

①

Recall: $X_\psi := \{ (x_0 \dots x_4) \in \mathbb{P}^4 \mid f_\psi = \sum_0^4 x_i^5 - 5\psi x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 0 \}$

$$G = \{ (a_0 \dots a_4) \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \mid \sum a_i = 0 \} / \{ (a, a, a, a, a) \} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$$

acts by diagonal mult. by ξ^{a_i} , $\xi = e^{2\pi i/5}$

$\check{X}_\psi =$ crepant resolution of X_ψ/G LCSL as $z = (5\psi)^{-5} \rightarrow 0$

Last time we defined a G -inv holom. vol.-form Ω_ψ on X_ψ ($\rightarrow \check{\Omega}_\psi$ on \check{X}_ψ)
& computed its period on a 3-torus $T_0 \subset X_\psi$ ($\check{T}_0 \subset \check{X}_\psi$)

We got
$$\int_{T_0} \Omega_\psi = - (2\pi i)^3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(5n)!}{(n!)^5 (5\psi)^{5n}}$$

or in terms of $z = (5\psi)^{-5}$, proportional to $\phi_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(5n)!}{(n!)^5} z^n$

and we observed ϕ_0 solves a 4th order diff. eqn, the Picard-Fuchs eqn:

$$\textcircled{4} \phi = 5z (5\textcircled{+}1)(5\textcircled{+}2)(5\textcircled{+}3)(5\textcircled{+}4)\phi \quad \text{where } \textcircled{+} = z \frac{d}{dz}$$

Prop: \parallel all periods $\int_C \check{\Omega}_\psi$ also satisfy this equation

Simple reason why all periods satisfy some diff. equation:

$H^3(\check{X}_\psi)$ is 4-dimensional, so $\left[\check{\Omega}_\psi \right], \left[\frac{\partial \check{\Omega}}{\partial \psi} \right], \dots, \left[\frac{\partial^4 \check{\Omega}}{\partial \psi^4} \right]$ must be linearly related

\Rightarrow so are their \int over any 3-cycle.

$\Rightarrow \int_C \check{\Omega}_\psi$ solves 4th order diff. eqn.

* How to prove it: express Ω_ψ & its derivatives as residues

$$\text{let } \bar{\Omega} = \sum_{i=0}^4 (-1)^i x_i dx_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_4$$

This is not a well-def^d 4-form on \mathbb{P}^4 because it's homogeneous of deg. 5 not 0
but if f, g homogeneous, $\deg f = \deg g + 5$, then $\frac{g \bar{\Omega}}{f}$ is a global
meromorphic 4-form on \mathbb{P}^4 , with poles where $f=0$.

②

Ex: $\frac{5\psi\bar{\Omega}}{f_\psi}$ with poles along X_ψ .

Now, if we have a k -form with poles along X , it has a residue on X - ideally a 3 -form on X , or at least a class in $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$.

$\text{Res}_X\left(\frac{g\bar{\Omega}}{f}\right)$ s.t. $\forall 3$ -cycle C in X ,



let $\Gamma =$ "tube" 4 -cycle = preimage of C in $\partial(\text{tub. rbd})$

$$\text{then } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g\bar{\Omega}}{f} = \int_C \text{Res}_X\left(\frac{g\bar{\Omega}}{f}\right).$$

If we have simple poles along $X = f^{-1}(0)$, can get a 3 -form: charact^d by

$$\text{Res}_X\left(\frac{g\bar{\Omega}}{f}\right) \wedge df = g\bar{\Omega} \text{ at every point of } X$$

Then $\Omega_\psi = \text{Res}_{X_\psi}\left(\frac{5\psi\bar{\Omega}}{f_\psi}\right)$ (compare w/ definition last time)

$$\leadsto \text{differentiating } k \text{ times, } \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \psi^k} \Omega_\psi = \text{Res}_{X_\psi}\left(\frac{g_k \bar{\Omega}}{f_\psi^{k+1}}\right)$$

so ... compute $\textcircled{h}^4 \Omega_\psi$ and $5z(5\textcircled{0}+1)\dots(5\textcircled{0}+4)\Omega_\psi$ where

$$\textcircled{h} = z \frac{d}{dz} = \frac{1}{5} \psi \frac{d}{d\psi} \text{ in each form. Then show residues equal.}$$

To compare residues of forms with order 5 poles along X_ψ , need algorithm for pole order reduction [Griffiths]:

Namely φ 3 -form (w/ poles of order l along X_ψ)

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{f_\psi^l} \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} (x_i g_j - x_j g_i) dx_0 \dots \widehat{dx}_i \widehat{dx}_j \dots dx_4$$

$g_0 \dots g_4$ degree $5l-4$

$$\Rightarrow d\varphi = \frac{1}{f_\psi^{l+1}} \left(l \sum_j g_j \frac{\partial f_\psi}{\partial x_j} - f_\psi \sum_j \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_j} \right) \bar{\Omega}$$

so $\left(\sum g_j \frac{\partial f_\psi}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{f_\psi^{l+1}}$ can be rewritten as (lower order pole) + (exact)
 ↑
 doesn't affect residue.

criteria: top order term \in Jacobian ideal gen^d by $\frac{\partial f_\psi}{\partial x_j}$'s. \Rightarrow can reduce.

Apply pole order reduction to $\textcircled{h}^4 \Omega_\psi - 5z(5\textcircled{0}+1)\dots(5\textcircled{0}+4)\Omega_\psi$, show $[\text{Res}] = 0$.
 (easier with computer algebra software). A

③

Now we can find other periods of $\tilde{\Sigma}_\psi$ using the theory of diff equation with regular singular pts = diff. eqn. of the form

$$\Theta^s f + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} B_j(z) \Theta^j f = 0 \quad \text{where } \Theta = z \frac{d}{dz}$$

B_j holomorphic at $z=0$.

• Reduce to a 1st order system: let

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \\ -B_0(z) & \dots & -B_{s-1}(z) & \end{pmatrix}, \quad w(z) = \begin{pmatrix} f(z) \\ \Theta f(z) \\ \vdots \\ \Theta^{s-1} f(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then eqn becomes $\Theta w(z) = A(z) w(z)$.

Thm: \exists const $s \times s$ matrix R and $s \times s$ matrix of hdom. functions $S(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t. } \Phi(z) &= S(z) \exp((\log z) R) \\ &= S(z) \left(\text{Id} + (\log z) R + \frac{(\log z)^2}{2} R^2 + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a fundamental system of sol^{ns} for $\Theta w(z) = A(z) w(z)$.

Moreover, if $A(0)$ doesn't have eigenvalues differing by a nonzero integer then can take $R = A(0)$.

NB: Φ is multivalued! $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i} z$ gives $\Phi(z) \mapsto \Phi(z) e^{2\pi i R}$
so the monodromy is $e^{2\pi i R}$

In our case: $\Theta^4 \phi - 5z(5\Theta + 1) \dots (5\Theta + 4) \phi = 0$

\uparrow coeff of Θ^4 is $1 - 5^5 z$
Coeffs of $\Theta^{i \leq 3}$ are const. z

eqn rewrites as: $\Theta^4 \phi - \frac{5z}{1 - 5^5 z} P_3(\Theta) \phi = 0$
 \uparrow indep of z

This is of the desired form, and $A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $z=0$.

nilpotent \Rightarrow assumption satisfied.

(4)

So the monodromy is given by $T = e^{2\pi i A(0)}$ unipotent of max. order

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2} & (2\pi i)^3/6 \\ & 2\pi i & (2\pi i)^2/2 \\ & & 2\pi i \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular: 1st column of Φ is int under $\Phi \mapsto \Phi T$: single valued sol.
 the others are multivalued. (2nd column \mapsto itself + $(2\pi i) \cdot (1^{st} \text{ col.})$)

Relevance: if $\omega(z) = \int_{\beta} \Omega$ is a period then it's a solⁿ to Picard-Fuchs
 \Rightarrow it's a linear combination of fund^t solutions
 $=$ first row of matrix $\Phi(z)$

so \exists basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4$ of $H_3(\check{X}, \mathbb{C})$ s.t. $\int_{\alpha_i} \Omega = \Phi(z)_{1i}$

The monodromy transformation in this basis is then

$T = \exp(2\pi i A(0))$ — this pnto $z=0$ is max. unipotent (LCSL)

• More periods of Ω : we already have a solⁿ $\phi_0(z)$ which is analytic, single-valued. By above, it's the only one up to scaling.

Next we'd like a multivalued solution $\phi_1(z)$ s.t.

$$\phi_1(z e^{2\pi i}) = \phi_1(z) + 2\pi i \phi_0(z)$$

(\Leftrightarrow desired behavior for next fundamental solⁿ! \rightarrow unique up to $\phi_1 \sim \phi_1 + c\phi_0$.
 $\&$ up to scaling, for period of Ω on β_1 s.t. $\beta_1 \mapsto \beta_1 - m\beta_0$ monodromy)

Necess: $\phi_1(z) = \phi_0(z) \log z + \tilde{\phi}(z)$, $\tilde{\phi}$ holomorphic

Let's find $\tilde{\phi}$. First note: $\odot^i (f(z) \log z) = (\odot^i f(z)) \log z + i \odot^{i-1} f(z)$

(because $\odot = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \log z}$; product rule or induction)

so: if we write $F(x) = x^4 - 5x(5x+1)\dots(5x+4)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\phi_1(z) &= F(\odot) (\phi_0(z) \log z + \tilde{\phi}(z)) \\ &= \underbrace{(\mathcal{D}\phi_0(z))}_{=0} \log z + F'(\odot) \phi_0(z) + \mathcal{D}\tilde{\phi}(z) \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\Rightarrow \underset{4^{\text{th}} \text{ order}}{\mathcal{D}\tilde{\phi}(z)} = - \underset{3^{\text{rd}} \text{ order}}{F'(\Theta)\phi_0(z)}$$

gives a recurrence relation on the Taylor coefficients of $\tilde{\phi}$

calculate explicitly ... $\rightarrow \tilde{\phi}(z) = 5 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(5n)!}{(n!)^5} \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{5n} \frac{1}{j} \right) z^n$

Now canonical coordinate: recall $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in H_3(\check{X}_4, \mathbb{Z})$, $\beta_1 \mapsto \beta_1 + \beta_0$ monodromy

Then $\int_{\beta_0} \check{\Omega} = C \phi_0(z)$

while $\int_{\beta_1} \check{\Omega} = C' \phi_0(z) + C'' \phi_1(z)$

monodromy acts: $C' \phi_0 + C'' \phi_1 \mapsto C' \phi_0 + C''(\phi_1 + 2\pi i \phi_0)$

want $\int_{\beta_1} \check{\Omega} \mapsto \int_{\beta_1 + \beta_0} \check{\Omega} \Rightarrow 2\pi i C'' = C$

Then canon. coords: $w = \frac{\int_{\beta_1} \check{\Omega}}{\int_{\beta_0} \check{\Omega}} = \frac{C'}{C} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_0}$
 $= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log c_2 + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log z + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(z)}{\phi_0(z)}$

$$q = \exp(2\pi i w) = c_2 z \exp\left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}(z)}{\phi_0(z)}\right)$$

* constant because don't know β_1 for MS statement, only up to $\beta_1 + \text{mult. of } \beta_0$

↑ can write power series