f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M),-\right)$, by looking at the zero set of $X$, Fut88.

Here is the set-up. Suppose $Z \subseteq M$ is a smooth complex submanifold and let $N_{M \mid Z}$ denote the normal bundle $T M / T Z$ to $Z$ in $M$. A metric $g$ on $M$ induces an orthogonal decomposition $\left.T M\right|_{Z}=T Z \oplus N_{M \mid Z}$. If $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $M, \nabla X$ induces a section $D X$ of $\operatorname{End}\left(N_{M \mid Z}\right)$, given by restricting to vectors in $N_{M \mid Z}$, taking the covariant derivative of $X$ in the given direction, and projecting the result to $N_{M \mid Z}$. With the orthogonal decomposition above, $D X=\left.(\nabla X)^{\perp}\right|_{N_{M \mid Z}}$ where $(\nabla X)^{\perp}$ denotes the component in $T M$ perpendicular to $T Z$.

Definition 17. We say $X \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ is non-degenerate if

$$
\operatorname{zero}(X)=\coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Z_{\lambda}
$$

where the $Z_{\lambda}$ are smooth complex connected submanifolds, and

$$
D_{z} X: T_{z} M / T_{z} Z_{\lambda} \rightarrow T_{z} M / T_{z} Z_{\lambda}
$$

is a non-degenerate linear map of vector spaces, i.e. has nonzero determinant, for all $z \in Z_{\lambda}$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Then
Theorem 18 ([Fut88, Theorem 5.2.8]). For $X$ a non-degenerate vector field on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M), X\right)=\frac{\pi^{n}}{n+1} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{Z_{\lambda}} \frac{\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)+c_{1}(M)\right]^{n+1}}{\operatorname{det}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)+\frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M, L_{\lambda}(X)=\left.(\nabla X)^{\perp}\right|_{N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}}$ and $K_{\lambda}$ is the induced curvature form on $N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}$.
Consider the special case where $M$ is a complex surface and $\Lambda=\Lambda_{0} \cup \Lambda_{1}$, where $\Lambda_{i}$ consists of $i$ dimensional submanifolds. Since only forms of degree $2 \cdot \operatorname{dim} Z_{\lambda}$ contribute to the integral in (16), the sum over $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ becomes

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)^{3}}{\operatorname{det}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)}
$$

For one-dimensional submanifolds $Z_{\lambda}, T Z_{\lambda}$ and the normal bundle are line bundles, i.e. rank one vector bundles, so $L_{\lambda}(X)$ and $K_{\lambda}$ are both one-by-one matrices hence we can omit the trace and determinant. Note that $X$ is non-degenerate so $L_{\lambda}(X) \neq 0$. Then using an expansion for the denominator and omitting terms not of degree 2 we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Z_{\lambda}} \frac{\left(L_{\lambda}(X)+c_{1}(M)\right)^{3}}{L_{\lambda}(X)+\frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda}} \\
& =\int_{Z_{\lambda}} \frac{L_{\lambda}(X)^{3}+3 L_{\lambda}(X)^{2} c_{1}(M)}{L_{\lambda}(X)\left(1+\frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda} L_{\lambda}(X)^{-1}\right)} \\
& =\int_{Z_{\lambda}}\left(\left(L_{\lambda}(X)^{2}+3 L_{\lambda}(X) c_{1}(M)\right)\left(1-\frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda} L_{\lambda}(X)^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{Z_{\lambda}}\left(3 L_{\lambda}(X) c_{1}(M)-\frac{i}{2 \pi} L_{\lambda}(X) K_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =L_{\lambda}(X)\left(2 c_{1}(M)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)+2-2 g\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The final step was obtained as follows. $K_{\lambda}$ is the induced curvature form on the line bundle $N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}$ thus $\left[\frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda}\right]=c_{1}\left(N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T M\right|_{Z_{\lambda}}=T Z_{\lambda} \oplus N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}} \Longrightarrow c_{1}\left(\left.T M\right|_{Z_{\lambda}}\right)=c_{1}\left(T Z_{\lambda}\right)+c_{1}\left(N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the pairing of cohomology on homology, given by integrating a form over a submanifold, we find by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

$$
\int_{Z_{\lambda}} c_{1}\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)=\int_{Z_{\lambda}} c_{1}\left(T Z_{\lambda}\right)=\int_{Z_{\lambda}} \frac{C_{\lambda}}{2 \pi} \Phi=\chi\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)
$$

where $\Phi$ is the volume form and $C_{\lambda}$ is the Gaussian curvature of $Z_{\lambda}$, using the fact that $C_{\lambda} \Phi$ is $i$ times the curvature form on the line bundle $T Z_{\lambda}$, see [GH94, pg 77]. Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\left.T M\right|_{Z_{\lambda}}\right)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right) & =\int_{Z_{\lambda}} c_{1}\left(\left.T M\right|_{Z_{\lambda}}\right)=\int_{Z_{\lambda}} c_{1}(T M) \\
& =\int_{Z_{\lambda}} c_{1}(M) \\
& =c_{1}(M)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Equation 17

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}\right)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right) & =c_{1}\left(\left.T M\right|_{Z_{\lambda}}\right)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)-c_{1}\left(T Z_{\lambda}\right)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right) \\
\therefore \int_{Z_{\lambda}} \frac{i}{2 \pi} K_{\lambda} & =c_{1}\left(N_{M \mid Z_{\lambda}}\right)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =c_{1}(M)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)-\chi\left(Z_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =c_{1}(M)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)-\left(2-2 g\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have the result above. So

$$
f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M), X\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)^{3}}{\operatorname{det}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}} L_{\lambda}(X)\left(2 c_{1}(M)\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)+2-2 g\left(Z_{\lambda}\right)\right)
$$

### 3.2 Example 1

This example was done in Tia00, pg $32-33$ ]. Consider $\mathbb{C P}^{2}(p)$, the blow up of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ in a point $p$. Using the automorphism group of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}, S L(3, \mathbb{C}) / \sim$ where $A \sim \lambda A, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, we may assume $p=[1: 0: 0]$.

The blow up of a general complex manifold in a point $p$ is given by taking a local coordinate chart centred about $p$, blowing up at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and then glueing the resulting $B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{n}$ back onto the manifold. The following theory is from [GH94, pg 182-185].

Here we consider a neighbourhood $U=\{[x: y: z] \mid x \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2}$ in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$. We have a parametrization given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
f: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow U \\
(x, y) \mapsto[1: x: y]
\end{gathered}
$$

and $B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{2}=\{((x, y),[\xi: \eta]) \mid x \eta=y \xi\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$. Thus there is a commutative diagram

where $\pi_{1}$ is the projection onto the first factor. Note that $f \pi_{1}$ restricts to an isomorphism $B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{2}-\left\{(0,0) \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}\right\} \rightarrow U-\{p\}$. Then the blow-up of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ at $p$ is obtained by glueing along this restriction

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\mathbb{C P}^{2} \backslash\{p\} \cup_{f \pi_{1}} B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
& =\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2} \backslash\{p\}\right) \cup \tilde{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{U}=\{([1: x: y],[\xi: \eta]) \mid x \eta=y \xi\}$ and $E=\{([1: 0: 0],[\xi: \eta])\} \cong \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ is the exceptional divisor which has replaced $p$. Points $([1: x: y],[\xi: \eta]) \in \tilde{U} \backslash E$ are identified one-to-one with points $[1: x: y] \in \mathbb{C P}^{2} \backslash\{p\}$. Away from $E, M$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, which is a complex manifold. We define local coordinates about $E$ as follows. Take a cover $V_{0}, V_{1}$ of $\tilde{U}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} & =\{([1: x: y],[\xi: \eta]) \in \tilde{U} \mid \xi \neq 0\} \\
V_{1} & =\{([1: x: y],[\xi: \eta]) \in \tilde{U} \mid \eta \neq 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

On these open sets we have coordinates $\left(z_{1}^{0}, z_{2}^{0}\right)=(x, \eta / \xi)$ and $\left(z_{1}^{1}, z_{2}^{1}\right)=(\xi / \eta, y)$ since $y$ and $x$ are then respectively determined by $x \eta=y \xi$. In particular $E \cap V_{0}=\left\{z_{1}^{0}=0\right\}, E \cap V_{1}=\left\{z_{2}^{1}=0\right\}$. Thus the transition functions for $\left.[E]\right|_{E} \cong N_{M \mid E}$ are the inverse of those for the hyperplane bundle. Any line bundle $L$ over $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ is a multiple of the hyperplane bundle. This multiple is denoted $\operatorname{deg}(L)$ and corresponds to the image of $L$ under the isomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{\text { line bundles }\}=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C P}^{1}}^{*}\right) \cong H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
L \leftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(L)
\end{gathered}
$$

N.B. This isomorphism arises from taking the long exact sequence of cohomology from the exponential sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C P}^{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C P}^{1}}^{*} \rightarrow 0
$$

and observing that $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C P}^{1}}\right)=0$ and $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C P}^{1}}\right) \cong H \bar{\partial}{ }^{0,2}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}\right)=0 . \operatorname{deg}(L)$ is also the integer obtained by pairing cohomology and homology, $c_{1}(L)\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}\right)$. Further, in the case of the normal bundle to a submanifold $Z$, this degree coincides with the self-intersection number of $Z$, denoted $Z \cap Z$. Since the transition functions for $\left.[E]\right|_{E}$ are inverse those for the hyperplane bundle, we have $E \cap E=-1$. Any other curve on $M$ which is not $E$ can be considered a curve on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, and these always have self-intersection number +1 .

To obtain a holomorphic vector field $X$, we first define a flow $\phi_{t}$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ and then lift it to $M$. Note that $\phi_{t}$ must fix $p$, so that when $p$ is blown up to $E, \phi_{t}$ lifts to $M$ where it fixes $E$.

On $U$, set

$$
\phi_{t}([1: x: y])=\left[1: e^{t} x: e^{t} y\right]
$$

so $\phi_{t}(p)=p$. Extend this to $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}:=\{[0: x: y]\}$ by taking a limit

$$
\phi_{t}([0: x: y])=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{t}([1: \lambda x: \lambda y])
$$

Note that $\phi_{t}$ fixes $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$; we have $\left[1: e^{t} \lambda x: e^{t} \lambda y\right]=\left[e^{-t} \lambda^{-1}: x: y\right]$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, so as $\lambda$ becomes large, we see taking the limit gives $[0: x: y]$ again.
$\phi_{t}$ lifts to $M$ by $\phi_{t}([1: x: y],[\xi: \eta]) \mapsto\left(\left[1: e^{t} x: e^{t} y\right],[\xi: \eta]\right)$ and is defined on $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$ as above. The fixed points of $\phi_{t}$ on $\tilde{U}$ are precisely $E$, and everything outside $\tilde{U}$, namely $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$, is fixed. So if $X$ is the vector field induced by $\phi_{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{t}\right) & =E \cup \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1} \\
\Longrightarrow \operatorname{zero}(X) & =E \sqcup \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Both $E, \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ so have genus 0 and Euler characteristic 2. As noted earlier $E \cap E=-1, \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1} \cap \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}=+1$. It remins to compute $L_{\lambda}(X)$.

In coordinates about $E$, e.g. in $V_{0}$, the flow sends

$$
\left(x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}\right)=(x, \eta / \xi) \mapsto\left(e^{t} x, \eta / \xi\right)
$$

Since $\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{t} x, \eta / \xi\right)=\left(e^{t} x, 0\right), X$ is locally given by $X=x_{1}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}$. Since $E \cap V_{0}=\left\{x_{1}^{0}=0\right\}$, we have a local frame for $\left.T E\right|_{V_{0}}$ given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}^{0}}$ therefore we can choose $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}$ as a local frame for $N_{M \mid E}$. So with respect to the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}$ for $N_{M \mid E}$,

$$
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}} X=1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}+x_{1}^{0} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}
$$

On $E, x_{1}^{0}=0$ so we get $L_{\lambda}(X)=1$ on $E$.
Next we find local coordinates about $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1} . \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$ is contained in $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$ where $U_{i}:=\left\{\left[s_{0}: s_{1}\right.\right.$ : $\left.\left.s_{2}\right] \mid s_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. $U_{1}$ has coordinates $(u, v)=\left(s_{0} / s_{1}, s_{2} / s_{1}\right)$ on which $\phi_{t}$ is

$$
(u, v) \mapsto\left(e^{-t} u, v\right)
$$

so $X=-u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ locally. $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1} \cap U_{1}=\{u=0\}$ so we can choose $N_{M \mid \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}}$ to be generated by $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. As in the calculation above, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} X=-\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ on $\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}$ and $L_{\lambda}(X)=-1$.

So putting everything together

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M), X\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left(\left[2 c_{1}(M)(E)+2-2 g(E)\right]-\left[2 c_{1}(M)\left(\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}\right)+2-2 g\left(\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}\right)\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{3}\left([\chi(E)+E \cap E]-\left[\chi\left(\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}\right)+\mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1} \cap \mathbb{C P}_{\infty}^{1}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{3}(2-1-2-1) \\
& =-\frac{4}{3} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $\mathbb{C P}^{2}(p)$ never admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

### 3.3 Example 2

Let $M$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ at the point $([1: 0],[1: 0])$. That is, $M$ is the blow up of the image of the Segre embedding $\phi: \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{3}$ at $p:=[1: 0: 0: 0]$. Let $X=\phi\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}\right)$ and $w_{0}, \ldots, w_{3}$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$. So $X$ is the zero set of $w_{0} w_{3}-w_{1} w_{2}$. Note that if we blow up $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ in any point $p$, using the automorphism group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright$ $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ we may assume $p=[1: 0],[1: 0]$. On $X, p$ lies in the open set $U:=U_{0} \cap X$ on $X$, where $U_{i}$ is the open set in $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ consisting of points with nonzero $i$ th coordinate. We have local parametrization

$$
\begin{aligned}
f: \mathbb{C}^{2} & \rightarrow U \\
(x, y) & \mapsto[1: x: y: x y]
\end{aligned}
$$

The projection onto the first factor is $\pi_{1}: B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ as earlier. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =X \backslash\{p\} \cup_{f \pi_{1}} B_{0} \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
& =X \backslash\{p\} \cup \tilde{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{U}=\{[1: x: y: x y],[\xi: \eta] \mid x \eta=y \xi\}$. The exceptional divisor is $E=\{[1: 0: 0: 0],[\xi: \eta]\}$. Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}=\{([1: x: y: x y],[\xi: \eta]) \in \tilde{U} \mid \xi \neq 0\} \\
& V_{1}=\{([1: x: y: x y],[\xi: \eta]) \in \tilde{U} \mid \eta \neq 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $V_{0}$ has coordinates $\left(x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}\right)=(x, \eta / \xi)$ and $V_{1}$ has coordinates $\left(x_{1}^{1}, x_{2}^{1}\right)=(\xi / \eta, y)$, where $E$ is given by $\{x=0\}$ and $\{y=0\}$ on $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ respectively. So as in Example $1, E \cap E=-1$.

Define a flow on $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ given by

$$
\phi_{t}\left(\left[w_{0}: w_{1}: w_{2}: w_{3}\right]\right)=\left[w_{0}: e^{t} w_{1}: e^{t} w_{2}: e^{2 t} w_{3}\right]
$$

This restricts to a flow on $X$ and fixes $p$, so lifts to a flow on $M$ fixing $E$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[0: e^{t} w_{1}: e^{t} w_{2}: e^{2 t} w_{3}\right] } & =\left[0: w_{1}: w_{2}: e^{t} w_{3}\right] \\
& =\left[0: w_{1}: w_{2}: w_{3}\right] \forall t \\
& \Longrightarrow w_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left[0: w_{1}: w_{2}: 0\right]\right\} \cap X=[0: 1: 0: 0] \sqcup[0: 0: 1: 0] \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \text { Fix }\left(\phi_{t}\right)=E \sqcup q_{1} \sqcup q_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are the two fixed points. The flow locally on $V_{0}$ is

$$
\left(x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}\right) \mapsto\left(e^{t} x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}\right)
$$

thus $X:=\frac{d}{d t} \phi_{t}=x_{1}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}$ on $V_{0}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\nabla X\right|_{E} & =\left.\nabla\left(x_{1}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}\right)\right|_{E} \\
& =d x_{1}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}} \\
\Longrightarrow L_{\lambda}(X) & =1 \text { on } E
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $x_{1}^{0}=0$ on $E$ so $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{0}}$ is a generator for $N_{M \mid E}$. About $q_{1}$ we have open set $U_{1}$ and coordinates $(u, v)=\left(w_{0} / w_{1}, w_{3} / w_{1}\right)$ and then $w_{2}$ is determined by $w_{0} w_{3}=w_{1} w_{2}$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{t}(u, v)=\left(e^{-t} u, e^{t} v\right) \\
& \left.\Longrightarrow X\right|_{U_{1}}=-u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\nabla X$ at $q_{1}$ is $\nabla X=\nabla\left(-u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)=-d u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+d v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ since $X$ vanishes at $q_{1}$. As $q_{1}$ is a point, its normal bundle is all of $T_{q_{1}} M$ so $L_{\lambda}(X)$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix given by $L_{\lambda}(X)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ therefore $\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)=0$.

The calculation for $q_{2}$ is identical, with coordinates $(u, v)=\left(w_{0} / w_{2}, w_{3} / w_{2}\right), \phi_{t}(u, v)=\left(e^{-t} u, e^{t} v\right)$, $\left.X\right|_{U_{2}}$ and $L_{\lambda}(X)$ are the same, so $\operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\lambda}(X)\right)=0$. So the points do not contribute to $f_{M}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{M}\left(\pi c_{1}(M), X\right) & =\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left(2 c_{1}(M)(E)+2-2 g(E)\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}(\chi(E)+E \cap E+1) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}(2-1+1)=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}(p)$ never admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

### 3.4 Fano surfaces

We've shown above that $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}(p)$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{2}(p)$ do not admit Kähler-Einstein metrics. $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ admits the Fubini-Study metric, given by (where $\|z\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$ for local coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{F S}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)=\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{1+\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\sum_{i} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \wedge \sum_{j} z_{j} d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \omega_{F S}^{n}=n!\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n} \frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d z_{n} \wedge d \bar{z}_{n}}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{n+1}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The latter equality can be seen as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \wedge \sum_{j} z_{j} d \bar{z}_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k, l} \bar{z}_{i} z_{j} \bar{z}_{k} z_{l} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l} \\
& =\sum_{i<k} \bar{z}_{i} z_{j} \bar{z}_{k} z_{l} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l}+\sum_{i>k} \bar{z}_{i} z_{j} \bar{z}_{k} z_{l} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l} \\
& =\sum_{i<k} \bar{z}_{i} z_{j} \bar{z}_{k} z_{l}\left(d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l}-d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge d z_{k} \wedge d \bar{z}_{l}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in $\omega_{F S}^{n}$, the terms $\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \wedge \sum_{j} z_{j} d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1+\|z\| \|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{k}$ for $k \geq 2$ are zero. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-2 i \omega_{F S}\right)^{n} & =\left(\frac{\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{1+\|z\|^{2}}\right)^{n}-n\left(\frac{\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}}{1+\|z\|^{2}}\right)^{n-1} \wedge \frac{\sum_{i} \bar{z}_{i} d z_{i} \wedge \sum_{j} z_{j} d \bar{z}_{j}}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}\right)^{n}\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{n+1}}-\|\left. z\right|^{2} \frac{\left(\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}\right)^{n^{2}}}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{n+1}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\sum_{i} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}\right)^{n}}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{F S}\right) & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\omega_{F S}^{n}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{n+1}}\right) \\
& =(n+1) \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right) \\
& =(n+1) \omega_{F S}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$ is Kähler-Einstein.
$M:=\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ is also Kähler-Einstein. Let $\pi_{i}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ be the projection onto the $i$ th factor. $M$ admits a Kähler structure as a product of two Kähler manifolds, induced by the metric $g:=g_{F S}^{1}+g_{F S}^{2}$ where

$$
\left(g_{F S}^{1}+g_{F S}^{2}\right)(u, v)=g_{F S}^{1}\left(\pi_{1 *} u, \pi_{1 *} v\right)+g_{F S}^{2}\left(\pi_{2 *} u, \pi_{2 *} v\right)
$$

So in local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2}\left(\frac{d z_{1} \wedge d \bar{z}_{1}}{\left(1+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{d z_{2} \wedge d \bar{z}_{2}}{\left(1+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)=\omega_{F S}^{1}+\omega_{F S}^{2}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \omega^{2} \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\omega_{F S}^{1} \wedge \omega_{F S}^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial}\left[\log \left(\frac{1}{\left(1+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)+\log \left(\frac{1}{\left(1+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& =2\left(\omega_{F S}^{1}+\omega_{F S}^{2}\right)=2 \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 19 ([Hit75]). The Fano surfaces are $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ blown up at $k$ points in general position for $0 \leq k \leq 8$.

Note that $\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}(p)$, see [GH94, pg 478-450].
Ideas in the proof of 19 . Hitchin's proof showed that $c_{1}(M)>0$ implies $M$ is birational to $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, and further blowing down $M$ does not change the sign of $c_{1}(M)$ so we may look at the minimal models for rational surfaces, which are $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ and $F_{n}:=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{n} \oplus \mathbf{1}\right), n \neq 1$, where $H$ is the hyperplane bundle over $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. Using the Riemann-Roch theorem for surfaces for a non-singular rational curve $D$ on $M$ gives

$$
-c_{1}(M) \cdot[D]+[D]^{2}=-2
$$

so using $c_{1}(M)>0$ one can show this implies $[D]^{2}>-2$. The manifold $F_{n}$ has a rational curve with self-intersection $-n$ so we can exclude all $F_{n}$ except $F_{0} \cong \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}$. As $\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}(p) \cong$ $\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$, we can assume we blow up in points on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$. The first Chern classes of a blow up $\pi: M \rightarrow M$ of $M$ in a point are related by

$$
c_{1}(\hat{M})=\pi^{*} c_{1}(M)-[E]
$$

where $E$ is the exceptional divisor. If we blow up $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ in $k$ points then this implies

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)\right)^{2}=9-k>0
$$

using the result that $c_{1}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{2}\right)$ corresponds to the integer 3 . So we require $k \leq 8$. We must blow up in distinct points since blowing up in a point gives an exceptional divisor of self-intersection -1 and blowing up again in the same point gives a curve of self-intersection -2 , but $[C]^{2}>-2$ for all non-singular rational curves $C$.

The following theorem and the previous examples answer the Kähler-Einstein question for Fano surfaces.

Theorem 20 ([Tia00, pg 87]). The Fano surfaces $\mathbb{C P}^{2}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ for $3 \leq k \leq 8$ and $p_{i}$ in general position all admit Kähler-Einstein metrics.

## 4 Asymptotic Chow Stability

The existence of KE metrics is also related to stability. We can generalize to the case of a compact complex manifold $M$ with positive line bundle $L$. Then the pair ( $M, L$ ) is called a polarized manifold. $c_{1}(L)$ is represented by a positive closed $(1,1)$ form, locally given by $\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{j}$, where $g_{i \bar{j}}$ is a positive definite hermitian matrix. Then $g:=\sum_{i, j} g_{i \bar{j}} d z_{i} \otimes d \bar{z}_{j}$ is a hermitian Kähler metric and $M$ is a Kähler manifold. Note that since $L$ is positive, for sufficiently large $k$ we can embed $\iota_{k}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$ via sections of $L^{k}$. This is the statement of the Kodaira Embedding theorem, [GH94, pg 181].

We seek to find a constant scalar curvature Kähler ( $\operatorname{cscK}$ ) metric in $c_{1}(L)$, which is a generalization of finding a KE metric in $c_{1}(M)$. I will describe some results related to this question and then give the background behind them.

Let $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$ denote the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ consisting of automorphisms of $L$ which commute with the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on fibers. In particular, these descend to automorphisms of $M$, so $A u t(M, L)$ can be identified with a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$. When $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$ is discrete its Lie algebra is trivial. Donaldson proved in Don01], for the sequence of metrics $\omega_{k}:=\frac{2 \pi}{k} \iota \iota_{k}^{*}\left(\omega_{F S}\right)$,

Theorem 21 ([Don01]). Suppose $(M, L)$ is a polarized manifold and Aut $(M, L)$ is discrete. If $\omega$ is a cscK metric in $2 \pi c_{1}(L)$, then $\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ is balanced for all sufficiently large $k$ and the sequence of metrics $\omega_{k}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ to $\omega$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 22. When $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$ is discrete, if $2 \pi c_{1}(L)$ admits a cscK metric it is unique.
Wang showed using moment maps and symplectic reduction
Theorem 23 (Wan04). Let $(M, L)$ be polarized by a very ample line bundle $L$, with embedding $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ via L. Then $(M, L)$ is Chow polystable if and only if it can be balanced.

Theorem 23 was originally due to Zhang, and there is a proof by Paul as well.
Corollary 24. Asymptotic Chow stability of a polarized manifold $(M, L)$ is an obstruction to the existence of cscK metrics in $c_{1}(L)$ when $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$ is discrete.

### 4.1 Background

### 4.1.1 Chow form and asymptotic Chow stability

The Chow form gives a way of parametrizing polarized manifolds. Chow polystability is defined in terms of stability of the Chow form. There are two equivalent ways of defining the Chow form. Let $(M, L)$ be a polarized manifold as above with embedding $\iota_{k}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$. Set $n:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$ and $d_{k}$ is the degree of $\iota_{k}(M) \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$.

1) Consider the set of all $N_{k}-(n+1)$ dimensional subspaces $V$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$, i.e. points in $\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right)$, the Grassmannian of $N_{k}-n$ dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{N_{k}+1}$. Given a set of coordinates $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we have a set of coordinates $e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{r}}, 1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{r} \leq n$ on $\bigwedge^{r} \mathbb{C}^{n}$, called the Plücker coordinates. Then $\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right)$ embeds into $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{N_{k}-n} \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ by sending a space $V$ spanned by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N_{k}-n}$ to the one dimensional space spanned by $e_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{N_{k}-n}$ in $\bigwedge^{N_{k}-n} \mathbb{C}^{n}$. This is called the Plücker embedding.
$V$ does not generically intersect $M$ since $\operatorname{dim} V+\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}-1$. However, the set of $V$ such that $V \cap M \neq \phi$ forms an irreducible codimension one subvariety of $\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right)$
and hence is defined by the vanishing of some polynomial degree $d_{k}$ in the Plücker coordinates of $\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right)$. This is proved in GKZ94, Chapter $3, \S 2$, A and B]. This polynomial is the Chow point or Chow form. It is a point in the Chow space

$$
\mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(d_{k}\right)\right)
$$

whose points parametrize polarized varieties $(M, L)$ where $L^{k}$ induces an embedding $\iota_{k}: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}, n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$ and $d_{k}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\iota_{k}(M)\right)$. This is the approach taken in Wan04.
2) Following Futaki [Fut11, let $V_{k}=H^{0}\left(M, L^{k}\right)^{*}$ so $N_{k}+1=\operatorname{dim} V_{k}$. Elements in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)$ define hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}\right)$ so if

$$
D_{M}:=\left\{\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right) \mid H_{1} \cap \ldots \cap H_{n+1} \cap M \neq \phi\right\}
$$

then $D_{M}$ is a divisor in $\mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}\left(V_{k}^{*}\right)$ defined by a polynomial in $\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{d_{k}}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)^{\otimes n+1}$, also called the Chow form. These two definitions of the Chow form are equivalent as described by Mumford Mum77, pg 16 - 17].

Let $\operatorname{Chow}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ denote the $k$ th Chow form of $(M, L)$ as defined above. Note that $G:=$ $S L\left(N_{k}+1\right)$ acts on $\mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$, which corresponds to changing the chosen basis for $H^{0}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$. This induces an action on $\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{d_{k}}\left(V_{k}\right)\right)^{\otimes n+1}$ or $\mathbb{P} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(d_{k}\right)\right)$ so we can consider the orbit of $C \operatorname{how}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$. Chow stability of $M$ corresponds with the geometric invariant theory (GIT) stability of $C h o w\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ with respect to this $G$-action.

Definition 25. Let $(M, L)$ be a polarized manifold.

1. $M$ is Chow polystable w.r.t. $L^{k}$ if the orbit of $\operatorname{Chow}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ under $G$ is closed.
2. $M$ is Chow stable w.r.t $L^{k}$ if it is polystable and the stabilizer of $\operatorname{Chow}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ is finite.
3. $M$ is asymptotically Chow stable w.r.t. $L$ if there exists a $k_{0}>0$ such that for all $k \geq k_{0}$, $M$ is Chow stable w.r.t $L^{k}$.

### 4.1.2 Moment map and symplectic quotient

I learned the following background from [DK90], Tho06, Wan04 and Wikipedia.
Definition 26. A symplectic manifold $M$ is a smooth manifold equipped with a closed, nondegenerate global 2-form $\omega$.

Definition 27. We say an automorphism $g$ of $M$ is symplectic or a symplectomorphism if it preserves $\omega$, i.e. $g^{*} \omega=\omega$.

For example a Kähler manifold is symplectic, where $\omega$ is its Kähler metric. If $M=\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ is equipped with the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{F S}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\|z\|^{2}\right)$ then $g \in G L(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ preserves $\omega_{F S}$ if and only if $g \in U(N+1)$ since

$$
\|g(z)\|^{2}=\overline{z^{T} g^{T}} g z=\bar{z}^{T} z^{T}=\|z\|^{2}
$$

for all $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N+1}\right)$ if and only if $\bar{g}^{T} g=I$ i.e. $g \in U(N+1)$.

Let $G$ be a compact Lie group acting on $M$ by symplectomorphisms. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of $G$. Each element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ defines a vector field $\sigma(\xi)$ on $M$ by considering its infinitesimal action. On $T_{z} M$ the vector $\sigma_{z}(\xi)$ is defined to be

$$
\sigma_{z}(\xi):=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \exp (t \xi) \cdot z
$$

where $\exp (t \xi)$ is the 1-parameter subgroup (1-PS) of $G$ induced by $\xi$ via the exponential map. Since $G$ acts by symplectomorphisms the Lie derivative $L_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega=0$. Thus using Cartan's formula and the fact that $\omega$ is closed we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=L_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega & =d\left(\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega\right)+\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} d \omega \\
& =d\left(\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So if $H^{1}(M, \mathbb{R})=0$, then $\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega$ is exact. For example, when $M$ is Kähler and $c_{1}(M)>0$, the Calabi-Yau theorem states that $M$ admits a metric with positive Ricci curvature, which implies $M$ is simply connected ( Tia00, Remark 2.14]). So $H^{1}(M, \mathbb{R})=0$ in that case.

Then we may write $\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega=d m_{\xi}$ for some function $m_{\xi}$ on $M$. Note that in general if $d f=0$, then $f$ lies in the kernel of $d$, which consists of locally constant functions on $M$, so for $M$ simply connected $f$ is constant. That is, $m_{\xi}$ is unique up to a constant.

Definition 28. A moment map for the action of $G$ on $M$ is a map

$$
m: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}
$$

where $\langle m(z), \xi\rangle=m_{\xi}(z)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\langle m, \xi\rangle=\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final equation is an expression in terms of $z \in M$, where $\langle m, \xi\rangle(z)=\langle m(z), \xi\rangle$, and $\left(\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \omega\right)(z)=\iota_{\sigma_{z}(\xi)} \omega$. That is, a moment map for the action of $G$ on $M$ is obtained by combining all the components $m_{\xi}$ into a map. Here $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the evaluation pairing \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If we have a bi-invariant non-degenerate pairing on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, such as taking the trace of the product of two matrices in the Lie algebra of the special linear group, then we can identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with its dual via this pairing and consider $m$ as a map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 29. $G$ acts on itself by conjugation, which induces the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(g): G \rightarrow G \\
h \mapsto g h g^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

This induces $\operatorname{Ad}(g):=(d \psi(g))_{e}: T_{e} G \rightarrow T_{e} G$, the adjoint action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $m$ is $G$-equivariant if

$$
\langle m(g \cdot z), \xi\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{Ad}(g)^{*} m(z), \xi\right\rangle, \quad \forall z \in M, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

where the right hand side is $\left\langle m(z), \operatorname{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right) \cdot \xi\right\rangle$.
Recall the $m_{\xi}$ are unique up to constants. It is possible to choose these constants such that $m$ is a $G$-equivariant moment map. Then $m$ is uniquely defined up to addition of a central element in $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$, DK90],Tho06].

The moment map generalizes the notion of angular and linear momentum, hence its name. The possible locations of a particle in 3 -space form its configuration space, $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The phase space corresponding to this configuration space is $M:=T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{3}$; each point of $M$ corresponds to a unique state of the particle, describing its position and momentum. The group $G$ of translations $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and rotations $S O(3)$ acts on $M$ and the components of the moment map $m: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ are the components of angular and linear momentum in each of the three directions.

Assuming $m$ is $G$-equivariant, $G$ acts on $m^{-1}(0)$.
Definition 30. The symplectic quotient of $M$ by $G$ is

$$
M / / G:=m^{-1}(0) / G
$$

When $M$ is a compact Kähler manifold we consider the action of a compact Lie group $G$ and extend the action to its complexification $G^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{g}+i \mathfrak{g}$, via the almost complex structure $J$. So $\sigma(i \xi)=J \sigma(\xi)$. For example, $S U(N+1) \subset S L(N+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{s l}(N+1, \mathbb{C})=$ trace 0 matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{s u}(N+1)=$ trace 0 skew-hermitian matrices, as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{R}$. Since any trace 0 matrix $A$ can be written as a sum of trace 0 hermitian and skewhermitian matrices $A=\frac{1}{2}\left(A+\bar{A}^{T}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(A-\bar{A}^{T}\right)$, we have $\mathfrak{s l}(N+1, \mathbb{C})=\mathfrak{s u}(N+1)+i \cdot \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)$.

As an example $\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \omega_{F S}\right)$ has a canonical moment map $\mu_{F S}$

$$
\mu_{F S}:\left(z_{0}: \ldots: z_{N}\right) \mapsto \frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{z_{i} \bar{z}_{j}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\delta_{i j}}{N+1}\right) \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)
$$

Note that the trace of the image is $\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{\|z\|^{2}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\delta_{i i}}{N+1}\right)=1-1=0$ as required.
Proof follows MFK94, Example 8.1(ii)] and Kir84, Lemma 2.5]. As noted above $U(N+1)$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ by symplectomorphisms. Note that $\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{\|z\| \|^{2}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\delta_{i i}}{N+1}\right)$ can be identified with its dual element in $\mathfrak{s u}(N+1)^{*}$ via the Killing form $-\operatorname{tr}(a \cdot b)$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)$. This is symmetric and bilinear. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mu_{F S}(z), a\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{s u}} & =-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\frac{z_{i} \bar{z}_{j}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\delta_{i j}}{N+1}\right) \cdot a_{j k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{z_{i} \bar{z}_{j} \cdot a_{j i}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{a_{i i}}{N+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A $G$-equivariant moment map is unique up to addition of an element which is central in the Lie algebra, and in this case the central elements of $\mathfrak{u}(N+1)$ are constant scalar multiples of the identity which are skew-hermitian, i.e. elements of the form $i \cdot r I_{N+1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we've chosen to add the constant $\frac{i}{2} \frac{\delta_{i j}}{N+1}$ above so the image of the moment map is in $\mathfrak{s u}(N+1)$, i.e. it is trace free.

First note that $\mu_{F S}$ is independent of the non-zero representative chosen for $z$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$; this is because if we chose $\lambda z$ instead then the $\lambda^{2}$ cancel in the numerator and denominator. $\mu_{F S}$ is $S U(N+1)$-equivariant since for $g \in S U(N+1)$ and $z \in \mathbb{P}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mu_{F S}(g \cdot z), a\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{\bar{z}^{T} \bar{g}^{T} a g z}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(a)}{N+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{\bar{z}^{T} g^{-1} a g z}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} a g\right)}{N+1}\right) \\
& =\left\langle\operatorname{Ad}(g)^{*} \mu_{F S}(z), a\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $U(N+1)$ is transitive on $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, it suffices to prove that Equation 20 holds at the point $p=(1: 0: \ldots: 0)$ which corresponds to the origin in the coordinate chart on $U_{0}$, the set of points with nonzero first coordinate. The vector field induced by $a \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)$ takes the value at $p$ in coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ on $U_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} e^{t a} \cdot p & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\left(I+t a+O\left(t^{2}\right)\right) \cdot p \\
& =a \cdot p \\
& =\left(a_{10}, \ldots, a_{n 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Equation 18, at $p$

$$
\omega_{F S}=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d z_{i} \wedge d \bar{z}_{i}
$$

Thus in coordinates on $U_{0}$ (n.b. $\|p\|^{2}=1$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{p}\left\langle\mu_{F S}, a\right\rangle & =d_{p}\left[\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{z_{i} \bar{z}_{j} \cdot a_{j i}}{\|z\|^{2}}-\frac{a_{i i}}{N+1}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i} d_{p}\left(z_{i} \bar{z}_{j} \cdot a_{j i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{i, j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j i}\left[\delta_{i k} \bar{z}_{j} d z_{k}+\delta_{j k} z_{i} d \bar{z}_{k}\right]_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i} \sum_{k} a_{0 k} d z_{k}+a_{k 0} d \bar{z}_{k} \\
& =\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k} \overline{a_{k 0}} d z_{k}-a_{k 0} d \bar{z}_{k} \\
& =\left.\iota_{\sigma(a)} \omega_{F S}\right|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

using that $a$ is skew-hermitian.

### 4.2 Chow polystability is equivalent to balanced

The space of Chow points admits the structure of a Kähler manifold, with Kähler form $\Omega$ as follows. Each $f \in \mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right)$ is a symmetric degree $d_{k}$ polynomial in the Plücker coordinates of $\mathbb{G}\left(N_{k}-n, N_{k}+1\right)$, and parameterizes a polarized variety $(M, L)$. The tangent space $T_{f} \mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right)$ can be identified with $\Gamma\left(M,\left.T \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}\right|_{M}\right)$. In order to see how $\mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right)$ varies infinitesimally at $f$, we can look at the "velocity" of each point on $M$, since $M$ corresponds to the point $f$. That is, a tangent vector at $f$ in the Chow space corresponds to assigning a "direction" everywhere on $M$, i.e. a global section of $\left.T \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}\right|_{M}$, because we know $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}$ ([GKZ94, §4.3]).

Define $\Omega_{f}$ on $u, v \in \Gamma\left(M,\left.T \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}\right|_{M}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{f}(u, v)=\int_{M} \frac{\iota_{v}\left(\iota_{u}\left(\omega_{F S}^{n+1}\right)\right)}{(n+1)!} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota_{u}$ denotes contraction with $u$.

Lemma 31 (Wan04, Proposition 17]). The map

$$
\mu_{\Omega}: \mathcal{C H O W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}\left(N_{k}+1\right)
$$

given by

$$
\operatorname{Chow}\left(M, L^{k}\right) \mapsto \int_{M} \mu_{F S} \frac{\omega_{F S}^{n}}{n!}
$$

is a moment map for $\left(\mathcal{C H O W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right), \Omega\right)$.
We can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}, \xi\right\rangle=\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{s u}\left(N_{k}+1\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds pointwise at each Chow point $f$. The right side is computed by evaluating at some $Y \in T_{f} \mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right)$, which can be identified as an element in $\Gamma\left(M,\left.T \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}\right|_{M}\right)$. The left side of (22) is computed by taking a path $f_{t}$ in the Chow space with $f_{0}=f$ such that its "velocity" at $t=0$ is $Y\left(\right.$ (cf Wan04). So $\mu_{\Omega}$ is the required moment map. In particular, $\mu_{\Omega}$ inherits $G$-equivariance from $\mu_{F S}$ so we can form the symplectic quotient

$$
\mathcal{C H O W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}}\left(n, d_{k}\right) / / S U(N+1)=\mu_{\Omega}^{-1}(0) / S U(N+1)
$$

Definition 32. We say that $\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ can be balanced if there exists a choice of basis for embedding $M$ in $\mathbb{C P}^{N_{k}}$ such that $\operatorname{Chow}\left(M, L^{k}\right)$ is a zero of the moment map $\mu_{\Omega}$.

Sketch proof of Theorem [23. There are several intermediate results in the following which I state without proof, hence I've labelled this as a sketch proof.

Since $L$ is very ample we can assume $k=1$ and drop the $k$ 's. By the Hilbert-Mumford Criterion [MFK94, §2.1], to check polystability of $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$ with respect to the $S U(N+1)$-action we need only check it for all 1-parameter subgroups outside its stabilizer.

In order to define an action of a one-parameter subgroup, we need something which is invariant. Define $M_{\infty}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{i t \xi} . M$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)-\mathfrak{a u t}(M, L)$. Here $\mathfrak{a u t}(M, L)$ is the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$. Then $M_{\infty}$ is invariant under the action of the 1-PS $\left\{e^{t \xi}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$, since $S U(N+1)$ acts by symplectomorphisms and we've made $M_{\infty}$ invariant under $i \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)$ as well.
Definition 33. The $\lambda$-weight $\rho(x)$ of a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on an element $x$ in an invariant one-dimensional space is the exponent of the eigenvalue, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts by

$$
\lambda \cdot x=\lambda^{\rho(x)} x
$$

In general, given a $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action on a space $V$, there are eigenvectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ with eigenspaces of dimension $d_{i}$ with respect to the action such that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts by $\lambda \cdot v_{i}=\lambda^{a_{i}} \cdot v_{i}$. Then the $\lambda$-weight of the action on this space is $\sum_{i} a_{i} d_{i}$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{C}^{*} \curvearrowright V \Longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\text {top }} V$ and we can compute the weight on $\bigwedge^{t o p} V$ as in the one-dimensional case.
Definition 34. The $\xi$-weight of $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$ is defined to be the weight of the action induced by $\xi$ on the one dimensional space $Z:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C H O}}^{\mathbb{P}^{N}(n, d)}(1)$ at the point $\operatorname{Chow}\left(M_{\infty}, L\right)$.
Chow polystability was defined earlier by looking at orbits of $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$. There is an equivalent notion of polystability by MFK94: $M$ is Chow polystable if the $\xi$-weight of $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$ is negative for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)-\mathfrak{a u t}(M, L)$.

We use the following two results:

Proposition 35 (Mum77, Prop 2.11]). Suppose $M$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ via very ample line bundle $L$ and is fixed by a 1-PS $\xi$ of $S L(N+1)$. Let $R_{N}$ be the degree $N$ part of the projective homogenous coordinate ring for $M$. Let $a_{M}$ be the $\xi$-weight of Chow $(M, L)$ and $r_{N}^{M}$ the $\xi$-weight of $R_{N}$. Then for large $N$, $r_{N}^{M}$ is represented by a polynomial in $N$ of degree at most $n+1$, with normalized leading coefficient $a_{M}$, i.e. the leading coefficient is $a_{M} / n$ !.
In the notation of Wan04, the leading coefficient of $r_{N}^{M}$ is denoted $w_{M_{\infty}, 0}(\xi)$.
Theorem 36 ([Wan04, Theorem 26]).

$$
\frac{w_{M_{\infty}, 0}(\xi)}{n+1}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(e^{i t \xi} \cdot \operatorname{Chow}(M, L)\right), \xi\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{s u}}
$$

Thus the $\xi$-weight of $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$ has the same sign as $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(e^{i t \xi} \text {. } \operatorname{Chow}(M, L)\right), \xi\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{s u}}$. Hence Chow polystability of $M$ is equivalent to

$$
-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(e^{i t \xi} \cdot \operatorname{Chow}(M, L)\right), \xi\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{s u}}>0
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{s u}(N+1)-\mathfrak{a u t}(M, L)$.
We then use the following results from DK90, Section 6.5.2], also described in Tho06. Let $G=S U(N+1)$. We can lift the $G$-action on $\mathcal{C H O} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(n, d)$ to one on $Z$, which extends to $G^{\mathbb{C}}$. We have a projection map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{C H O W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(n, d) \\
\pi_{*}: T Z \rightarrow \operatorname{T\mathcal {CHN}}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(n, d)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $T Z$ is the direct sum of the vertical subspace, which is ker $\pi_{*}$, and a horizontal subspace orthogonal to the vertical subspace, with respect to the induced inner product on $Z$. Tangent vectors on the Chow space have a horizontal lift to the horizontal subspace. Let $\widetilde{\sigma(\xi)}$ be the lift to this horizontal subspace, which projects to $\sigma(\xi)$ via $\pi_{*}$.

Recall $\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}, \xi\right\rangle$ is the function corresponding to the vector field $\sigma(\xi)$ on $\mathcal{C H O W}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(n, d)$, i.e. $d\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}, \xi\right\rangle=\iota_{\sigma(\xi)} \Omega$. Then the infinitesimal action of $\xi$ on $Z$ is defined at a point $\gamma$ over $z$ (where $z$ corresponds to the point $\operatorname{Chow}(M, L))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma_{z}(\xi)}+i\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}(z), \xi\right\rangle \gamma \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z$ carries a $G$-invariant hermitian metric induced by $\Omega$ so $\|g \cdot \gamma\|=\|\gamma\|$ for all $g \in G$. So when considering how $\|g \cdot \gamma\|$ changes along a 1-PS, we need only look at $i \xi \in i \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let

$$
H_{\xi}(t)=\log \left\|e^{i t \xi} \cdot \gamma\right\|^{2}
$$

defined by exponentiating the infinitesimal action above. Then (defining $z_{t}:=e^{i t \xi} z$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\xi}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{2\left\langle\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{i t \xi} \cdot \gamma\right), e^{i t \xi} \gamma\right\rangle}{\left\|e^{i t \xi} \cdot \gamma\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2\left\langle i\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(z_{t}\right), i \xi\right\rangle e^{i t \xi} \gamma, e^{i t \xi} \gamma\right\rangle}{\left\|e^{i t \xi} \cdot \gamma\right\|^{2}} \\
& =-2\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(z_{t}\right), \xi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

using Equation 23 and orthogonality of horizontal and vertical subspaces. If $\gamma$ is a point in some $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit $G^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \gamma_{0}$, then it is a critical point of $\log \left\|g \cdot \gamma_{0}\right\|^{2}$ if and only if $\mu_{\Omega}(\pi(\gamma))=0$. Critical points of $H_{\xi}(t)$ are minima; note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\xi}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =-\left.2 d\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}, \xi\right\rangle\right|_{z_{t}}\left(\sigma_{z_{t}}(i \xi)\right) \\
& =-2\left(\iota_{\sigma_{z_{t}}(\xi)} \Omega\right)\left(J \sigma_{z_{t}}(\xi)\right) \\
& =-2 \Omega\left(\sigma_{z_{t}}(\xi), J \sigma_{z_{t}}(\xi)\right) \\
& =2\left\|\sigma_{z_{t}}(\xi)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $H_{\xi}(t)$ is convex and has at most one minimum. This minimum is either attained on $G^{\mathbb{C}} / G$ or at infinity; the former occurs if and only if $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} H_{\xi}^{\prime}(t)>0$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[H_{\xi}^{\prime}(t)=-2\left\langle\mu_{\Omega}\left(z_{t}\right), \xi\right\rangle\right]>0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{g}_{z} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists!\text { minimum of } H_{\xi}(t) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{g}_{z} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists g \in S L(N+1), \mu_{\Omega}(g \cdot z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{z}$ is the Lie algebra of the stabilizer of $z=\operatorname{Chow}(M, L)$, which is $\mathfrak{a u t}(M, L)$. This final expression is the condition that $(M, L)$ can be balanced, i.e. $\mu_{\Omega}(\operatorname{Chow}(g \cdot M, L))=0$.

Thus by Donaldson's theorem, Theorem 21 above, asymptotic Chow stability of $(M, L)$ is an obstruction to the existence of a cscK metric on $c_{1}(L)$ when the stabilizer $\operatorname{Aut}(M, L)$ is discrete.

## 5 K-stability

It has been conjectured by Yau, Tian and Donaldson that another type of stability, K-stability, is equivalent to the existence of $\csc \mathrm{K}$ metrics. I will describe Tian's proof that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric implies weak K-stability, Tia97.

### 5.1 Background

The following background is from Tia00]. K-stability is defined by looking at special degenerations of a Kähler manifold $M$ into normal varieties. Assume $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=n \geq 3$.

Definition 37. A fibration is a map $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ between two topological spaces which satisfies the homotopy lifting property.
Definition 38. A special degeneration of $M$ is a fibration $\pi: W^{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$, such that $\pi^{-1}(s)$ is smooth $\forall s \neq 0, \pi^{-1}(1 / 2)$ is biholomorphic to $M$ and there exists $v_{W} \in \eta(W)$ such that $\pi_{*} v_{W}=-s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$, generating a 1-PS $e^{-s} z$ on $\Delta$. $W$ is trivial if $W=M \times \Delta$.
Tian assumes the central fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and all other fibers are smooth in Tia00, and defines special degenerations for $\pi^{-1}(0)$ a normal variety in Tia97. This more general version of special degeneration is that for which K-stability is defined. Donaldson re-defined K-stability for polarized varieties.

Let $W_{t}$ denote the fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ where $t=e^{-s}$. Since $\pi_{*} v_{W}$ vanishes at $t=0, v_{W}$ restricts to a vector field on $W_{0}$, as it has no component in the $\partial / \partial t$ direction on $W_{0}$. Thus the CalabiFutaki invariant $f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)=f_{W_{0}}\left(\left.v_{W}\right|_{W_{0}}\right)$ makes sense, using a generalized version of the Futaki invariant when $W_{0}$ is normal.

Assume $M$ is a Fano manifold, we've embedded $M \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N}$ by sections of a power of the anticanonical line bundle, and $W \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N} \times \Delta$ so $W_{t} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N} \times\{t\}$. Let $\sigma_{t}$ be the 1-PS arising from $\Re\left(v_{W}\right), t \in \mathbb{C}$, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} \sigma_{t}=\Re\left(v_{W}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, we have a $1-\mathrm{PS}$ subgroup $\eta_{s}$ such that $\frac{d}{d s} \eta_{s}=\Re\left(v_{W}\right)$, and define $\sigma_{t}=\sigma_{e^{-s}}:=\eta_{s}$. We have that $\sigma_{t}$ flows $M$ to $W_{t}$, i.e. $\sigma_{t}(M)=W_{t}$ for $t \neq 0$. And $\sigma_{t}: W_{0} \rightarrow W_{0}$ since $\pi_{*} v_{W}=0$ on $W_{0}$. All $W_{t}$ are biholomorphic to $M$ for $t \neq 0$, but the complex structure may "jump" at $t=0$. For example, when $W_{t}=\{x y=t\}$, this is not smooth when $t=0$ but it is when $t \neq 0$.

Since $\sigma_{t}\left(W_{0}\right)=W_{0}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we can restrict $\sigma_{t}$ to $W_{0}$ and obtain a 1-parameter subgroup $\sigma_{t}$ of diffeomorphisms of $W_{0} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N}$. So we assume $\sigma_{t} \in S L(N+1, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 39. $M$ is weakly K-stable if for every special degeneration $W$ of $M$

$$
\Re\left(f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

with equality if and only if $W$ is trivial. $M$ is K-stable if it is weakly K-stable and $\eta(M)=\{0\}$. The main result is:

Theorem 40 ([Tia97]). If a Fano manifold $M$ admits a KE metric, then $M$ is weakly K-stable.

The proof uses some analytical background. Let $P(M, \omega)$ correspond to the space of Kähler metrics in $[\omega]$,

$$
P(M, \omega)=\left\{\phi \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}) \left\lvert\, \omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi>0\right.\right\}
$$

$M$ is a Fano manifold so $\pi c_{1}(M)=[\omega]$ for some Kähler metric $\omega$. There exists a unique function $h_{\omega}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)-\omega=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{\omega} \\
\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{h_{\omega}} \omega^{n}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose $M$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric $\omega_{\phi}$, where $\omega_{\phi}=\omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$, and assume we've scaled so that $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{\phi}\right)=\omega_{\phi}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi=\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{\phi}\right) & =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det}\left(\omega_{\phi}\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega_{\phi}^{n}}{\omega^{n}}+\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) \\
& =-\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega_{\phi}^{n}}{\omega^{n}}+\omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\phi}^{n}=e^{h_{\omega}-\phi} \omega^{n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

So finding a Kähler-Einstein metric $\omega_{\phi}$ is equivalent to solving (25). We define a functional $F_{\omega}$ on $P(M, \omega)$

$$
F_{\omega}(\phi)=J_{\omega}(\phi)-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \phi \omega^{n}-\log \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{h_{\omega}-\phi} \omega^{n}\right)
$$

where $J_{\omega}(\phi):=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i+1}{n+1} \int_{M} \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega^{i} \wedge \omega_{\phi}^{n-1-i}$ is called the generalized energy.

Definition 41. $F_{\omega}$ is proper on $P(M, \omega)$ if

1. it is bounded from below, meaning there exists $c=c(\omega)>0$ such that $F_{\omega}(\phi) \geq-c$, and
2. there exists an increasing function $\mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[c(\omega), \infty)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t)=\infty$ and

$$
F_{\omega}(\phi) \geq \mu\left(J_{\omega}(\phi)\right)
$$

$$
\forall \phi \in P(M, \omega)
$$

The following theorem gives a way of determining if $M$ is KE, when $M$ has no non-trivial holomorphic vector fields.

Theorem 42 ([Tia00, Theorem 6.7]). Assume $\eta(M)=\{0\}$. Then $M$ is Kähler-Einstein if and only if $F_{\omega}$ is proper on $P(M, \omega)$.

The proof of Theorem 40 makes use of the Sobolev constant, defined as follows.
Definition 43 (Sobolev inequality). Given a Fano manifold $(M, \omega)$, there exists a constant $\sigma_{\omega}$, called the Sobolev constant of $(M, \omega)$, such that $\forall u \in C^{\infty}(M)$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{M}|u|^{\frac{2 n}{n-1}} \omega^{n}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{\sigma_{\omega}}{V}\left(\int_{M} \partial u \wedge \bar{\partial} u \wedge \omega^{n-1}+\int_{M}|u|^{2} \omega^{n}\right)
$$

Definition 44. Define $P(M, \omega, \epsilon)$ to be

$$
P(M, \omega, \epsilon)=\left\{\phi \in P(M, \omega) \mid \sigma_{\omega_{\phi}} \leq 1 / \epsilon\right\}
$$

where $\omega_{\phi}=\omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$.
Remark 45 ([Tia97, Example before Thm 5.2]). For a KE Fano manifold ( $M, \omega_{K E}$ ) embedded into $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ via $K_{M}^{-k}$, the set of $\phi \in P\left(M, \omega_{K E}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sigma^{*} \omega_{F S}=\omega_{K E}+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi, \quad \text { some } \sigma \in S L(N+1, \mathbb{C})
$$

is contained in $P\left(M, \omega_{K E}, \epsilon\right)$ for some $\epsilon$ depending on $k$ and where $\omega_{F S}$ is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$.

Finally, we define K-energy.
Definition 46. Let $\phi \in P(M, \omega)$ and $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be any path from 0 to $\phi$ in $P(M, \omega)$ where $\phi_{0}=0$ and $\phi_{1}=\phi$. Then the K-energy of $\phi$ is

$$
\nu_{\omega}(\phi)=-\frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{M} \dot{\phi}_{t}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)-\omega_{t}\right) \wedge \omega_{t}^{n-1} \wedge d t
$$

where $\omega_{t}=\omega+\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{t}$ and $\dot{\phi}_{t}=\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial t}$.
The K-energy and the functional $F_{\omega}$ are related by ([Tia00, pg 95])

$$
F_{\omega}(\phi)=\nu_{\omega}(\phi)+\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega_{\phi}} \omega_{\phi}^{n}-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega} \omega^{n}
$$

Assuming $h_{\omega_{\phi}}$ has been normalized so its average value over $M$ is 1 (since $\left[\omega_{\phi}\right]=[\omega]=\pi c_{1}(M)$ there is some $h_{\omega_{\phi}}$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{\phi}\right)-\omega_{\phi}=\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{\omega_{\phi}}$ ), we can use the concavity of the logarithmic function to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{h_{\omega_{\phi}} \omega_{\phi}^{n}=1 \Longrightarrow 0} & =\log \left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} e^{h_{\omega_{\phi}} \omega_{\phi}^{n}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \log \left(e^{h_{\omega_{\phi}}}\right) \omega_{\phi}^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega_{\phi}} \omega_{\phi}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $F_{\omega}$ is proper then $\nu_{\omega}$ is too since

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu_{\omega}(\phi) & =F_{\omega}(\phi)-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega_{\phi}} \omega_{\phi}^{n}+\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega} \omega^{n}  \tag{26}\\
& \geq F_{\omega}(\phi)+\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} h_{\omega} \omega^{n} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2 Weak K-stability is an obstruction

Sketch proof of Theorem 40, following Tia97]. In the following assume $t \neq 0$. The FubiniStudy metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ restricts to a Kähler metric on $W_{t}$. Define $\omega_{t}=\left.\frac{1}{k} \omega_{F S}\right|_{W_{t}}$ where $k$ is such that $M$ is embedded into $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ by sections of $K_{M}^{-k}$, a power of the anticanonical line bundle. Since $\sigma_{t}(M)=W_{t}$, this gives a metric $\tilde{\omega}_{t}:=\sigma_{t}^{*}\left(\left.\frac{1}{k} \omega_{F S}\right|_{W_{t}}\right)$ on $M$, which is Kähler as $d$ commutes with pullbacks. Define $h_{t}$ on $W_{t}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)-\omega_{t} & =\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{t} \\
\Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{t}\right)-\tilde{\omega}_{t} & =\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \sigma_{t}^{*} h_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $h_{t}$ are normalized so that their average over $W_{t}$ is 1 .
We've seen that $v_{W}$ restricts to a vector field on $W_{0} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{N}$. Its real part generates a 1-PS of diffeomorphisms $\sigma_{t}$ of $W_{0}$. These $\sigma_{t}$ are matrices in $S L(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ so give rise to a vector field $v$ on all of $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{N}$. Since $\bar{\partial}\left(\iota_{v} \omega_{F S}\right)=0\left(\mathrm{cf}\right.$ the calculation on page 12 ) there is a smooth $\theta_{v}$ on $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that

$$
\bar{\partial} \theta_{v}=\frac{1}{k} \iota_{v}\left(\omega_{F S}\right)
$$

Note that the theorem $\left(F_{\omega}\right.$ is proper on $\left.P(M, \omega)\right)$ if and only if $((M, \omega)$ is KE) requires $\eta(M)=0$. Tian gives inequalities which show that $F_{\omega}$ is bounded below when $M$ is KE, but without the assumption $\eta(M)=0$.

Holomorphic vector fields on a KE manifold $M$ are in one-to-one correspondence with eigenfunctions $\psi$ of the Laplacian of eigenvalue one, i.e. $\Delta \psi=-\psi$. A holomorphic vector field $X$ corresponds to $\psi$ if $g_{K E}(X, Y)=d \psi(Y)$, for any holomorphic vector field $Y$, where $g_{K E}$ denotes the Kähler-Einstein metric. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ denote the space of eigenfunctions of $\Delta$ of eigenvalue one.
Definition 47. We say $\phi \in P\left(M, \omega_{K E}\right)$ is orthogonal to $\Lambda_{1}$ if

$$
\int_{M} \phi \psi \omega_{K E}^{n}=0 \quad \forall \psi \in \Lambda_{1}
$$

Theorem 48 ([Tia97, Theorem 5.2]). Let $\left(M, \omega_{K E}\right)$ be a Kähler-Einstein manifold. Then for any $\phi \in P\left(M, \omega_{K E}, \epsilon\right)$ with $\epsilon>0$ and $\phi \perp \Lambda_{1}$, we have

$$
F_{\omega_{K E}}(\phi) \geq a_{1, \epsilon} J_{\omega_{K E}}(\phi)^{\frac{\beta}{2 n+2+\beta}}-a_{2, \epsilon}
$$

where $a_{1, \epsilon}, a_{2, \epsilon}$ are constants which depend only on $n, \epsilon$ and the lower bound of the difference between the first nonzero eigenvalue of $\Delta_{g_{K E}}$ and 1, i.e. $\lambda_{1, \omega_{K E}}-1$, from zero. $\beta$ is a positive constant depending only on $n$.

Tian shows that it is possible to find suitable automorphisms $\tau_{t}$ of $M$ and $\phi_{t} \perp \Lambda_{1}$ in $P\left(M, \omega_{K E}\right)$, such that

$$
\tau_{t}^{*} \tilde{\omega}_{t}=\omega_{K E}+\partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{t}, \quad \omega_{K E}^{n}=e^{h_{\tau_{t}^{*}} \tilde{\omega}_{t}-\phi_{t}} \tau_{t}^{*} \tilde{\omega}_{t}^{n}
$$

By Remark 45, $\phi_{t} \in P\left(M, \omega_{K E}, \epsilon\right)$, some $\epsilon>0$. So Theorem 48 applies to $F_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right)$.
Next we define a path $\left\{\psi_{s}\right\} \in P\left(M, \omega_{K E}\right), t=e^{-s}$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{t}-\omega_{K E}=\partial \bar{\partial} \psi_{s}, \quad \omega_{K E}^{n}=e^{h_{\tilde{\omega}_{t}-\phi_{t}}} \tilde{\omega}_{t}^{n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The K-energy is invariant under automorphisms of $M$ so $\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right)=\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right)$, ([Tia97]). To determine the rate of change of the K-energy of this path with respect to $s$, we need $\dot{\psi}_{s}=\partial \psi_{s} / \partial s$. Taking the derivative of $(28)$ with respect to $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{t}}{\partial s}=\partial \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{s}}{\partial s}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know $\sigma_{t}$ extends to all of $\mathbb{C P}^{N}$ and $\frac{d}{d s} \sigma_{t}=\Re(v)$ from 24 . Also, by Cartan's formula and page 12 we have $L_{X} \omega=d\left(\iota_{X} \omega\right)=\partial\left(\iota_{X} \omega\right)$ for a Kähler metric $\omega$ and holomorphic vector field $X$. Then using the Chain rule and the definition of $\theta_{v}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \bar{\partial} \dot{\psi}_{s} & =\frac{1}{k} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\sigma_{t}^{*} \omega_{F S}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} L_{\Re(v)}\left(\sigma_{t}^{*} \omega_{F S}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} d\left(\iota_{\Re(v)}\left(\sigma_{t}^{*} \omega_{F S}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \partial\left(\iota_{\Re(v)}\left(\sigma_{t}^{*} \omega_{F S}\right)\right) \\
& =\partial \bar{\partial} \Re\left(\sigma_{t}^{*} \theta_{v}\right) \\
\Longrightarrow \dot{\psi}_{s} & =\sigma_{t}^{*} \Re\left(\theta_{v}\right)+c
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c$. Then if $t(u)=e^{-u}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right) & =-\frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{M} \dot{\psi}_{u}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{t(u)}\right)-\tilde{\omega}_{t(u)}\right) \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{t(u)}^{n-1} \wedge d u \\
\therefore \frac{d}{d s} \nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right) & =-\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \dot{s}_{s}\left(\operatorname{Ric}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{t(s)}\right)-\tilde{\omega}_{t(s)}\right) \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{t(s)}^{n-1} \\
& =-\frac{i}{2 V} \int_{W_{t}} \Re\left(\theta_{v}\right) \partial \bar{\partial} h_{t} \wedge \omega_{t}^{n-1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{V} \int_{W_{t}} \Re\left(\theta_{v}\right) \Delta_{t} h_{t} \omega_{t}^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{V} \Re\left[-\int_{W_{t}} g_{t}^{i \bar{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\left(\theta_{v} \frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial z_{i}}\right) \omega_{t}^{n}+\int_{W_{t}} g_{t}{ }^{i \bar{j}} \frac{\partial \theta_{v}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial z_{i}} \omega_{t}^{n}\right] \\
& =\Re\left[\frac{1}{V} \int_{W_{t}}\left(\nabla_{t} \theta_{v}\right) h_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla_{t}$ denotes the $(1,0)$ gradient with respect to the metric $\omega_{t}, \nabla_{t} \theta_{v}=g_{t}{ }^{i} \frac{\partial \theta_{v}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$. The third line follows from the second by a change of variables from $M$ to $W_{t}$ and using $\operatorname{Ric}\left(\omega_{t}\right)-\omega_{t}=$ $\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} h_{t}$. The term with $c$ in $\dot{\psi}_{s}$ vanishes by Stokes' theorem. The penultimate line follows by the chain rule, and the divergence theorem implies the first term in this line vanishes.

In TD92 Ding and Tian consider deformations of $M$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, converging to some $W_{\infty}$. This is analogous to the case here, but we're using the parameter $t$ on $W_{t}$, where $t=e^{-s}$, instead of $W_{s}$. We can apply a result from that paper because $s \rightarrow+\infty$ implies $W_{t}$ converges to $W_{0}$. The corresponding result is

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{V} \int_{W_{t}}\left(\nabla_{t} \theta_{v}\right) h_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}=f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d s} \nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right) & =\Re\left(\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{1}{V} \int_{W_{t}}\left(\nabla_{t} \theta_{v}\right) h_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}\right]\right) \\
& =\Re\left(f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

For if $\frac{d}{d s} \nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right)<0$ for all sufficiently large $s$, then $\nu_{\omega_{K E}}$ becomes arbitrarily negative, contradicting that $\nu_{\omega_{K E}}$ is non-negative when $M$ admits a KE metric (Tia00, Theorem 7.13]).

Showing that equality occurs if and only if $W$ is trivial uses the following two results proved by Tian.
Theorem 49 ([Tia97, Lemma 6.1]). Assume $W$ is non-trivial. Then $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{C^{0}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
Further, the generalized energy $J_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ dominates $\left\|\phi_{t}\right\|_{C^{0}}($ Tia97) $)$ so that $J_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 49. Since $M$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, $F_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 48 and so $\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ from Equation 27.
Proposition 50 ([Tia97, Prop 6.2]). There are positive numbers $C, \gamma$ which may depend on $W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{V} \int_{W_{t}}\left(\nabla_{t} \theta_{v}\right) h_{t} \omega_{t}^{n}-f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)\right| \leq C|t|^{\gamma} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $t$.

In particular if $f_{W_{0}}\left(v_{W}\right)=0$ then

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d s} \nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right)\right| \leq C e^{-s \gamma}
$$

thus $\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\phi_{t}\right)=\nu_{\omega_{K E}}\left(\psi_{s}\right)$ is bounded as $s \rightarrow+\infty$. So $W$ must be trivial by Theorem 49.
Conversely if $W$ is trivial, then $W_{0}$ is biholomorphic to $M$ which is KE, so $W_{0}$ admits a KE metric and the Calabi-Futaki invariant $f_{W_{0}}$ must vanish.

So if one can find a non-trivial special degeneration $\pi: W \rightarrow \Delta$ of a Fano manifold $M$ with $f_{W_{0}} \equiv 0$, then $M$ cannot admit a KE metric.

## 6 Conclusion

The Kähler-Einstein problem is solved for $c_{1}(M) \leq 0$ and Fano surfaces. In the general Fano manifold case there are conditions necessary for the existence of KE metrics. As mentioned above these include the Calabi-Futaki invariant, asymptotic Chow stability and weak K-stability. K-stability appears the closest to providing a sufficient condition for the existence of $\operatorname{cscK}$ metrics. Donaldson has proved this converse direction in certain cases (with a re-defined notion of K-stability for polarized manifolds) and has nearly solved it in general (as mentioned in discussions with my essay advisor). These metrics give an additional structure to complex manifolds, and the results previously mentioned help establish which manifolds are sufficiently "nice" that they admit KE metrics, or more generally cscK metrics.
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