

# ① Coherent sheaves on a complex mfd:

$\mathcal{O}_X$  sheaf of holomorphic functions

→ a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

(ie.  $U$  open set  $\mapsto \mathcal{F}(U)$  module /  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$   
w/ nice properties wrt restrictions...)

st. (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is of finite type (ie.  $\exists$  open cover of  $X$  by  $U$ 's st.

$\mathcal{F}|_U$  is generated by a finite # of sections, i.e.  $\exists \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}|_U \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ )

(2)  $\forall U \subset X$ ,  $\forall \phi: \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$  hom. of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules,  $\ker(\phi)$  is of finite type.

If  $X$  nice enough,  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  has finite presentation i.e.  $\exists$  open cover by subsets  $U$  st.  $\exists$  exact seq.  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus m}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow 0$ .

ie. coherent sheaves are cokernels of morphisms of vector bundles

\* Main advantage over bundles: kernels & cokernels of morphisms of coherent sheaves are coherent sheaves.

Ex: •  $E$  vector bundle  $\Rightarrow E$  (loc. free) sheaf (of holom. sections)

•  $D$  hypersurface defined by  $s=0$ ,  $s$  section of  $L$  line bundle

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

(for small enough  $U$ , s.e.s. on sections over  $U$  ✓).

• more generally,  $Z \subset X$  codim.  $r$  subvar. defined transversely as zero set of  $s \in H^0(E)$   $E$  rank  $r$  v.b.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Koszul resolution: } 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^r E^* \xrightarrow{s} \Lambda^{r-1} E^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^* \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

\*  $X$  smooth  $\Rightarrow$  coherent sheaves always have a finite resolution by vector bundles.

\* Ext groups: = right derived functor of Hom.

NB. internal  $\mathcal{H}om(E, F) =$  a sheaf

external  $\text{Hom}(E, F) =$  global sections of  $\mathcal{H}om =$  a vector space.

In general; a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  is left exact if

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \text{ short exact seq. } \Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C)$$

②

\* Right derived functors:  $R^i F$  s.t

$$0 \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightarrow F(C) \rightarrow R^1 F(A) \rightarrow R^1 F(B) \rightarrow R^1 F(C) \rightarrow R^2 F(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

To compute,  $R^i F(A)$ , resolve  $A$  by injective objects (injective:  $\text{Hom}(-, I)$  exact)  
 ( $\rightarrow F$  become exact):  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow \dots$

then get a complex  $0 \rightarrow F(I^0) \rightarrow F(I^1) \rightarrow F(I^2) \rightarrow \dots$

The cohomology of this complex gives  $R^i F(A) = \frac{\ker(F(I^i) \rightarrow F(I^{i+1}))}{\text{Im}(F(I^{i-1}) \rightarrow F(I^i))}$   
 ( $R^0 F(A) = F(A)$  by left exactness)

Example: sheaf cohomology = right derived functor of global sections  
 Namely, seq of sheaves  $\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^0(A) \rightarrow H^0(B) \rightarrow H^0(C) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow \dots$   
 compute by resolving by acyclic sheaves (e.g. flasque sheaves...)  
 (coincides with Čech cohomology)

\*  $\text{Hom}(E, -)$  (covariant) and  $\text{Hom}(-, F)$  (contravariant) are left-exact  
 $\text{Ext}^i = R^i \text{Hom}$ . In particular:

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, F_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, F_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, F_3) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E, F_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E, F_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_3, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_2, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_1, F) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E_3, F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E_2, F) \rightarrow \dots$$

In general, compute by resolving  $F$  by injectives (quasi-coh., not coh.)

• since  $\text{Hom} = H^0 \text{Hom}$ , could try to first understand failure of exactness of  $\text{Hom}$ , then that of global sections.

Fact: if  $E$  is locally free (i.e. vect bundle) then  $\text{Hom}(E, -)$  is exact  
 Then  $\text{Ext}^i(E, F) = H^i(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ .

Otherwise, resolve  $E$  by locally free sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

then we can build a complex of sheaves  $\text{Hom}(E_n, F) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(E_{n-1}, F) \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \text{Hom}(E_0, F)$   
 whose hypercohomology computes  $\text{Ext}^i(E, F)$ .

(3)

Example:  $\mathcal{E}$  loc. free (vector bundle)  
 $\mathcal{O}_p$  skyscraper sheaf at a point

- $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = \mathcal{E}_p^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_p = \text{skyscraper sheaf with stalk } \mathcal{E}_p^* \text{ at } p.$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = \mathcal{E}_p^* \\ \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_p) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (\text{skyscraper sheaves are acyclic})$$

- $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p) = \mathcal{O}_p$  but this isn't the whole story...

Resolve  $\mathcal{O}_p$  by locally free sheaves, e.g. use Koszul resolution

This is a local thing near  $p \Rightarrow$  restricting, can assume  $X$  affine.

Then local coords. near  $p$  define a section  $s$  of  $V \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}$  ( $n = \dim$ )

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^n V^* \xrightarrow{s} \Lambda^{n-1} V^* \xrightarrow{s} \dots \rightarrow V^* \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow 0, \text{ apply } \text{Hom}(-, \mathcal{O}_p)$$

gives  $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p)$  is hypercohomology of

$$\mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p \rightarrow \Lambda^n V \otimes \mathcal{O}_p$$

i.e. since complex is trivial,  $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p) \simeq \Lambda^k V.$

- similarly,  $\text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}) = \text{hypercohomology of}$

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{s} V \otimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{s} \dots \xrightarrow{s} \Lambda^{n-1} V \otimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{s} \Lambda^n V \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

can check this is exact except in last map, kernel = skyscraper sheaf with stalk  $(\Lambda^n V \otimes \mathcal{E})_p$  at  $p$ . (in fact this is its Koszul resolution)

Hence  $\text{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{E}) = \Lambda^n V \otimes \mathcal{E}_p (\simeq \mathcal{E}_p)$ , all others zero.

Consistent with Serre duality:  $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{Ext}^{n-i}(\mathcal{F}, K_X \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee$