

# ① Deformations of complex structures (continued)

Recall:  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  complex manifold

- $\mathcal{J}'$  near  $\mathcal{J}$  can be described by  $s \in \Omega^{0,1}(X, TX^{1,0})$   
namely  $\Omega_{\mathcal{J}'}^{1,0} = \text{graph}(-s)$ ,  $s: \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}^{1,0} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{J}}^{0,1}$   
 $T_{\mathcal{J}'}^{0,1} = \text{graph}(s)$ ,  $s: T_{\mathcal{J}}^{0,1} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{J}}^{1,0}$
- Integrability of  $\mathcal{J}' \iff \bar{\partial}s + \frac{1}{2}[s, s] = 0$
- Action of  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(X)$ :  $\phi^*\mathcal{J}$  is described by  $s = -(\partial\phi)^{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi$   
(near id)
- Linearization (first-order deformations):  $\bar{\partial}s_1 = 0 \pmod{\text{Im } \bar{\partial}}$   
ie.  $\frac{\ker(\bar{\partial}: \Omega^{0,1}(X, TX^{1,0}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2})}{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial}: C^\infty(X, TX^{1,0}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1})} = H_{\bar{\partial}}^1(X, TX^{1,0})$
- Another way to think about this:  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  complex mfd =  $(\sqcup U_i) / \phi_{ij}$   
 $U_i$  complex charts,  $\phi_{ij}: U_{ij} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{ji}$  biholomorphisms,  $\phi_{ji} = \phi_{ij}^{-1}$ ,  $\phi_{ij}\phi_{jk} = \phi_{ik}$   
Then, deforming  $(X, \mathcal{J}) \iff$  deform gluing maps  $\phi_{ij}$  among holom. maps  
to 1<sup>st</sup> order, this is given by holom. vector fields  $v_{ij}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$   
& should satisfy  $v_{ji} = -v_{ij}$ ,  $v_{ij} + v_{jk} = v_{ik}$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Čech 1-cocycle with values in sheaf of holom. tangent vector fields  
Mod out by:  $\psi_i: U_i \xrightarrow{\sim} U_i$  diffeos, change  $\phi_{ij} \rightsquigarrow \psi_j \phi_{ij} \psi_i^{-1}$   
to 1<sup>st</sup> order,  $v_i$  holom. vector fields on  $U_i$ , affect gluings by  
 $v_{ij} = v_i - v_j$  ie. Čech coboundary  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  get again  $H^1(X, TX)$

---

• Obstruction: given a first-order deform<sup>n</sup>  $s_1$ , can we find an actual deform<sup>n</sup>  $s(t) = s_1 t + O(t^2)$  (or a formal deform<sup>n</sup>  $\sum_{n \geq 1} s_n t^n$ )?

②

Working order by order to solve  $\bar{\partial}s(t) + \frac{1}{2}[s(t), s(t)] = 0$  :

$$\bar{\partial}s_1 = 0$$

$$\bar{\partial}s_2 + \frac{1}{2}[s_1, s_1] = 0$$

$$\bar{\partial}s_3 + [s_1, s_2] = 0$$

.....

$\Rightarrow$  need:  $[s_1, s_1] \in \text{Im } \bar{\partial} \subseteq \Omega^{0,2}(X, TX^{1,0})$ ?

know:  $[s_1, s_1] \in \ker \bar{\partial}$  (since  $\bar{\partial}s_1 = 0$ ).

$\rightarrow$  primary obstruction: class of  $[s_1, s_1]$  in  $H^2(X, TX^{1,0})$ .

If vanishes then  $\exists s_2$  st.  $\bar{\partial}s_2 + \frac{1}{2}[s_1, s_1] = 0$ .

Next obstruction: class of  $[s_1, s_2]$  in  $H^2(X, TX^{1,0})$

If vanishes then  $\exists s_3 \dots$  and so on.

- If it happens that  $H^2(X, TX) = 0$  then deformations are unobstructed ie. given  $s_1$  lifts to all orders! ( $\rightarrow \exists$  actual deformation).  
For Calabi-Yaus, in general  $H^2(X, TX) \neq 0$ , but remarkably:

Thm (Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov)

$\parallel$   $X$  compact Calabi-Yau with  $H^0(X, TX) = 0 \Rightarrow$  deformations of  $X$  are unobstructed, ie., if  $\text{Aut}(X, J) = \mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cx}}(X)$  is locally smooth w/ tangent space  $\simeq H^1(X, TX)$ .

For CY m-folds,  $TX \simeq \Omega_X^{n-1} \vee \rightarrow \vee \Omega$  so  $H^0(X, TX) = H^{n-1,0} \stackrel{\text{see below}}{\simeq} H^{0,1} \leftarrow \text{assume } 0$   
 $H^1(X, TX) \simeq H^{n-1,1} \leftarrow \text{deform}^?$   
 $H^2(X, TX) = H^{n-1,2} \leftarrow \text{obstructions}$

★ Recall: Hodge Theory on compact Kähler manifolds:

• Kähler form := symplectic form compatible with  $\text{cx}$ -structure

ie.  $\omega$  real positive closed (1,1)-form;  $g = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  Hermitian metric

(ie.  $\omega = i \sum_{jk} \omega_{jk} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k$ ,  $(\omega_{jk})$  positive definite Hermitian matrix)

+ require  $d\omega = 0$ ; the corresponding metric is  $g = \sum_{jk} \omega_{jk} dz_j d\bar{z}_k$

Ex: (pointwise always  $\simeq$  this)  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\omega_0 = \sum dx_j \wedge dy_j = \frac{i}{2} \sum dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ ,  $g_0 = \text{Euc.}$

3

- Kähler metric  $\rightarrow$   $*$  operator: satisfies  $\alpha \wedge * \beta = g(\alpha, \beta) \text{vol}_g$   
 $*$ : on real forms, in orthonormal basis,  $\prod_{i \in I} dx_i \mapsto \pm \prod_{i \notin I} dx_i$   
 $\mathbb{C}$ -linear extension maps  $\Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{n-q, n-p}$   
Ex: in  $(\mathbb{C}, g_0)$ ,  $*(1) = dx \wedge dy = \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$  & vice versa  
 $*(dz) = *(dx + idy) = dy - idx = -idz$   
 $*(d\bar{z}) = id\bar{z}$ .

- $\rightarrow$  adjoints  $d^* = -*d*$ ,  $\bar{\partial}^* = -*\bar{\partial}*$  ( $\bar{\partial}^*: \Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q-1}$   $L^2$ -adjoint to  $\bar{\partial}$ )  
 Laplacians  $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$ :  $\Delta = 2\bar{\Delta}$   
 $\int |\Delta \alpha|^2 \text{vol}_g = \int |d\alpha|^2 + |d^*\alpha|^2 \Rightarrow \text{ker } \Delta = \text{ker } d \cap \text{ker } d^*$   
 $= \text{ker } d \cap (\text{Im } d)^\perp$   
 Similarly with  $\bar{\Delta}$

- Every cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative  
 $-$   $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology  $\xrightarrow{\quad}$   $\bar{\Delta}$ -harmonic  $\xrightarrow{\quad}$   $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology  
 (Hodge decomp. theorem)

• so  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{ker}(\Delta: \Omega^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$   
 $= \text{ker}(\bar{\Delta}: \Omega^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$   
 $= \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \text{ker}(\bar{\Delta}: \Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$

•  $*$  gives  $H^{p,q} \cong H^{n-q, n-p}$  ; complex conj:  $H^{p,q} = \overline{H^{q,p}}$   
 so Hodge diamond  $\begin{matrix} & & h^{n,n} & & \\ & h^{n,0} & & h^{0,n} & \\ & & h^{1,1} & & \\ h^{1,0} & & & & h^{0,1} \\ & h^{0,0} & & & \end{matrix}$  is symmetric.

• For a CY  $n$ -fold,  $H^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\text{Hodge}^*} H^{n,n-p} = H^{n-p}(X, \Omega_x^n) \xrightarrow{\text{CY}} H^{n-p}(X, \mathcal{O}_x) = H^{0, n-p}$   
 so  $h^{p,0} = h^{n-p,0}$ .

For a CY 3-fold  
 Under assumption  $h^{1,0} = 0$ , get

$$\begin{matrix} & & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & h^{1,1} & & \\ 1 & h^{2,1} & & h^{2,1} & 1 \\ & 0 & h^{1,1} & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{matrix}$$

④ \* For Calabi-Yans, we'll reinterpret Kodaira-Spencer map in terms of  $[\Omega] \in H^{n,0} \subset H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$ . For this we'll need:

Thm. (Griffiths transversality)

$$\| \alpha_t \in \Omega^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{J}_t) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha_t \in \Omega^{p,q} + \Omega^{p+1,q-1} + \Omega^{p-1,q+1}$$

Pf.  $(X, \mathcal{J}_t)$  locally given by  $s(t) \in \Omega^0(X, TX^{(1,0)})$ ,  $s(0)=0$

In local coords,  $TX_{\mathcal{J}_t}^{(1,0)} = \text{span} \{ dz_i^{(t)} := dz_i - \sum_j s_{ij}(t) d\bar{z}_j \}$  (seen above)

$$\alpha_t = \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q} \alpha_{IJ}(t) dz_{i_1}^{(t)} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_p}^{(t)} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1}^{(t)} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_q}^{(t)}$$

Take  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |_{t=0}$  & apply product rule: since  $s_{ij}(0)=0$ , only terms not  $\in \Omega^{p,q}$

$$\text{are } \alpha_{IJ}(0) dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial s_{ikj}}{\partial t} d\bar{z}_j \right) \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_p} \wedge dz_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{j_q} \in \Omega^{p-1, q+1}$$

and similarly (differentiating  $d\bar{z}_{j_k}$ ) terms in  $\Omega^{p+1, q-1}$  ▲

• Another interpretation of Kodaira-Spencer map for Calabi-Yans:



$c_1(K_X) = 0$  (deform. inv.) and  $H^{0,1} = 0$  assumed

$\Rightarrow K_{X_t} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_t}$  holomorphically even after deformation (so all  $(X, \mathcal{J}_t)$  are Calabi-Yan)

Then  $\exists [\Omega_t] \in H_{\mathcal{J}_t}^{n,0}(X) \subset H^n(X, \mathbb{C})$ .  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ : how does it depend on  $t$ ?  
 $\uparrow$  holom. vol. form

given  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \in T_0 S$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega_t \in \Omega^{n,0} \oplus \Omega^{n-1,1}$  by Griffiths transversality

Now:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega_t$  is  $d$ -closed (since  $\Omega_t$   $d$ -closed)

$$\Leftrightarrow \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega_t \right)^{(n-1,1)} \text{ is } \bar{\partial}\text{-closed} \Leftrightarrow \exists \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega_t \right]^{(1,1-n)} \in H^{1,1-n}(X)$$

\* As seen above,  $H^{n-1,1}(X) = H^1(X, \Omega_X^{n-1}) \cong H^1(X, TX)$

the image of  $\left[ \frac{\partial \Omega_t}{\partial t} \right]$  in  $H^1(X, TX)$  is indep't of choices and  $\equiv$  Kodaira-Spencer map.