

## Lecture 19: Laurent expansions

Complex analysis, lecture 4

October 29, 2025

The basic idea of Taylor expansions is to write a given analytic function as a power series, i.e. a linear combinations of  $z^n$  for nonnegative  $n$ . If we instead allowed  $n$  to be any integer, positive or negative, then what we would get is called a Laurent series expansion. Our main goal for today is to introduce this notion, and see how we can compute it.

When working with power series, the main geometric object is a disk centered at our point of interest  $z_0$ , with some radius (possibly 0 or  $+\infty$ ). For Laurent series, the main object will be an *annulus*, which can be thought of as a ring, or a disk with both an inner and outer radius: if we fix  $0 \leq r < R \leq +\infty$ , the corresponding annulus centered at  $z_0$  is the region  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r < |z - z_0| < R\}$ . In the special case where  $r = 0$ , this is  $\{0 < |z - z_0| < R\} = \{|z - z_0| < R\} \setminus \{z_0\}$ , and is sometimes called the punctured disk of radius  $R$  around  $z_0$ .

Note that unlike for disks, any annulus centered at  $z_0$  does not contain the point  $z_0$ . This is important: often our functions of interest will not be analytic at  $z_0$ .

Fixing  $0 \leq r < R \leq +\infty$  and an annulus  $D$  of radii  $r, R$  centered at  $z_0$ , suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function. Let  $D_0 = \{z : |z - z_0| < R\}$  and  $D_1 = \{z : |z - z_0| > r\}$ , so that  $D = D_0 \cap D_1$ .

**Proposition.** *With notation as above, there exist unique analytic functions  $f_0 : D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $f_1$  analytic at infinity with  $f_1(\infty) = 0$  such that for  $z \in D$ ,*

$$f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z).$$

The condition that  $f_1(\infty) = 0$  isn't strictly necessary, one could also find a decomposition with a different value of  $f_1(\infty)$ ; but imposing this condition makes the decomposition unique. (Otherwise, we could just subtract a constant from  $f_0$  and add it to  $f_1$  to get a different decomposition.)

Note that if  $f$  were analytic on all of  $D_0$ , not just on  $D$ , then we could simply take  $f_0 = f$  and  $f_1 = 0$ . Similarly, if  $f$  were analytic on  $D_1$  and at  $\infty$ , we could take  $f_1 = f - f(\infty)$  and  $f_0 = f(\infty)$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that if such functions  $f_0, f_1$  exist, then they are unique. Suppose that there was another pair  $g_0 : D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g_1 : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of analytic functions with  $g_1$  analytic at infinity and  $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z) = g_0(z) + g_1(z)$  for  $z \in D$ .

Define a function  $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $h(z) = f_0(z) - g_0(z)$  for  $z \in D_0$  and  $h(z) = g_1(z) - f_1(z)$  for  $z \in D_1$ ; note that by the above equation, if  $z \in D = D_0 \cap D_1$  then the two definitions agree, so this is well-defined. Since the  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  are analytic where they are defined,  $h$  is analytic everywhere. As  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are analytic at infinity with value 0,  $h(\infty) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = 0$ , so  $h$  is bounded, hence by Liouville's theorem  $h$  is constant, and since  $h(\infty) = 0$  we must have  $h(z) = 0$  for all  $z$ . Hence  $f_0(z) = g_0(z)$  and  $g_1(z) = f_1(z)$ , i.e.  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are unique.

Now we show that such  $f_i$  actually exist. Choose some  $r < r' < R' < R$ . For  $r' < |z - z_0| < R'$ , we can take the sub-annulus of radii  $r'$ ,  $R'$  centered at  $z_0$ , which still contains  $z$ , and its boundary is the union of the circles of radii  $r'$  and  $R'$ , on which  $f$  is still analytic. (We need to choose  $r'$  and  $R'$  rather than just using  $r$  and  $R$  to make sure that  $f$  extends to the boundary.) Therefore by Cauchy's formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=r'} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw.$$

Let

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw, \quad f_1(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=r'} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw.$$

Then for  $r' < |z - z_0| < R'$  we have  $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z)$ ;  $f_0$  is analytic on  $|z - z_0| < R'$ , and  $f_1$  is analytic on  $|z - z_0| > r'$  with the path viewed as the boundary of  $\{z : |z - z_0| > r'\}$ , and is analytic at infinity with value 0 since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f_1(z) = 0$ . (One could also make a more formal argument by evaluating on  $1/z$  and taking a limit.) We are still using  $r'$  and  $R'$  instead of  $r$  and  $R$ , but could conclude by taking the limit as  $r' \rightarrow r$  and  $R' \rightarrow R$ , or by using the uniqueness part above to observe that the result is independent of  $r'$  and  $R'$ .  $\square$

Before proceeding let's work out an example, which demonstrates the importance of fixing the annulus. Consider  $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)}$  and  $z_0 = 0$ . There are at least three different annuli we could consider on which  $f$  is analytic:  $0 < |z| < 1$ ;  $1 < |z| < 2$ ; and  $2 < |z|$ .

On the first annulus, which is a punctured disk, note that  $f$  actually extends to an analytic function on  $|z| < 1$ , so we can take  $f_0(z) = f(z)$  and  $f_1(z) = 0$ .

Similarly on the third annulus,  $f$  is analytic at infinity with value  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0$ , so we can just take  $f_0(z) = 0$  and  $f_1(z) = f$ .

The most interesting case is the second annulus, where we cannot extend to  $|z| < 2$  since we have a pole at  $z = 1$ , nor to  $|z| > 1$  since we have a pole at 2. Instead, we can use the partial fraction decomposition:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)} = \frac{A}{z-1} + \frac{B}{z-2} = \frac{A(z-2) + B(z-1)}{(z-1)(z-2)} = \frac{(A+B)z - (2A+B)}{(z-1)(z-2)}$$

implies  $B = -A$  and so  $A = -1$ , so

$$f(z) = -\frac{1}{z-1} + \frac{1}{z-2}.$$

We note that  $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z-2}$  is analytic on  $|z| < 2$  and  $f_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z-1}$  is analytic on  $|z| > 1$ , and is analytic at infinity with value 0, so  $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z)$  has the desired properties.

In particular, note that the decomposition looks different depending which annulus we take.

Suppose  $f$  is analytic on our annulus, so by our proposition we can write  $f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z)$  with  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$  satisfying the properties above. Since  $f_0$  is analytic on  $|z| < R$ , we can

write its Taylor series

$$f_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Since  $f_1$  is analytic at infinity, with  $f(\infty) = 0$ , we can write its Taylor series at infinity as

$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} b_{-n} z^n.$$

Putting these together, if we write  $a_{-n} = b_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , we get

$$f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

for  $z \in D$ , i.e. an expansion of  $f$  as a linear combination of  $z^n$  over all integers  $n$ , as we claimed we'd find. This is the Laurent expansion of  $f$  on  $D$  with respect to  $z_0$ .

We'd like to have a formula for the coefficients  $a_n$  in terms of  $f$ . For the Taylor series expansion—equivalently, the Laurent series in the special case where all  $a_n = 0$  for  $n < 0$ —we had

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz$$

for some  $R' < R$ . The first formula doesn't make sense when  $n$  is negative, but the second formula actually still does, provided  $r < R' < R$ , and the same proof applies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m z^{m-n-1} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m \int_{|z-z_0|=R'} z^{m-n-1} dz, \end{aligned}$$

and the inner integral is 0 unless  $m - n - 1 = -1$ , i.e.  $m = n$ , in which case it is  $2\pi i$ . Hence the whole sum is just  $a_n$  as desired, whether  $n$  is positive or negative.

In particular, since the coefficients are determined by the values of the function, the Laurent series expansion on a given annulus around a central point is unique (this would also follow from the uniqueness arguments above).

Let's return to our previous example of  $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)}$  on the most interesting annulus  $1 < |z| < 2$ . Writing

$$f(z) = f_0(z) + f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1},$$

we have

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z-2} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} z^n$$

around  $z = 0$ , and

$$f_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z-1} = -\frac{1/z}{1-1/z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -z^{-n},$$

so

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

where  $a_n = -\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  if  $n \geq 0$  and  $n = -1$  if  $n < 0$ .

We could also expand  $f$  about one of the poles. Consider for example  $z_0 = 1$ . Writing

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)} = \frac{1}{z-1} \cdot \frac{1}{(z-1)-1},$$

by the geometric series this is

$$-\frac{1}{z-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z-1)^n = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} -(z-1)^n$$

for  $0 < |z-1| < 1$ .