Refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local \mathbb{P}^2

Pierrick Bousseau

CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Saclay

Informal String-Math Seminar Berkeley, November 2, 2020

- Talk based on arXiv:2001.05347 "Holomorphic anomaly equation for (\mathbb{P}^2, E) and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of local \mathbb{P}^2 ", joint with Honglu Fan, Shuai Guo, and Longting Wu.
- Relies on some of my previous works:
 - arXiv:1706.07762 "Tropical refined curve counting from higher genera and lambda classes"
 - arXiv:1806.11495 "The quantum tropical vertex"
 - arXiv:1909.02985 "Scattering diagrams, stability conditions, and coherent sheaves on ℙ²"
 - arXiv:1909.02992 "A proof of N.Takahashi's conjecture for (P², *E*) and a refined sheaves/Gromov-Witten correspondence"
- Closely related:
 - arXiv:1808.07336 "Quantum mirrors of log Calabi-Yau surfaces and higher genus curve counting"
 - arXiv:2009.02266 "Strong positivity for the skein algebras of the 4-punctured sphere and of the 1-punctured torus"

References

- Talk based on arXiv:2001.05347 "Holomorphic anomaly equation for (\mathbb{P}^2, E) and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of local \mathbb{P}^2 ", joint with Honglu Fan, Shuai Guo, and Longting Wu.
- Relies on some of my previous works:
 - arXiv:1706.07762 "Tropical refined curve counting from higher genera and lambda classes"
 - arXiv:1806.11495 "The quantum tropical vertex"
 - arXiv:1909.02985 "Scattering diagrams, stability conditions, and coherent sheaves on ℙ²"
 - arXiv:1909.02992 "A proof of N.Takahashi's conjecture for (P², E) and a refined sheaves/Gromov-Witten correspondence"

• Closely related:

- arXiv:1808.07336 "Quantum mirrors of log Calabi-Yau surfaces and higher genus curve counting"
- arXiv:2009.02266 "Strong positivity for the skein algebras of the 4-punctured sphere and of the 1-punctured torus"

References

- Talk based on arXiv:2001.05347 "Holomorphic anomaly equation for (\mathbb{P}^2, E) and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of local \mathbb{P}^2 ", joint with Honglu Fan, Shuai Guo, and Longting Wu.
- Relies on some of my previous works:
 - arXiv:1706.07762 "Tropical refined curve counting from higher genera and lambda classes"
 - arXiv:1806.11495 "The quantum tropical vertex"
 - arXiv:1909.02985 "Scattering diagrams, stability conditions, and coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2"$
 - arXiv:1909.02992 "A proof of N.Takahashi's conjecture for (P², *E*) and a refined sheaves/Gromov-Witten correspondence"
- Closely related:
 - arXiv:1808.07336 "Quantum mirrors of log Calabi-Yau surfaces and higher genus curve counting"
 - arXiv:2009.02266 "Strong positivity for the skein algebras of the 4-punctured sphere and of the 1-punctured torus"

References

- Talk based on arXiv:2001.05347 "Holomorphic anomaly equation for (\mathbb{P}^2, E) and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of local \mathbb{P}^2 ", joint with Honglu Fan, Shuai Guo, and Longting Wu.
- Relies on some of my previous works:
 - arXiv:1706.07762 "Tropical refined curve counting from higher genera and lambda classes"
 - arXiv:1806.11495 "The quantum tropical vertex"
 - arXiv:1909.02985 "Scattering diagrams, stability conditions, and coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2"$
 - arXiv:1909.02992 "A proof of N.Takahashi's conjecture for (P², *E*) and a refined sheaves/Gromov-Witten correspondence"
- Closely related:
 - arXiv:1808.07336 "Quantum mirrors of log Calabi-Yau surfaces and higher genus curve counting"
 - arXiv:2009.02266 "Strong positivity for the skein algebras of the 4-punctured sphere and of the 1-punctured torus"

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local P²: total space of the canonical line bundle O_{P²}(−3) of P², and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on ℝ².

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local P²: total space of the canonical line bundle O_{P²}(−3) of P², and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on ℝ².

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local P²: total space of the canonical line bundle O_{P²}(-3) of P², and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on ℝ².

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local P²: total space of the canonical line bundle O_{P²}(-3) of P², and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on P².

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local \mathbb{P}^2 : total space of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ of \mathbb{P}^2 , and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on P².

- Refined topological string on non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds is expected to satisfy the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- The case of the ordinary/unrefined topological string starts to be well-understood mathematically.
- Our result: first mathematical result going non-trivially in the "refined direction".
- Focus on local \mathbb{P}^2 : total space of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ of \mathbb{P}^2 , and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the refined topological string.
- Purely mathematical result: construction of quasimodular forms from Betti numbers of moduli spaces of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on P².

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local \mathbb{P}^2 in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Review: topological string and holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Review: refined topological string and refined holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Statement of the main result: mathematical derivation of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation for local P² in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit.
- Main idea: reformulation of the NS limit of the refined (closed) topological string as an open topological string on a dual geometry.
- Proof of this reformulation: scattering diagram form of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula and tropical geometry. Need to consider the full D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum.
- Derivation of the holomorphic anomaly equation on the open string side by some degeneration from the (unrefined) closed topological string on local P². Corollary: a new formula relating the unrefined topological string and the NS limit of the refined topological string (proved for local P², conjectured to hold at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

Topological string

- Fix X a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- From coupling of appropriate twists of the two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model of target X with two-dimensional topological gravity, define the A/B models topological string on X. [Witten, 1988-1990]
- Genus g amplitudes are obtained by integration over the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces.
- Moduli space M of parameters, complex manifold. For the B-model, M is the moduli space of complex structures on X. For the A-model, M is the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space of X".
- Genus g amplitudes of the topological string are functions $F_g(t, \bar{t})$ on M, where t and \bar{t} are holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates on M.

- Fix X a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- From coupling of appropriate twists of the two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model of target X with two-dimensional topological gravity, define the A/B models topological string on X. [Witten, 1988-1990]
- Genus g amplitudes are obtained by integration over the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces.
- Moduli space M of parameters, complex manifold. For the B-model, M is the moduli space of complex structures on X. For the A-model, M is the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space of X".
- Genus g amplitudes of the topological string are functions $F_g(t, \bar{t})$ on M, where t and \bar{t} are holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates on M.

- Fix X a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- From coupling of appropriate twists of the two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model of target X with two-dimensional topological gravity, define the A/B models topological string on X. [Witten, 1988-1990]
- Genus g amplitudes are obtained by integration over the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces.
- Moduli space M of parameters, complex manifold. For the B-model, M is the moduli space of complex structures on X. For the A-model, M is the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space of X".
- Genus g amplitudes of the topological string are functions $F_g(t, \bar{t})$ on M, where t and \bar{t} are holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates on M.

- Fix X a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- From coupling of appropriate twists of the two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model of target X with two-dimensional topological gravity, define the A/B models topological string on X. [Witten, 1988-1990]
- Genus g amplitudes are obtained by integration over the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces.
- Moduli space *M* of parameters, complex manifold. For the *B*-model, *M* is the moduli space of complex structures on *X*. For the *A*-model, *M* is the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space of *X*".
- Genus g amplitudes of the topological string are functions $F_g(t, \bar{t})$ on M, where t and \bar{t} are holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates on M.

- Fix X a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- From coupling of appropriate twists of the two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma model of target X with two-dimensional topological gravity, define the A/B models topological string on X. [Witten, 1988-1990]
- Genus g amplitudes are obtained by integration over the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces.
- Moduli space *M* of parameters, complex manifold. For the *B*-model, *M* is the moduli space of complex structures on *X*. For the *A*-model, *M* is the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space of *X*".
- Genus g amplitudes of the topological string are functions $F_g(t, \bar{t})$ on M, where t and \bar{t} are holomorphic and antiholomorphic coordinates on M.

Holomorphic anomaly equation

- Naive arguments suggest that $F_g(t, \overline{t})$ is an holomorphic function on M (independent of \overline{t}).
- It is not the case: subtelty comes from the fact that the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces is not compact, need to add (stable) nodal curves to get a compact moduli space. The holomorphic anomaly equation expresses the fact that the defect of holomorpy comes entirely from there. Schematically (without details of special geometry)

$$\overline{\partial}F_{g} = rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(DF_{i})(DF_{g-i}) + rac{1}{2}D^{2}F_{g-1}$$

where D is a first order differential operator and D^2 is a second order differential operator. [Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa, 1993]

- Naive arguments suggest that $F_g(t, \overline{t})$ is an holomorphic function on M (independent of \overline{t}).
- It is not the case: subtelty comes from the fact that the moduli space of genus g Riemann surfaces is not compact, need to add (stable) nodal curves to get a compact moduli space. The holomorphic anomaly equation expresses the fact that the defect of holomorpy comes entirely from there. Schematically (without details of special geometry)

$$\overline{\partial} F_g = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (DF_i) (DF_{g-i}) + rac{1}{2} D^2 F_{g-1}$$

where D is a first order differential operator and D^2 is a second order differential operator. [Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa, 1993]

Holomorphic anomaly equation

- The holomorphic anomaly equation is a very powerful tool to solve the topological string: it recursively computes F_g up to ambiguities which are holomorphic functions on \mathcal{M} . These holomorphic ambiguities can sometimes be fixed simply from their boundary behavior.
- The A-model of the quintic 3-fold can be solved for $g \ge 51$ using the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap, Castelnuovo bound). [Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush, 2006]
- The A-model of some non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, such as local P², is uniquely determined by the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap). [Aganagic- Bouchard-Klemm, 2006][Haghighat-Klemm-Rauch, 2008]

- The holomorphic anomaly equation is a very powerful tool to solve the topological string: it recursively computes F_g up to ambiguities which are holomorphic functions on \mathcal{M} . These holomorphic ambiguities can sometimes be fixed simply from their boundary behavior.
- The A-model of the quintic 3-fold can be solved for g ≥ 51 using the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap, Castelnuovo bound). [Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush, 2006]
- The A-model of some non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, such as local P², is uniquely determined by the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap). [Aganagic- Bouchard-Klemm, 2006][Haghighat-Klemm-Rauch, 2008]

- The holomorphic anomaly equation is a very powerful tool to solve the topological string: it recursively computes F_g up to ambiguities which are holomorphic functions on \mathcal{M} . These holomorphic ambiguities can sometimes be fixed simply from their boundary behavior.
- The A-model of the quintic 3-fold can be solved for g ≥ 51 using the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap, Castelnuovo bound). [Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush, 2006]
- The A-model of some non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, such as local P², is uniquely determined by the holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap). [Aganagic- Bouchard-Klemm, 2006][Haghighat-Klemm-Rauch, 2008]

Topological string: mathematics

Focus on the A-model.

- There does not exist yet a general definition mathematical definition of the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space". When we know that X is mirror to Y, it is the moduli space of complex structures on Y.
- In particular, there does not exist yet a general mathematical definition of the genus g amplitudes $F_g(t, \bar{t})$.
- What exists mathematically is the power expansion around the large volume point t = +∞ of the holomorphic limit
 F_g(t) := lim_{t→0} F_g(t, t̄) where t is a linear coordinate on H²(X, C):
 up to known perturbative terms,

$$F_{g}(t) = \sum_{\beta \in H_{2}(X,\mathbb{Z})} N_{g,\beta} e^{-\int_{\beta} t}$$

where $N_{g,\beta}$ is the "number of holomorphic curves in X of genus g and class β ", more precisely Gromov-Witten invariants.

Focus on the A-model.

- There does not exist yet a general definition mathematical definition of the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space". When we know that X is mirror to Y, it is the moduli space of complex structures on Y.
- In particular, there does not exist yet a general mathematical definition of the genus g amplitudes $F_g(t, \bar{t})$.
- What exists mathematically is the power expansion around the large volume point t = +∞ of the holomorphic limit
 F_g(t) := lim_{t→0} F_g(t, t̄) where t is a linear coordinate on H²(X, C):
 up to known perturbative terms,

$$F_{g}(t) = \sum_{\beta \in H_{2}(X,\mathbb{Z})} N_{g,\beta} e^{-\int_{\beta} t}$$

where $N_{g,\beta}$ is the "number of holomorphic curves in X of genus g and class β ", more precisely Gromov-Witten invariants.

Focus on the A-model.

- There does not exist yet a general definition mathematical definition of the "stringy complexified Kähler moduli space". When we know that X is mirror to Y, it is the moduli space of complex structures on Y.
- In particular, there does not exist yet a general mathematical definition of the genus g amplitudes $F_g(t, \bar{t})$.
- What exists mathematically is the power expansion around the large volume point $t = +\infty$ of the holomorphic limit $F_g(t) := \lim_{\overline{t} \to 0} F_g(t, \overline{t})$ where t is a linear coordinate on $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$: up to known perturbative terms,

$$F_{g}(t) = \sum_{\beta \in H_{2}(X,\mathbb{Z})} N_{g,\beta} e^{-\int_{\beta} t}$$

where $N_{g,\beta}$ is the "number of holomorphic curves in X of genus g and class β ", more precisely Gromov-Witten invariants.

- The physics prediction of the existence of $F_g(t, \overline{t})$ implies that the mathematically defined series $F_g(t)$ should have non-zero radius of convergence and analytic continuation to the universal cover of \mathcal{M} , with quasi-modular-like transformations with respect to the action of the fundamental group.
- The holomorphic anomaly equation for F_g(t, t̄) translates into an equation describing F_g(t) up to strictly modular ambiguities.
 [Yamaguchi-Yau, 2004][Aganagic-Bouchard-Klemm, 2006]

- The physics prediction of the existence of $F_g(t, \overline{t})$ implies that the mathematically defined series $F_g(t)$ should have non-zero radius of convergence and analytic continuation to the universal cover of \mathcal{M} , with quasi-modular-like transformations with respect to the action of the fundamental group.
- The holomorphic anomaly equation for F_g(t, t̄) translates into an equation describing F_g(t) up to strictly modular ambiguities.
 [Yamaguchi-Yau, 2004][Aganagic-Bouchard-Klemm, 2006]

Theorem (Conjecture of (Aganagic-Bouchard-Klemm, 2006), proofs: (Fang-Liu-Zong, 2016), (Lho-Pandharipande, 2017), (Coates-Iritani, 2018))

For $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, the generating series $F_g(t)$ of Gromov-Witten invariants are (after the mirror map change of variables) quasi-modular forms for the group $\Gamma_1(3)$ and satisfy the holomorphic anomaly equation.

The topological vertex ([Aganagic-Klemm-Marino-Vafa, 2003], [Li-Liu-Zhou, 2004]) gives a way to compute *A*-model/Gromov-Witten invariants of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds. However, it is not known how to deduce quasimodularity and holomorphic anomaly equation from the topological vertex. The genus *g A*-model amplitudes of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold compute *F*-terms in the low-energy effective action of Type IIA string theory on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times X$. Using that the strong coupling limit of Type IIA string theory is *M*-theory, one obtains a *M*-theory description of the topological string [Gopakumar-Vafa, 1998]

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} F_g(t) g_s^{2g-2} = -\sum_{\beta\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \frac{Tr_{\mathcal{H}_\beta}[(-1)^{2(J_L+J_R)} q^{2kJ_L}]}{(q^{\frac{k}{2}} - q^{-\frac{k}{2}})^2} e^{-k\int_{\beta} t}$$

where \mathcal{H}_{β} is the spaces of BPS states of M2 branes of charge β , representation of the 5d little group $SO(4) \sim SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, and $q = e^{ig_s}$.

Refined topological string

Let X be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold with a $U(1)_T$ symmetry scaling non-trivially the holomorphic volume form, e.g. $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ with $U(1)_T$ rotating the fibers of the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Define the refined topological string amplitudes $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$ by

$$\sum_{g_1,g_2\geq 0} F_{g_1,g_2}(t)(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)^{2g_1}(-\epsilon_1\epsilon_2)^{g_2-1}$$

$$=\sum_{\beta\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})}\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{k}\frac{Tr_{\mathcal{H}_\beta}((-1)^{2(J_L+J_R)}q_L^{2kJ_L}q_R^{2k(J_R+I_T)})}{(q_1^{\frac{k}{2}}-q_1^{-\frac{k}{2}})(q_2^{\frac{k}{2}}-q_2^{-\frac{k}{2}})}e^{-k\int_\beta t}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} q_1 &= e^{\epsilon_1}, q_2 = e^{\epsilon_2}, q_L = e^{\epsilon_L}, q_R = e^{\epsilon_R} \ \epsilon_R &= rac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}, \epsilon_L = rac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

[Nekrasov, 2002][Hollowood-Iqbal-Vafa, 2003]

,
• Unrefined limit:
$$\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = ig_s$$
, so $\epsilon_L = ig_s, \epsilon_R = 0, q_L = e^{ig_s}, q_R = 1$, and so $F_{0,g}(t) = F_g(t)$.

- From the *M*-theory definition, one expects $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$, a priori a formal power series in $Q = e^{-t}$, to be convergent and to come from a globally defined function $F_{g_1,g_2}(t,\bar{t})$ on the space *M* of parameters.
- No obvious worldsheet definition of F_{g1,g2}(t, t), so no BCOV derivation for an holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Nevertheless, a refined holomorphic anomaly equation was guessed [Krefl-Walcher, 2010][Huang-Klemm, 2010]

$$\overline{\partial}F_{g_1,g_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le g_1 \\ 0 \le j_2 \le g_2 \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (0,0) \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (g_1,g_2)}}^{g-1} \left(DF_{j_1,j_2}\right) \left(DF_{(g_1-j_1,g_2-j_2)}\right) + \frac{1}{2}D^2F_{g_1,g_2-1}.$$

- Unrefined limit: $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = ig_s$, so $\epsilon_L = ig_s, \epsilon_R = 0, q_L = e^{ig_s}, q_R = 1$, and so $F_{0,g}(t) = F_g(t)$.
- From the *M*-theory definition, one expects $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$, a priori a formal power series in $Q = e^{-t}$, to be convergent and to come from a globally defined function $F_{g_1,g_2}(t,\bar{t})$ on the space *M* of parameters.
- No obvious worldsheet definition of F_{g1,g2}(t, t), so no BCOV derivation for an holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Nevertheless, a refined holomorphic anomaly equation was guessed [Krefl-Walcher, 2010][Huang-Klemm, 2010]

$$\overline{\partial} F_{g_1,g_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le g_1 \\ 0 \le j_2 \le g_2 \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (0,0) \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (g_1,g_2)}}^{g-1} (DF_{j_1,j_2}) \left(DF_{(g_1-j_1,g_2-j_2)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 F_{g_1,g_2-1} .$$

- Unrefined limit: $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = ig_s$, so $\epsilon_L = ig_s, \epsilon_R = 0, q_L = e^{ig_s}, q_R = 1$, and so $F_{0,g}(t) = F_g(t)$.
- From the *M*-theory definition, one expects $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$, a priori a formal power series in $Q = e^{-t}$, to be convergent and to come from a globally defined function $F_{g_1,g_2}(t,\bar{t})$ on the space *M* of parameters.
- No obvious worldsheet definition of F_{g1,g2}(t, t), so no BCOV derivation for an holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Nevertheless, a refined holomorphic anomaly equation was guessed [Krefl-Walcher, 2010][Huang-Klemm, 2010]

$$\overline{\partial} F_{g_1,g_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le g_1 \\ 0 \le j_2 \le g_2 \\ (j_1,j_2) \neq (0,0) \\ (j_1,j_2) \neq (g_1,g_2)}}^{g-1} (DF_{j_1,j_2}) \left(DF_{(g_1-j_1,g_2-j_2)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 F_{g_1,g_2-1} \,.$$

- Unrefined limit: $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = ig_s$, so $\epsilon_L = ig_s, \epsilon_R = 0, q_L = e^{ig_s}, q_R = 1$, and so $F_{0,g}(t) = F_g(t)$.
- From the *M*-theory definition, one expects $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$, a priori a formal power series in $Q = e^{-t}$, to be convergent and to come from a globally defined function $F_{g_1,g_2}(t,\bar{t})$ on the space *M* of parameters.
- No obvious worldsheet definition of F_{g1,g2}(t, t), so no BCOV derivation for an holomorphic anomaly equation.
- Nevertheless, a refined holomorphic anomaly equation was guessed [Krefl-Walcher, 2010][Huang-Klemm, 2010]

$$\overline{\partial} F_{g_1,g_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le g_1 \\ 0 \le j_2 \le g_2 \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (0,0) \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (g_1,g_2)}}^{g-1} (DF_{j_1,j_2}) \left(DF_{(g_1-j_1,g_2-j_2)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 F_{g_1,g_2-1}.$$

- The refined topological string of some non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, such as local P², is uniquely determined by the refined holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap). [Huang-Klemm, 2010]
- Numerical checks against gauge theoretic partition functions [Nekrasov,2002] and the refined topological vertex [Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa, 2007].

- The refined topological string of some non-compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds, such as local P², is uniquely determined by the refined holomorphic anomaly equation and known/expected boundary conditions (large volume leading behavior, orbifold regularity, conifold gap). [Huang-Klemm, 2010]
- Numerical checks against gauge theoretic partition functions [Nekrasov,2002] and the refined topological vertex [Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa, 2007].

The spaces of M2-branes \mathcal{H}_{β} can be defined as cohomology of moduli spaces of D2 - D0 branes, i.e. moduli spaces of coherent sheaves on Xsupported on curves, and the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ action can be understood geometrically ([Gopakumar-Vafa, 1998], subtleties coming from the fact that these moduli spaces are singular [Hosono-Saito-Takahashi, 2001],[Kiem-Li, 2016],[Maulik-Toda, 2016]).

Refined topological string: mathematics

$$\begin{split} \sum_{g_1,g_2 \ge 0} F_{g_1,g_2}(t) (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^{2g_1} (-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)^{g_2 - 1} \\ &:= \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k} \frac{Tr_{\mathcal{H}_\beta}((-1)^{2(J_L + J_R)} q_L^{2kJ_L} q_R^{2k(J_R + I_T)})}{(q_1^{\frac{k}{2}} - q_1^{-\frac{k}{2}})(q_2^{\frac{k}{2}} - q_2^{-\frac{k}{2}})} e^{-k \int_\beta t} \\ &\overline{\partial} F_{g_1,g_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \le j_1 \le g_1 \\ 0 \le j_2 \le g_2 \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (0,0) \\ (j_1,j_2) \ne (g_1,g_2)}} (DF_{j_1,j_2}) \left(DF_{(g_1 - j_1,g_2 - j_2)} \right) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 F_{g_1,g_2 - 1} \,. \end{split}$$

Main difficulty: the refined holomorphic anomaly equation is a recursion on (g_1, g_2) , but $F_{g_1,g_2}(t)$ is defined through the non-trivial change of variables $q_1 = e^{\epsilon_1}$ and $q_2 = e^{\epsilon_2}$. Without some geometric/worldsheet-like interpretation of the parameters (g_1, g_2) , it seems very difficult to prove anything. It is not known how to deduce quasimodularity and holomophic anomaly from the refined topological vertex for example.

The Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit

• NS limit: $\epsilon_1 = \hbar$, $\epsilon_2 = 0$, and so $\epsilon_L = \epsilon_R = \frac{\hbar}{2}$.

• Denote $\mathit{F}^{\mathit{NS}}_g(t) := \mathit{F}_{g,0}(t)$ and $y = e^{\hbar}$

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} F_g^{NS}(t)\hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{\beta\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_{\beta}(y^{\kappa})}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-k\int_{\beta} t}$$

where

$$\Omega_{\beta}(y) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}}((-1)^{2(J_{L}+J_{R})}y^{J_{L}+J_{R}+I_{T}}).$$

Refined 4d BPS index for D2-D0 branes $(J_L + J_R \text{ is the 4d diagonal } SU(2)$ in the 5d $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$), (roughly) the Hirzebruch χ_y -genus of the moduli space of D2-D0 branes.

• Refined holomorphic equation in the NS limit (note: no loop term)

$$\overline{\partial} F_g^{NS} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \left(DF_i^{NS} \right) \left(DF_{g-i}^{NS} \right) \,.$$

The Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit

- NS limit: $\epsilon_1 = \hbar$, $\epsilon_2 = 0$, and so $\epsilon_L = \epsilon_R = \frac{\hbar}{2}$.
- Denote $F_g^{NS}(t):=F_{g,0}(t)$ and $y=e^{\hbar}$

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} F_g^{NS}(t)\hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{\beta\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_{\beta}(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-k\int_{\beta} t}$$

where

$$\Omega_{\beta}(y) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}}((-1)^{2(J_L+J_R)}y^{J_L+J_R+I_T}).$$

Refined 4d BPS index for D2-D0 branes $(J_L + J_R \text{ is the 4d diagonal } SU(2)$ in the 5d $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$), (roughly) the Hirzebruch χ_{γ} -genus of the moduli space of D2-D0 branes.

Refined holomorphic equation in the NS limit (note: no loop term)

$$\overline{\partial} F_g^{NS} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \left(D F_i^{NS} \right) \left(D F_{g-i}^{NS} \right) \,.$$

The Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit

- NS limit: $\epsilon_1 = \hbar$, $\epsilon_2 = 0$, and so $\epsilon_L = \epsilon_R = \frac{\hbar}{2}$.
- Denote $F_g^{NS}(t):=F_{g,0}(t)$ and $y=e^{\hbar}$

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} F_g^{NS}(t)\hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{\beta\in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_{\beta}(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-k\int_{\beta} t}$$

where

$$\Omega_{\beta}(y) := \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}}((-1)^{2(J_L+J_R)}y^{J_L+J_R+I_T}).$$

Refined 4d BPS index for D2-D0 branes $(J_L + J_R \text{ is the 4d diagonal } SU(2)$ in the 5d $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$), (roughly) the Hirzebruch χ_y -genus of the moduli space of D2-D0 branes.

• Refined holomorphic equation in the NS limit (note: no loop term)

$$\overline{\partial} F_g^{NS} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \left(D F_i^{NS} \right) \left(D F_{g-i}^{NS} \right) \,.$$

Theorem (Conjecture of (Huang-Klemm, 2010), proof: (B-Fan-Guo-Wu, 2020))

For $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, the series $F_g^{NS}(t)$, defined in terms of Betti numbers of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2), are (after the mirror map change of variables) quasi-modular forms for the group $\Gamma_1(3)$ and satisfy the NS limit of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3), \ H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \\ &\sum_{g \ge 0} F_g^{NS}(t) \hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt} \end{aligned}$$

• Precise mathematical definition of the Laurent polynomials $\Omega_d(y)$?

- Moduli space M_{d,χ} of D2-D0 branes: (coarse) moduli space of semistable coherent sheaves F on P² supported on curves of degree d and with χ(F) = χ. Very natural in algebraic geometry (Abel-Jacobi in family)[Simpson, 1990], [Le Potier, 1993].
- Define $\Omega_{d,\chi}(y)$ as the Poincaré polynomial of Betti numbers of $M_{d,\chi}$ for the intersection cohomology (physics: think about L^2 -cohomology of the stable (smooth) locus. $M_{d,\chi}$ is smooth if $gcd(d,\chi) = 1$, singular in general.

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3), \ H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \\ &\sum_{g \ge 0} F_g^{NS}(t) \hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt} \end{aligned}$$

• Precise mathematical definition of the Laurent polynomials $\Omega_d(y)$?

- Moduli space M_{d,χ} of D2-D0 branes: (coarse) moduli space of semistable coherent sheaves F on P² supported on curves of degree d and with χ(F) = χ. Very natural in algebraic geometry (Abel-Jacobi in family)[Simpson, 1990], [Le Potier, 1993].
- Define $\Omega_{d,\chi}(y)$ as the Poincaré polynomial of Betti numbers of $M_{d,\chi}$ for the intersection cohomology (physics: think about L^2 -cohomology of the stable (smooth) locus. $M_{d,\chi}$ is smooth if $gcd(d,\chi) = 1$, singular in general.

$$\begin{split} X &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3), \ H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \\ &\sum_{g \ge 0} F_g^{NS}(t) \hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt} \end{split}$$

- Precise mathematical definition of the Laurent polynomials $\Omega_d(y)$?
- Moduli space M_{d,χ} of D2-D0 branes: (coarse) moduli space of semistable coherent sheaves F on P² supported on curves of degree d and with χ(F) = χ. Very natural in algebraic geometry (Abel-Jacobi in family)[Simpson, 1990], [Le Potier, 1993].
- Define $\Omega_{d,\chi}(y)$ as the Poincaré polynomial of Betti numbers of $M_{d,\chi}$ for the intersection cohomology (physics: think about L^2 -cohomology of the stable (smooth) locus. $M_{d,\chi}$ is smooth if $gcd(d,\chi) = 1$, singular in general.

Conjecture

 $\Omega_{d,\chi}$ only depends on d and not on χ .

We have $M_{d,\chi} \simeq M_{d,\chi+d}$ ($F \mapsto F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$) and $M_{d,\chi} \simeq M_{d,-\chi}$ ($F \mapsto F^{\vee}$) but $M_{d,\chi} \neq M_{d,\chi'}$ if $d \ge 3$ and $\chi \neq \pm \chi' \mod d$.

Theorem (B, 2019)

- $\Omega_{d,\chi}$ only depends on d and on $gcd(d,\chi)$.
- The full conjecture is true for $d \leq 4$.

Proof using Gromov-Witten theory of a basic result on topology of moduli space of sheaves.

Without knowing the general conjecture, we define $\Omega_d(y)$ by average:

$$\Omega_d(y) := rac{1}{d} \sum_{\chi \mod d} \Omega_{d,\chi}(y) \, .$$

 $y = e^{\hbar}$

$$\sum_{g \ge 0} F_g^{NS}(t) \hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt}$$

Theorem (B-Fan-Guo-Wu, 2020)

For $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$, the series $F_g^{NS}(t)$, defined in terms of Betti numbers of one-dimensional coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2), are (after the mirror map change of variables) quasi-modular forms for the group $\Gamma_1(3)$ and satisfy the NS limit of the refined holomorphic anomaly equation.

We would like a genus g worldsheet definition of $F_g^{NS}(t)$. This cannot be the unrefined topological string on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$: $F_g^{NS}(t) \neq F_g(t)$ for $g \geq 1$.

Hint

 $y = e^{\hbar}$

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} F_g^{NS}(t)\hbar^{2g-1} = \sum_{d\geq 1} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt}$$

Take the derivative d/dt

$$\sum_{g \ge 0} \frac{d}{dt} F_g^{NS} \hbar^{2g-1} = -\sum_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{k} \frac{d\Omega_d(y^k)}{y^{\frac{k}{2}} - y^{-\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-kdt}$$

The derivative of the NS limit of the refined topological string looks exactly like an open topological string! [Ooguri-Vafa, 1999] Main claim: for $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ (and more generally local del Pezzo sufaces), we can find such open topological string.

Towards the open string geometry

- P²: complex projective plane, complex dimension 2, real dimension 4.

 Standard symplectic structure, *T*²-Hamiltonian action leading to a toric description.
- Moment map: : P² → P̄. Over the interior P of P̄, (C*)² → P, Lagrangian T²-fibration. (C*)²: complement of a triangle of lines in P².



Towards the open string geometry

- Moment map: : P² → P̄. Over the interior P of P̄, (C*)² → P, Lagrangian T²-fibration. (C*)²: complement of a triangle of lines in P².



Towards the open string geometry

- Moment map: $: \mathbb{P}^2 \to \overline{P}$. Over the interior P of \overline{P} , $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \to P$, Lagrangian T^2 -fibration. $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$: complement of a triangle of lines in \mathbb{P}^2 .



Towards the opens string geometry: (\mathbb{P}^2, E)

- Replace the triangle of lines by a smooth cubic E (genus one curve) and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ by $U := \mathbb{P}^2 E$. U is a non-compact Calabi-Yau surface.
- Over the interior B of B, $U \rightarrow B$, Lagrangian T^2 -fibration with 3 nodal singular fibers. Topological check:

 $\chi_{top}(U) = \chi_{top}(\mathbb{P}^2) - \chi_{top}(E) = 0$. In fact, there exists such special Lagrangian fibration. [Collins,Jacob,Lin, 2019]



Towards the opens string geometry: (\mathbb{P}^2, E)

Replace the triangle of lines by a smooth cubic *E* (genus one curve) and (ℂ*)² by U := ℙ² − E. U is a non-compact Calabi-Yau surface.

• Over the interior B of \overline{B} , $U \rightarrow B$, Lagrangian T^2 -fibration with 3 nodal singular fibers. Topological check:

 $\chi_{top}(U) = \chi_{top}(\mathbb{P}^2) - \chi_{top}(E) = 0$. In fact, there exists such special Lagrangian fibration. [Collins,Jacob,Lin, 2019]



Towards the opens string geometry: (\mathbb{P}^2, E)

- Replace the triangle of lines by a smooth cubic E (genus one curve) and (ℂ*)² by U := ℙ² − E. U is a non-compact Calabi-Yau surface.
- Over the interior B of \overline{B} , $U \rightarrow B$, Lagrangian T^2 -fibration with 3 nodal singular fibers. Topological check:

 $\chi_{top}(U) = \chi_{top}(\mathbb{P}^2) - \chi_{top}(E) = 0$. In fact, there exists such special Lagrangian fibration. [Collins,Jacob,Lin, 2019]



- Study holomorphic curves in U with one boundary on a Lagrangian T^2 -fiber.
- In fact U is hyperkähler. Study the open topological string on the Calabi-Yau 3-fold Z obtained as the \mathbb{C}^* -twistor family of U, with brane $T_b^2 \times \mathbb{R}$: $N_{g,v}^{open}(b)$ "counts" of genus g Riemanns surfaces in Z with one boundary of class $v \in H_1(T_b^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$.



- Study holomorphic curves in U with one boundary on a Lagrangian T^2 -fiber.
- In fact U is hyperkähler. Study the open topological string on the Calabi-Yau 3-fold Z obtained as the \mathbb{C}^* -twistor family of U, with brane $T_b^2 \times \mathbb{R}$: $N_{g,v}^{open}(b)$ "counts" of genus g Riemanns surfaces in Z with one boundary of class $v \in H_1(T_b^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$.



- Study holomorphic curves in U with one boundary on a Lagrangian T^2 -fiber.
- In fact U is hyperkähler. Study the open topological string on the Calabi-Yau 3-fold Z obtained as the C*-twistor family of U, with brane T²_b × ℝ: N^{open}_{g,v}(b) "counts" of genus g Riemanns surfaces in Z with one boundary of class v ∈ H₁(T²_b × ℝ, Z) ≃ Z².



- The open invariants $N_{g,v}^{open}(b)$ jump as a function of b (wall-crossing) and are difficult to define rigorously (e.g. we are not in a toric situation).
- We provide a mathematically precise definition when b is close to E and v ∈ H₁(T²_b, Z) is a multiple of the cycle (1,0) collapsing on E. For open curves wrapping a cycle collapsing on E, close them by gluing a disc: get a closed holomorphic curve in P² meeting E in one point. Use relative Gromov-Witten theory to define N^{open}_{σ.(d,0)} ∈ Q.



- The open invariants $N_{g,v}^{open}(b)$ jump as a function of b (wall-crossing) and are difficult to define rigorously (e.g. we are not in a toric situation).
- We provide a mathematically precise definition when b is close to E and v ∈ H₁(T²_b, Z) is a multiple of the cycle (1,0) collapsing on E. For open curves wrapping a cycle collapsing on E, close them by gluing a disc: get a closed holomorphic curve in P² meeting E in one point. Use relative Gromov-Witten theory to define N^{open}_{e.(d,0)} ∈ Q.



- The open invariants $N_{g,v}^{open}(b)$ jump as a function of b (wall-crossing) and are difficult to define rigorously (e.g. we are not in a toric situation).
- We provide a mathematically precise definition when b is close to E and v ∈ H₁(T²_b, Z) is a multiple of the cycle (1,0) collapsing on E. For open curves wrapping a cycle collapsing on E, close them by gluing a disc: get a closed holomorphic curve in P² meeting E in one point. Use relative Gromov-Witten theory to define N^{open}_{g,(d,0)} ∈ Q.



Theorem (B, 2019)

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} \frac{d}{dt} F_g^{NS} \hbar^{2g-1} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{g\geq 0} \sum_{d\geq 1} (-1)^{d-1} N_{g,(d,0)}^{open} \hbar^{2g-1} e^{-dt}$$

In other words, the refined BPS counts $\Omega_d(y)$ of (closed) D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ are equal (up to simple factor) to Ooguri-Vafa open BPS counts on $Z = U \times \mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{P}^2 - E) \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

- $\Omega_d(y)$ is the refined BPS count of D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit. More generally, consider $\Omega_{d,r}(y)$ the refined BPS count of D4-D2-D0 branes in the large volume limit, where r is the D4 charge, i.e. the rank of the coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^2 .
- Assume that we have a definition of $N_{g,(d,r)}^{open}$ for open curves wrapping general cycles $(d, r) \in H_1(T_b^2, \mathbb{Z})$, not only the ones of class (d, 0) which collapse to E.

Almost theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

- $\Omega_d(y)$ is the refined BPS count of D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit. More generally, consider $\Omega_{d,r}(y)$ the refined BPS count of D4-D2-D0 branes in the large volume limit, where r is the D4 charge, i.e. the rank of the coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^2 .
- Assume that we have a definition of $N_{g,(d,r)}^{open}$ for open curves wrapping general cycles $(d, r) \in H_1(T_b^2, \mathbb{Z})$, not only the ones of class (d, 0) which collapse to E.

Almost theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

- $\Omega_d(y)$ is the refined BPS count of D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit. More generally, consider $\Omega_{d,r}(y)$ the refined BPS count of D4-D2-D0 branes in the large volume limit, where r is the D4 charge, i.e. the rank of the coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^2 .
- Assume that we have a definition of $N_{g,(d,r)}^{open}$ for open curves wrapping general cycles $(d, r) \in H_1(T_b^2, \mathbb{Z})$, not only the ones of class (d, 0) which collapse to E.

Almost theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

Almost Theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

- It is NOT an example of MNOP-like GW-DT correspondence, but a new kind of coherent sheaves/open Gromov-Witten correspondence.
- A dual description of IIA on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ is given by a M-theory on U and a M5-brane wrapped on T_b^2 . In IIA on X the BPS spectrum is given by coherent sheaves on X, whereas in the M-theory description, the BPS spectrum is given by open M2-branes with boundary on T_b^2 . Then, apply the twistorial description of [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] to describe the open M2-branes in terms of the open tolopogical string.

Almost Theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

- It is NOT an example of MNOP-like GW-DT correspondence, but a new kind of coherent sheaves/open Gromov-Witten correspondence.
- A dual description of IIA on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ is given by a M-theory on U and a M5-brane wrapped on T_b^2 . In IIA on X the BPS spectrum is given by coherent sheaves on X, whereas in the M-theory description, the BPS spectrum is given by open M2-branes with boundary on T_b^2 . Then, apply the twistorial description of [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] to describe the open M2-branes in terms of the open tolopogical string.
Open string interpretation of the BPS spectrum of D4 - D2 - D0 branes of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$

Almost Theorem (for any reasonable mathematical definition of the open invariants)(B.2019)

The refined BPS counts $\Omega_{d,r}(y)$ of (closed) D4-D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ are equal (up to simple factor) to Ooguri-Vafa open BPS counts on $Z = U \times \mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{P}^2 - E) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by the open invariants $N_{g,(d,r)}^{open}$.

- It is NOT an example of MNOP-like GW-DT correspondence, but a new kind of coherent sheaves/open Gromov-Witten correspondence.
- A dual description of IIA on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ is given by a M-theory on U and a M5-brane wrapped on T_b^2 . In IIA on X the BPS spectrum is given by coherent sheaves on X, whereas in the M-theory description, the BPS spectrum is given by open M2-branes with boundary on T_b^2 . Then, apply the twistorial description of [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] to describe the open M2-branes in terms of the open tolopogical string.

- Start with open holomorphic curves in U.
- *U* is hyperkähler: do some hyperkähler rotation. In some different complex structure, get *V* an elliptic fibration $W: V \rightarrow B$. Open holomorphic curves in *U* becomes open special Lagrangians.
- Suspension construction: S Calabi-Yau 3-fold uv = W, fibration in affine conics over V, degenerate over T². Open special Lagrangians in V lift to closed special Lagrangians in S.
- S is the mirror of local ℙ²! Apply homological mirror symmetry, get coherent sheaves on X = O_{ℙ²}(-3).

- Start with open holomorphic curves in U.
- *U* is hyperkähler: do some hyperkähler rotation. In some different complex structure, get *V* an elliptic fibration $W: V \rightarrow B$. Open holomorphic curves in *U* becomes open special Lagrangians.
- Suspension construction: S Calabi-Yau 3-fold uv = W, fibration in affine conics over V, degenerate over T². Open special Lagrangians in V lift to closed special Lagrangians in S.
- S is the mirror of local ℙ²! Apply homological mirror symmetry, get coherent sheaves on X = O_{ℙ²}(-3).

- Start with open holomorphic curves in U.
- U is hyperkähler: do some hyperkähler rotation. In some different complex structure, get V an elliptic fibration W: V → B. Open holomorphic curves in U becomes open special Lagrangians.
- Suspension construction: S Calabi-Yau 3-fold uv = W, fibration in affine conics over V, degenerate over T². Open special Lagrangians in V lift to closed special Lagrangians in S.
- S is the mirror of local ℙ²! Apply homological mirror symmetry, get coherent sheaves on X = O_{ℙ²}(-3).

- Start with open holomorphic curves in U.
- U is hyperkähler: do some hyperkähler rotation. In some different complex structure, get V an elliptic fibration W: V → B. Open holomorphic curves in U becomes open special Lagrangians.
- Suspension construction: S Calabi-Yau 3-fold uv = W, fibration in affine conics over V, degenerate over T². Open special Lagrangians in V lift to closed special Lagrangians in S.
- S is the mirror of local ℙ²! Apply homological mirror symmetry, get coherent sheaves on X = O_{ℙ²}(-3).

- Start with open holomorphic curves in U.
- U is hyperkähler: do some hyperkähler rotation. In some different complex structure, get V an elliptic fibration W: V → B. Open holomorphic curves in U becomes open special Lagrangians.
- Suspension construction: S Calabi-Yau 3-fold uv = W, fibration in affine conics over V, degenerate over T². Open special Lagrangians in V lift to closed special Lagrangians in S.
- S is the mirror of local ℙ²! Apply homological mirror symmetry, get coherent sheaves on X = O_{ℙ²}(-3).

- Following the chain of dualities, the base *B* of the Lagrangian torus fibration on *U* becomes moduli space of complex moduli on *S* and so should become the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$.
- More precisely, B is a 3 : 1 cover over the stringy Kähler moduli space of X = O_{P²}(-3): the 3 singular torus fibers correspond to the 3 lifts of the conifold point and the Z/3-orbifold point became smooth.
- Even more general correspondence: open invariants $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ with boundary on a general fiber T_b^2 should equal counts of BPS state $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$ with phase of the central charge equal to $\pi/2$ at the point *b* of the stringy Kähler moduli space.
- Jump of $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ and $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$: wall-crossing phenomenon for BPS spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4d theories, determined by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula.

- Following the chain of dualities, the base *B* of the Lagrangian torus fibration on *U* becomes moduli space of complex moduli on *S* and so should become the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$.
- More precisely, B is a 3 : 1 cover over the stringy Kähler moduli space of X = O_{P²}(-3): the 3 singular torus fibers correspond to the 3 lifts of the conifold point and the Z/3-orbifold point became smooth.
- Even more general correspondence: open invariants $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ with boundary on a general fiber T_b^2 should equal counts of BPS state $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$ with phase of the central charge equal to $\pi/2$ at the point *b* of the stringy Kähler moduli space.
- Jump of $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ and $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$: wall-crossing phenomenon for BPS spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4d theories, determined by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula.

- Following the chain of dualities, the base *B* of the Lagrangian torus fibration on *U* becomes moduli space of complex moduli on *S* and so should become the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$.
- More precisely, B is a 3 : 1 cover over the stringy Kähler moduli space of X = O_{P²}(-3): the 3 singular torus fibers correspond to the 3 lifts of the conifold point and the ℤ/3-orbifold point became smooth.
- Even more general correspondence: open invariants $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ with boundary on a general fiber T_b^2 should equal counts of BPS state $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y,b)$ with phase of the central charge equal to $\pi/2$ at the point b of the stringy Kähler moduli space.
- Jump of $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ and $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$: wall-crossing phenomenon for BPS spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4d theories, determined by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula.

- Following the chain of dualities, the base *B* of the Lagrangian torus fibration on *U* becomes moduli space of complex moduli on *S* and so should become the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$.
- More precisely, B is a 3 : 1 cover over the stringy Kähler moduli space of X = O_{P²}(-3): the 3 singular torus fibers correspond to the 3 lifts of the conifold point and the ℤ/3-orbifold point became smooth.
- Even more general correspondence: open invariants $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ with boundary on a general fiber T_b^2 should equal counts of BPS state $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$ with phase of the central charge equal to $\pi/2$ at the point *b* of the stringy Kähler moduli space.
- Jump of $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ and $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y,b)$: wall-crossing phenomenon for BPS spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4d theories, determined by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula.

- Following the chain of dualities, the base *B* of the Lagrangian torus fibration on *U* becomes moduli space of complex moduli on *S* and so should become the stringy Kähler moduli space of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$.
- More precisely, B is a 3 : 1 cover over the stringy Kähler moduli space of X = O_{P²}(-3): the 3 singular torus fibers correspond to the 3 lifts of the conifold point and the ℤ/3-orbifold point became smooth.
- Even more general correspondence: open invariants $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ with boundary on a general fiber T_b^2 should equal counts of BPS state $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$ with phase of the central charge equal to $\pi/2$ at the point *b* of the stringy Kähler moduli space.
- Jump of $N_{g,(r,d)}^{open}(b)$ and $\Omega_{(d,r)}(y, b)$: wall-crossing phenomenon for BPS spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ 4d theories, determined by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula.

- Tropicalize the holomorphic curves in U to graphs on the base B of the T²-fibration (g=0: Carl-Pumperla-Siebert, Prince, Gabele, g > 0: Bousseau), get a scattering diagram computing the Gromov-Witten invariants N^{open}_{σ(d,r)}(b) ("4d spectral network").
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed from the three initial discs emitted by the singularities. Combinatorial/tropical proof of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula for the open invariants (argued physically by [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] on the basis of the relation with quantum Chern-Simones theory).



- Tropicalize the holomorphic curves in U to graphs on the base B of the T²-fibration (g=0: Carl-Pumperla-Siebert, Prince, Gabele, g > 0: Bousseau), get a scattering diagram computing the Gromov-Witten invariants N^{open}_{g.(d,r)}(b) ("4d spectral network").
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed from the three initial discs emitted by the singularities. Combinatorial/tropical proof of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula for the open invariants (argued physically by [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] on the basis of the relation with quantum Chern-Simones theory).



- Tropicalize the holomorphic curves in U to graphs on the base B of the T²-fibration (g=0: Carl-Pumperla-Siebert, Prince, Gabele, g > 0: Bousseau), get a scattering diagram computing the Gromov-Witten invariants N^{open}_{g.(d,r)}(b) ("4d spectral network").
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed from the three initial discs emitted by the singularities. Combinatorial/tropical proof of the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula for the open invariants (argued physically by [Cecotti-Vafa, 2009] on the basis of the relation with quantum Chern-Simones theory).



Scattering diagram



- Main result (B. 2019): the scattering diagram can be embedded in the space of Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category $D_c^b Coh(K_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, such that the rays correspond to stability conditions for which there exists stable objects of phase $\pi/2$. Use coordinates on the stringy Kähler moduli space given by the real part of the central charges. Similar to attractor flows in supergravity [Denef,2001][Denef, Moore,2007].
- One key technical point: one needs to know that the stringy Kähler moduli space given by mirror symmetry indeed produces Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category [Bayer-Macri, 2009].
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula (known in the derived category by general Donaldson-Thomas theory) from the line bundles O_{P²}(n). New algorithm computing the D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum of X = O_{P²}(-3) in the large volume limit.
- Need to consider D4 even if only interested in D2-D0: going away from large volume, D2-D0 decay in $D4 \overline{D4}$.

- Main result (B. 2019): the scattering diagram can be embedded in the space of Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category $D_c^b Coh(K_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, such that the rays correspond to stability conditions for which there exists stable objects of phase $\pi/2$. Use coordinates on the stringy Kähler moduli space given by the real part of the central charges. Similar to attractor flows in supergravity [Denef,2001][Denef, Moore,2007].
- One key technical point: one needs to know that the stringy Kähler moduli space given by mirror symmetry indeed produces Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category [Bayer-Macri, 2009].
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula (known in the derived category by general Donaldson-Thomas theory) from the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n)$. New algorithm computing the D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit.
- Need to consider D4 even if only interested in D2-D0: going away from large volume, D2-D0 decay in $D4 \overline{D4}$.

- Main result (B. 2019): the scattering diagram can be embedded in the space of Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category D^b_cCoh(K_{P2}), such that the rays correspond to stability conditions for which there exists stable objects of phase π/2. Use coordinates on the stringy Kähler moduli space given by the real part of the central charges. Similar to attractor flows in supergravity [Denef,2001][Denef, Moore,2007].
- One key technical point: one needs to know that the stringy Kähler moduli space given by mirror symmetry indeed produces Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category [Bayer-Macri, 2009].
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula (known in the derived category by general Donaldson-Thomas theory) from the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n)$. New algorithm computing the D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit.
- Need to consider D4 even if only interested in D2-D0: going away from large volume, D2-D0 decay in $D4 \overline{D4}$.

- Main result (B. 2019): the scattering diagram can be embedded in the space of Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category D^b_cCoh(K_{P2}), such that the rays correspond to stability conditions for which there exists stable objects of phase π/2. Use coordinates on the stringy Kähler moduli space given by the real part of the central charges. Similar to attractor flows in supergravity [Denef,2001][Denef, Moore,2007].
- One key technical point: one needs to know that the stringy Kähler moduli space given by mirror symmetry indeed produces Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category [Bayer-Macri, 2009].
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula (known in the derived category by general Donaldson-Thomas theory) from the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n)$. New algorithm computing the D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit.
- Need to consider D4 even if only interested in D2-D0: going away from large volume, D2-D0 decay in $D4 \overline{D4}$.

- Main result (B. 2019): the scattering diagram can be embedded in the space of Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category D^b_cCoh(K_{P2}), such that the rays correspond to stability conditions for which there exists stable objects of phase π/2. Use coordinates on the stringy Kähler moduli space given by the real part of the central charges. Similar to attractor flows in supergravity [Denef,2001][Denef, Moore,2007].
- One key technical point: one needs to know that the stringy Kähler moduli space given by mirror symmetry indeed produces Bridgeland stability conditions on the derived category [Bayer-Macri, 2009].
- Everything algorithmically reconstructed by the Kontsevich-Soibelman wall-crossing formula (known in the derived category by general Donaldson-Thomas theory) from the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n)$. New algorithm computing the D4-D2-D0 BPS spectrum of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ in the large volume limit.
- Need to consider D4 even if only interested in D2-D0: going away from large volume, D2-D0 decay in $D4 \overline{D4}$.

- (Prince) Triangles in the scattering diagrams are indexed by Markov triples (integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$). Discs potential associated to Vianna's monotone tori can be extracted from the scattering diagram.
- (B.) Viewing the scattering diagrams as living in the space of stability conditions, the sides of the triangles correspond exactly to triples of exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 .
- The previous story provides an explanation for the common appearance of the Markov triples in a priori two distinct topics
 - Lagrangians, discs counting, cluster mutations, mirror symmetry for P² (more precisely P² - E)
 - Exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 .

- (Prince) Triangles in the scattering diagrams are indexed by Markov triples (integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$). Discs potential associated to Vianna's monotone tori can be extracted from the scattering diagram.
- (B.) Viewing the scattering diagrams as living in the space of stability conditions, the sides of the triangles correspond exactly to triples of exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on P².
- The previous story provides an explanation for the common appearance of the Markov triples in a priori two distinct topics:
 - Lagrangians, discs counting, cluster mutations, mirror symmetry for P² (more precisely P² - E)
 - Exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 .

- (Prince) Triangles in the scattering diagrams are indexed by Markov triples (integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$). Discs potential associated to Vianna's monotone tori can be extracted from the scattering diagram.
- (B.) Viewing the scattering diagrams as living in the space of stability conditions, the sides of the triangles correspond exactly to triples of exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on P².
- The previous story provides an explanation for the common appearance of the Markov triples in a priori two distinct topics:
 - Lagrangians, discs counting, cluster mutations, mirror symmetry for P² (more precisely P² - E)
 - Exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 .

- (Prince) Triangles in the scattering diagrams are indexed by Markov triples (integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$). Discs potential associated to Vianna's monotone tori can be extracted from the scattering diagram.
- (B.) Viewing the scattering diagrams as living in the space of stability conditions, the sides of the triangles correspond exactly to triples of exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on P².
- The previous story provides an explanation for the common appearance of the Markov triples in a priori two distinct topics:
 - Lagrangians, discs counting, cluster mutations, mirror symmetry for P² (more precisely P² − E)
 - Exceptional objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^2 .

I have sketched above the proof of:

Theorem (B, 2019)

$$\sum_{g\geq 0} \frac{d}{dt} F_g^{NS} \hbar^{2g-1} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{g\geq 0} \sum_{d\geq 1} (-1)^{d-1} N_{g,(d,0)}^{open} \hbar^{2g-1} e^{-dt}$$

In other words, the refined BPS counts $\Omega_d(y)$ of (closed) D2-D0 branes on $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ are equal (up to simple factor) to Ooguri-Vafa open BPS counts on $Z = U \times \mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{P}^2 - E) \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

To prove the quasimodularity and refined holomorphic equation for F_g^{NS} , it remains to work on the open topological string side. There is some hope because the \hbar -expansion is now the geometric genus expansion of the topological string.

- Degeneration argument. Degeneration of P² to the normal cone of E. Line bundle defined by the family of divisors E. General fiber:
 X = O_{P²}(-3) = O(-E). Special fiber: P² × A¹, glued along E × C¹ to a non-trivial line bundle over P(N_{EIP²} ⊕ O).
- Localization on the bubble P(N_{E|P²} ⊕ O): reduction to equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of N_{E|P²} ⊕ N[∨]_{E|P²} → E with stationary descendent insertions.
- Use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (in Coates-Givental form) to reduce to Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions.

- Degeneration argument. Degeneration of P² to the normal cone of E. Line bundle defined by the family of divisors E. General fiber: X = O_{P²}(-3) = O(-E). Special fiber: P² × A¹, glued along E × C¹ to a non-trivial line bundle over P(N_{EIP²} ⊕ O).
- Localization on the bubble P(N_{E|P²} ⊕ O): reduction to equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of N_{E|P²} ⊕ N[∨]_{E|P²} → E with stationary descendent insertions.
- Use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (in Coates-Givental form) to reduce to Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions.

- Degeneration argument. Degeneration of P² to the normal cone of E. Line bundle defined by the family of divisors E. General fiber: X = O_{P²}(-3) = O(-E). Special fiber: P² × A¹, glued along E × C¹ to a non-trivial line bundle over P(N_{E|P²} ⊕ O).
- Localization on the bubble $\mathbb{P}(N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O})$: reduction to equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of $N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2}^{\vee} \to E$ with stationary descendent insertions.
- Use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (in Coates-Givental form) to reduce to Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions.

- Degeneration argument. Degeneration of P² to the normal cone of E. Line bundle defined by the family of divisors E. General fiber:
 X = O_{P²}(-3) = O(-E). Special fiber: P² × A¹, glued along E × C¹ to a non-trivial line bundle over P(N_{EIP²} ⊕ O).
- Localization on the bubble $\mathbb{P}(N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O})$: reduction to equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of $N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus N_{E|\mathbb{P}^2}^{\vee} \to E$ with stationary descendent insertions.
- Use Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (in Coates-Givental form) to reduce to Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions.

• Upshot: formula computing open Gromov-Witten invariants $N_{g,(d,0)}^{open}$ of (\mathbb{P}^2, E) in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ and the elliptic curve E.

$$F_{g} = (-1)^{g} F_{g}^{NS} + \sum_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ \mathbf{a} = (a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{n} \\ (a_{j}, g_{j}) \neq (0, 0), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} = 2h-2}} \frac{(-1)^{h-1} F_{h, \mathbf{a}}^{E}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{g})|} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{g_{j}-1} D^{a_{j}+2} F_{g_{j}}^{NS}.$$

- *F*^E_{h,a}: Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions, known in closed form by [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2002]
- New formula relating the unrefined topological string F_g and the NS limit F_g^{NS} of the refined topological string (conjecturally valid at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

• Upshot: formula computing open Gromov-Witten invariants $N_{g,(d,0)}^{open}$ of (\mathbb{P}^2, E) in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ and the elliptic curve E.

$$F_{g} = (-1)^{g} F_{g}^{NS} + \sum_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ a = (a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n} \\ (a_{j}, g_{j}) \neq (0, 0), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} = 2h-2}} \frac{(-1)^{h-1} F_{h,a}^{E}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{g})|} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{g_{j}-1} D^{a_{j}+2} F_{g_{j}}^{NS}.$$

- *F*^E_{h,a}: Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions, known in closed form by [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2002]
- New formula relating the unrefined topological string F_g and the NS limit F_g^{NS} of the refined topological string (conjecturally valid at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

• Upshot: formula computing open Gromov-Witten invariants $N_{g,(d,0)}^{open}$ of (\mathbb{P}^2, E) in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants of $X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)$ and the elliptic curve E.

$$F_{g} = (-1)^{g} F_{g}^{NS} + \sum_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ \mathbf{a} = (a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{n} \\ (a_{j}, g_{j}) \neq (0, 0), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} = 2h-2}} \frac{(-1)^{h-1} F_{h, \mathbf{a}}^{E}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{g})|} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{g_{j}-1} D^{a_{j}+2} F_{g_{j}}^{NS}.$$

- *F*^E_{h,a}: Gromov-Witten theory of *E* with stationary descendent insertions, known in closed form by [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2002]
- New formula relating the unrefined topological string F_g and the NS limit F_g^{NS} of the refined topological string (conjecturally valid at least for all local del Pezzo surfaces).

- Use quasimodularity [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2003] and holomorphic anomaly equation [Oberdieck-Pixton 2017] for Gromov-Witten invariants of the elliptic curve
- Use quasimodularity and holomorphic anomaly equation for Gromov-Witten invariants of X = O_{P²}(-3) [Fang-Liu-Zhong, 2016] [Lho-Pandharipande,2017][Coates-Iritani, 2018].
- Slightly miraculous combination of these modularity results gives the desired result (the SL(2, Z) quasimodularity of the elliptic curve needs to become Γ₁(3) quasimodularity after mirror map).

- Use quasimodularity [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2003] and holomorphic anomaly equation [Oberdieck-Pixton 2017] for Gromov-Witten invariants of the elliptic curve
- Use quasimodularity and holomorphic anomaly equation for Gromov-Witten invariants of X = O_{P²}(-3) [Fang-Liu-Zhong, 2016] [Lho-Pandharipande,2017][Coates-Iritani, 2018].
- Slightly miraculous combination of these modularity results gives the desired result (the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ quasimodularity of the elliptic curve needs to become $\Gamma_1(3)$ quasimodularity after mirror map).

- Use quasimodularity [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2003] and holomorphic anomaly equation [Oberdieck-Pixton 2017] for Gromov-Witten invariants of the elliptic curve
- Use quasimodularity and holomorphic anomaly equation for Gromov-Witten invariants of X = O_{P²}(-3) [Fang-Liu-Zhong, 2016] [Lho-Pandharipande,2017][Coates-Iritani, 2018].
- Slightly miraculous combination of these modularity results gives the desired result (the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ quasimodularity of the elliptic curve needs to become $\Gamma_1(3)$ quasimodularity after mirror map).

- Use quasimodularity [Okounkov-Pandharipande, 2003] and holomorphic anomaly equation [Oberdieck-Pixton 2017] for Gromov-Witten invariants of the elliptic curve
- Use quasimodularity and holomorphic anomaly equation for Gromov-Witten invariants of X = O_{P²}(-3) [Fang-Liu-Zhong, 2016] [Lho-Pandharipande,2017][Coates-Iritani, 2018].
- Slightly miraculous combination of these modularity results gives the desired result (the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ quasimodularity of the elliptic curve needs to become $\Gamma_1(3)$ quasimodularity after mirror map).
Thank you for your attention!