

6.3 Orthogonal Projections

Suppose $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$. We would like to write \mathbf{u} as a scalar multiple of \mathbf{v} plus a vector \mathbf{w} orthogonal to \mathbf{v} . To discover how to write \mathbf{u} as a scalar multiple of \mathbf{v} plus a vector orthogonal to \mathbf{v} , let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ denote a scalar. Then

$$\mathbf{u} = a\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{u} - a\mathbf{v}).$$

Thus we need to choose a so that \mathbf{v} is orthogonal to $(\mathbf{u} - a\mathbf{v})$. In other words, we want

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{u} - a\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle - a\|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

The equation above shows that we should choose a to be $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle / \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$, provided that $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Making this choice of a , we can write

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v} + \left(\mathbf{u} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2} \mathbf{v} \right). \quad (1)$$

It is easy to verify that the equation above writes \mathbf{u} as a scalar multiple of \mathbf{v} plus a vector orthogonal to \mathbf{v} . Suppose U is a subspace of V . Each vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w},$$

where $\mathbf{u} \in U$ and $\mathbf{w} \in U^\perp$. We use this decomposition to define an operator on V , denoted P_U (in the textbook, proj_U), called the *orthogonal projection* of V onto U . For $\mathbf{v} \in V$, we define $P_U \mathbf{v}$ to be the vector \mathbf{u} in the decomposition above.

It is easy to verify that P_U is an operator that has the following properties:

- $\text{range } P_U = U$;
- $\text{null } P_U = U^\perp$;
- $\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v} \in U^\perp$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in V$;
- $P_U^2 = P_U$;
- $\|P_U \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

Theorem 8 (The Orthogonal Decomposition Theorem). *Let W be a subspace of V . Then each $\mathbf{y} \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form*

$$\mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{z} \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is in W and \mathbf{z} is in W^\perp . In fact, if $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$ is any orthogonal basis for W , then

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}_p \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{u}_p \rangle} \mathbf{u}_p \quad (3)$$

and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}$.

If $\mathbf{y} \in W = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$, then $P_W \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}$. Furthermore, if $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis of W , then

$$P_W \mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{e}_m \rangle \mathbf{e}_m \quad (4)$$

for every $\mathbf{y} \in V$.

Theorem 9 (The Best Approximation Theorem). *Suppose U is a subspace of V and $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Then*

$$\|\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|$$

for every $\mathbf{u} \in U$. Furthermore, if $\mathbf{u} \in U$ and the inequality above is an equality, then $\mathbf{u} = P_U \mathbf{v}$.

In other words, $P_U \mathbf{v}$ is the closest point in U to \mathbf{v} . The vector $P_U \mathbf{v}$ is called the best approximation to \mathbf{v} by elements of U .

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in U$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|P_U \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2 \quad (5)$$

$$= \|(\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v}) + (P_U \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})\|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$= \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2, \quad (7)$$

where (5) comes from the Pythagorean Theorem, which applies because $\mathbf{v} - P_U \mathbf{v} \in U^\perp$ and $P_U \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \in U$. Taking square roots give the desired inequality.

Our inequality is an equality if and only if (4) is an equality, which happens if and only if $\|P_U \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\| = 0$, which happens if and only if $\mathbf{u} = P_U \mathbf{v}$. \square

The Best Approximation theorem is often combined with the formula (4) to compute explicit solutions to minimization problems.

Theorem 10. *If $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$ is an orthonormal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , then If $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_p]$, then*

$$P_W \mathbf{y} = UU^T \mathbf{y} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8)$$

Example 1. Let $W = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ where $\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Write $\mathbf{y} =$

$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ as the sum of a vector in W and a vector orthogonal to W .

Example 2. Find the closest point to $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$ in the subspace W spanned by $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$.