

## PSS examples

### 1 Introduction

This note gives a short explanation of what the program PSS calculates as well as a few examples to show how to use it.

To any Gram matrix  $S$  (a symmetric integer matrix whose diagonal consists of even integers), we are interested in modular forms for the dual Weil representation  $\rho^*$  of the lattice  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$  with quadratic form  $q(v) = \frac{1}{2}v^T S v$ . These modular forms are the obstructions to constructing input functions for the Borcherds lift. If  $(b^+, b^-)$  is the signature of  $S$ , then nonzero modular forms exist only in weights  $k$  for which  $2k + b^+ - b^-$  is an even integer; and for many applications, only those  $k$  for which  $2k + b^+ - b^- \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  are interesting. PSS only works when  $2k + b^+ - b^- \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . (For example, classical (scalar-valued) modular forms come from unimodular lattices, which necessarily have  $b^+ - b^- \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , so PSS cannot be used to try to construct classical modular forms of odd weight.)

For large enough weight ( $k > 2$ ), the basic modular forms one can construct are the Poincaré series

$$P_{k,m,\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c,d} \left( \mathbf{e}(m\tau) \mathbf{e}_\beta \right) \Big|_{k,\rho^*} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta \in \Lambda'/\Lambda, \quad m \in -q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{e}(m\tau) = e^{2\pi i m \tau}$ , and  $(c, d)$  runs through all pairs of coprime integers. For  $m = 0$  (and  $q(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), we get the Eisenstein series

$$E_{k,\beta} = P_{k,0,\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c,d} \mathbf{e}_\beta \Big|_{k,\rho^*} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

These series were studied by Bruinier and Kuss who found a computable formula for their Fourier coefficients, which are always rational.

The coefficients of the Poincaré series  $P_{k,m,\beta}$ ,  $m > 0$  are by nature much more complicated than those of Eisenstein series. Instead, PSS calculates the coefficients of the series

$$Q_{k,m,\beta} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{k,\lambda^2 m, \lambda \beta},$$

which turn out to be rational numbers that can be evaluated exactly. The space spanned by  $Q_{k,m,\beta}$  as  $\beta$  runs through  $\Lambda'/\Lambda$  and  $m$  runs through  $(-q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  always contains all cusp forms.

### 2 The functions

Fix a Gram matrix  $S$  with signature  $(b^+, b^-)$  and a weight  $k$  with  $2k + b^+ - b^- \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

The function

`DimensionFormula(k,S)`

computes the dimension of  $M_k(\rho^*)$  (all modular forms) and  $S_k(\rho^*)$  (cusp forms) and outputs these as a list  $[\dim M_k(\rho^*), \dim S_k(\rho^*)]$ .

The function

`DiscriminantGroup(S)`

outputs a list of vectors that form a system of representatives of  $\Lambda'/\Lambda$ . The function

```
ReducedDiscriminantGroup(S)
```

is similar but only outputs one representative from each pair  $\pm\gamma$ . `ReducedDiscriminantGroup` is usually more useful because  $Q_{k,m,\beta} = Q_{k,m,-\beta}$  and because the coefficient  $c(n, \gamma)$  of  $q^n \mathbf{e}_\gamma$  in any modular form equals the coefficient  $c(n, -\gamma)$  of  $q^n \mathbf{e}_{-\gamma}$ .

The function

```
EisensteinCoefficient(g,n,k,S)
```

returns the coefficient  $c(n, g)$  of  $q^n \mathbf{e}_g$  in the Eisenstein series  $E_k = E_{k,0}$ . We use the formula of Bruinier and Kuss.

The function

```
PSS(g,b,m,n,k,S)
```

returns the coefficient  $c(n, g)$  of  $q^n \mathbf{e}_g$  in the Poincaré square series  $Q_{k,m,b}$ .

The function

```
CuspSpan(k,S)
```

returns a list of lists  $[m, \beta]$  such that the differences  $E_k - Q_{k,m,\beta}$  are a basis of  $S_k(\rho^*)$ . Depending on the lattice, this can take a long time.

If  $S$  is a negative definite matrix, then the function

```
BorcherdsPrincipalPart(k,S)
```

returns a list of principal parts of all input functions that produce Borcherds products of weight  $k$  for the lattice  $\Lambda \oplus II_{1,1} \oplus II_{1,1}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the lattice attached to  $S$ . (The restriction to lattices that contain two unimodular hyperbolic planes could probably be removed; if there is interest then I will look into this further.) The principal parts in this list can be entered into the functions

```
WeylVector(inputs,S)
```

and

```
InputFunction(inputs,prec,S)
```

to calculate the Weyl vector and exponents of the Borcherds lift of that input function.

### 3 Example 1: Unimodular lattices

When  $S$  is any unimodular lattice, this algorithm produces classical modular forms of level 1. The algorithm is faster for lattices of small dimension. The fastest input is the empty matrix  $S$ .

```
S = matrix([])
g = vector([])
b = vector([])
k = 8
for n in range(10):
    print [n,EisensteinCoefficient(g,n,k,S), PSS(g,b,1,n,k,S)]
```

The output is

```
[0, 1, 1]
[1, 480, 480]
[2, 61920, 61920]
[3, 1050240, 1050240]
[4, 7926240, 7926240]
[5, 37500480, 37500480]
[6, 135480960, 135480960]
[7, 395301120, 395301120]
[8, 1014559200, 1014559200]
[9, 2296875360, 2296875360]
```

Of course, these coefficients must be the same because the space  $M_8$  is one-dimensional. We can double-check that with the command

```
DimensionFormula(8,S)
```

which produces

```
[1,0]
```

The first weight where the dimension is greater than 1 is  $k = 12$ .

```
S = matrix([])
g = vector([])
b = vector([])
k = 12
for n in range(10):
    print [n,EisensteinCoefficient(g,n,k,S), PSS(g,b,1,n,k,S)]
```

produces the output

```
[0, 1, 1]
[1, 65520/691, 7806960/77683]
[2, 134250480/691, 15082003440/77683]
[3, 11606736960/691, 1304953634880/77683]
[4, 274945048560/691, 30908983376880/77683]
[5, 3199218815520/691, 359661921161760/77683]
[6, 23782204031040/691, 2673619554553920/77683]
[7, 129554448266880/691, 14564649928832640/77683]
[8, 563087459516400/691, 63302965077769200/77683]
[9, 2056098632318640/691, 231148879035948720/77683]
```

So  $E_{12} = 1 + \frac{65520}{691}q + \frac{134250480}{691}q^2 + \dots$  and

$$Q_{12,1,0} = 1 + \frac{7806960}{77683}q + \frac{15082003440}{77683}q^2 + \dots = E_{12} + \frac{304819200}{53678953}\Delta.$$

(The denominators 691 and 77683 come from the numerators of zeta values  $\zeta(-11)$  resp.  $\zeta(-21)$ . Letting  $m$  grow will usually lead to larger denominators.)

```
S = matrix([])
k = 12
CuspSpan(k,S)
```

produces the output

```
[[1, ()]]
```

which implies that  $E_{12} - Q_{12,1,0}$  spans the cusp space  $S_{12}$ .

## 4 Example 2: The Kohnen plus space

Modular forms of level  $4N$  with  $N$  squarefree and weight  $k = 2n - 1/2$  that satisfy Kohnen's plus space condition are equivalent to vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  for the Gram matrix  $S = (2N)$ .

For  $k = 7/2$  and  $S = (2)$ , the input

```
S = matrix([2])
b = vector([0])
k = 7/2
for g in ReducedDiscriminantGroup(S):
    offset = frac(g*S*g/2)
    for n in range(10):
        N = n - offset
        print [N,EisensteinCoefficient(g,N,k,S),PSS(g,b,1,N,k,S)]
```

produces

```
[0, 1, 1]
[1, 126, 126]
[2, 756, 756]
[3, 2072, 2072]
[4, 4158, 4158]
[5, 7560, 7560]
[6, 11592, 11592]
[7, 16704, 16704]
[8, 24948, 24948]
[9, 31878, 31878]
[-1/4, 0, 0]
[3/4, 56, 56]
[7/4, 576, 576]
[11/4, 1512, 1512]
[15/4, 4032, 4032]
[19/4, 5544, 5544]
[23/4, 12096, 12096]
[27/4, 13664, 13664]
[31/4, 24192, 24192]
[35/4, 27216, 27216]
```

so

$$E_{7/2} = Q_{7/2,1,0} = \left(1 + 126q + 756q^2 + \dots\right)\mathbf{e}_0 + \left(56q^{3/4} + 576q^{7/4} + \dots\right)\mathbf{e}_{1/2}.$$

This corresponds to the Cohen Eisenstein series

$$H(\tau) = 1 + 56q^3 + 126q^4 + 576q^7 + 756q^8 + \dots$$

of weight  $7/2$  and level  $4$ , which spans the Kohnen plus space. These coefficients also occur in the Jacobi Eisenstein series  $E_{4,1}$ . For modular forms of weight  $2n + 1/2$  you can instead use the Gram matrix  $(-2N)$ .

## 5 Example 3: $Q_{k,m,\beta}$ at nonzero $\beta$

Let  $S$  be the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} -4 & -2 \\ -2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$ . For weight  $k = 3$ , the dimensions of  $M_k(\rho^*)$  and  $S_k(\rho^*)$  are  $3$  and  $2$ , respectively, as we see by computing

```
S = matrix([[ -4, -2], [-2, -4]])
k = 3
DimensionFormula(k,S)
```

However, when we calculate

```
S = matrix([[ -4, -2], [-2, -4]])
b = vector([0,0])
k = 3
for g in ReducedDiscriminantGroup(S):
    offset = frac(g*S*g/2)
    for n in range(5):
        N = n - offset
        print [g,N,EisensteinCoefficient(g,N,k,S),PSS(g,b,1,N,k,S),PSS(g,b,2,N,k,S)]
```

we do not see any cusp forms: in fact,  $Q_{3,m,0} = E_3$  for all  $m$ . The reason for this is that the entire cusp space is supported on components other than  $\mathfrak{e}_0$ . To find a basis of cusp forms, we use

```
CuspSpan(3,S)
```

which outputs

```
[[1/6, (2/3, 1/6)], [1/2, (1/2, 0)]]
```

In other words,  $E_3 - Q_{3,1/6,(2/3,1/6)}$  and  $E_3 - Q_{3,1/2,(1/2,0)}$  is a basis of  $S_3(\rho^*)$ . Entering

```
S = matrix([[ -4, -2], [-2, -4]])
k = 3
for g in ReducedDiscriminantGroup(S):
    offset = frac(g*S*g/2)
    for n in range(5):
        N = n - offset
        E = EisensteinCoefficient(g,N,k,S)
        print [g,N,E-PSS(g,vector([2/3,1/6]),1/6,N,k,S),E - PSS(g,vector([1/2,0]),1/2,N,k,S)]
```

will list the first few of their Fourier coefficients.

## 6 Example 4: Small weights

In weight 1 this method does not produce cusp forms; however, the corrected Eisenstein series  $E_1^*(\tau, 0)$  always defines a modular form (which does not necessarily have constant term  $\mathfrak{e}_0$ ). `EisensteinCoefficient(g,n,1,S)` now produces the corrected Eisenstein series. (Previously it did not correct the constant term.) For example, the theta series of  $x^2 + y^2$  is half of the output from the following.

```
S = matrix([[ -2, 0], [0, -2]])
g = vector([0,0])
for n in range(10):
    print [n,EisensteinCoefficient(g,n,1,S)]
```

However the function `PSS` will raise an error in weight 1.

In weight  $3/2$  the formula for the Eisenstein series usually does not produce a modular form. However, the function `PSS` will always produce a modular form (which may be identically 0). The functions  $Q_{3/2,m,\beta}$  are sometimes enough to span all modular forms but I do not think they are enough in general. The Gram matrix  $S = (74)$  is probably a counterexample.

```

S = matrix([[ -2, -1, 0], [-1, -4, -1], [0, -1, -2]])
g = vector([0, 0, 0])
b = vector([5/6, 1/3, 5/6])
for n in range(10):
    print [n, EisensteinCoefficient(g, n, 3/2, S), PSS(g, b, 1/6, n, 3/2, S)]

```

In weight 2, the Eisenstein series and PSS both produce modular forms whenever the determinant of  $S$  is not square. When  $\det(S)$  is square, they may be quasimodular forms (but their difference is always a cusp form). For nonsquare discriminants this program uses computations based on Pell-type equations that can be very slow for large  $\det(S)$  and large denominators of  $g$  and  $b$ .

For example, the space  $S_2(\rho^*)$  for the lattice with Gram matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 9 \\ 9 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  is two-dimensional, spanned by  $E_2 - Q_{2,1,0}$  and  $E_2 - Q_{2,2/65,(61/65,9/65)}$ . Computing  $Q_{2,2/65,(61/65,9/65)}$  is much slower than computing  $Q_{2,1,0}$ .

```

S = matrix([[4, 9], [9, 4]])
for g in ReducedDiscriminantGroup(S):
    offset = frac(g*S*g/2)
    for n in range(10):
        print [g, n-offset, EisensteinCoefficient(g, n-offset, 2, S) - PSS(g, vector([0, 0]), 1, n-offset, 2, S),
            EisensteinCoefficient(g, n-offset, 2, S) - PSS(g, vector([61/65, 9/65]), 2/65, n-offset, 2, S)]

```

## 7 Example 5: Borchers products

In this section  $S$  must be a negative-definite matrix. The function `BorchersPrincipalPart(k,S)` finds the principal parts of all input functions that lead to holomorphic Borchers products of weight  $k$  for the lattice given by appending two hyperbolic planes to  $S$ . From these principal parts one can read off the divisors of these automorphic products.

**Example.** Axel Marschner's thesis ([http://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/59634/files/05\\_064.pdf](http://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/59634/files/05_064.pdf), in particular page 90) works out some Borchers products of small weights for paramodular forms of level 5 (which are equivalent to certain orthogonal modular forms of type  $O(2, 3)$ ). These arise from input functions which are modular forms for the Weil representation attached to the Gram matrix  $S = (-10)$ . We can verify his computation with the command

```

S = matrix([[ -10]])
BorchersPrincipalPart(k,S)

```

for various values of  $k$ .

This command does not make any use of parallelization and uses a slower algorithm for lattice point enumeration than for example `LattE`. Its runtime increases quickly with the determinant of  $S$ . For large lattices it is better to do things differently.

The Weyl vectors can be calculated with the function `WeylVector`. For the lattice  $(-2t) \oplus II_{1,1} \oplus II_{1,1}$  this produces a vector  $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ . The corresponding Weyl vector in the picture of paramodular forms of level  $t$  will be  $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1 & t\rho_2 \\ t\rho_2 & t\rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$ . For example to compute the Weyl vector for the paramodular Borchers product of weight 4 and level 5 we use

```

S = matrix([[ -10]])
inputs = BorchersPrincipalPart(4,S)[0]
WeylVector(inputs,S)

```

to find the result  $(1/2, 3/20, 1/2)$ ; so the Weyl vector for the paramodular product is  $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 3/4 \\ 3/4 & 5/2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Finally the function `InputFunction` computes the input function  $F$  with given principal part up to specified precision, by identifying  $F \cdot \Delta^m$  as a cusp form for large enough  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . (This may take a while.) **Example:** `BorcherdsPrincipalPart(10,S)` finds that there are two holomorphic products of weight 10 for the lattice above. The first one is the square of the weight 5 product. We compute the input function of the second one up to  $q^5$ :

```
S = matrix([[ -10]])
inputs = BorcherdsPrincipalPart(10,S)[1]
for L in InputFunction(inputs,5,S):
    print L
```

The result is

```
[(0), 0, 20 + 1200*q + 20720*q^2 + 204160*q^3 + 1481200*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
[(9/10), -1/20, 1 - 320*q - 5633*q^2 - 56768*q^3 - 416767*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
[(4/5), -1/5, -492*q - 10044*q^2 - 107616*q^3 - 821648*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
[(7/10), -9/20, 1 + 192*q + 5118*q^2 + 61824*q^3 + 506372*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
[(3/5), -4/5, 20*q + 688*q^2 + 10480*q^3 + 95636*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
[(1/2), -1/4, -510*q - 10880*q^2 - 119300*q^3 - 922880*q^4 + 0(q^5)]
```

The list  $[\gamma, \nu, f]$  in the above output implies that the components of  $\mathfrak{e}_\gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{e}_{-\gamma}$  in  $F$  are both  $q^\nu f(\tau)$ . Compare this output to the computation on page 92 of Marschner's thesis.