## 6 Orthogonality and Least Squares

## 6.1 Inner Product, Length, and Orthogonality

Recall that we may think of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as arrows with initial point at the origin. The length of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is called the *norm* of  $\mathbf{x}$ , denoted  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ . Thus for  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$
. Similarly, for  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$ . Even though we

cannot draw pictures in higher dimensions, the generalization to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is obvious; we define the norm of

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ by }$$

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}.$$

The norm is not linear on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To inject linearity into the discussion, we introduce the dot product.

If **u** and **v** are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we regard **u** and **v** as  $n \times 1$  matrices. The transpose of **u** is a  $1 \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{u}^T$ . Then the product  $\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{v}$  is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, which we write as a single number (a scalar) without brackets.

For  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the dot product of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ , is defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n,$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Note that the dot product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a number, not a vector. Obviously,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , with equality if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Also, if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is fixed, then clearly the map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that sends  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}$  is linear.

An inner product is a generalization of the dot product. An inner product on V is a function that takes each ordered pair  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  of elements in V to a number  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  and has the following properties (presented in the textbook as Theorem 1):

positivity  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ 

definiteness  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \iff \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ 

additivity 
$$\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$$
 for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ 

homogeneity  $\langle a\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = a \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ 

symmetry 
$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$$
 for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ 

An inner product space is a vector space V along with an inner product on V.

The most important example of an inner product space is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is the dot product. As another example of an inner product space, consider the vector space  $\mathbb{P}_m$  of all polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  and degree at most m. We can define an inner product on  $\mathbb{P}_m$  by

$$\langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \rangle = \int_0^1 \mathbf{p}(x) \mathbf{q}(x) dx.$$
 (1)

In the definition of an inner product, the conditions of additivity and homogeneity can be combined into a requirement of linearity. More precisely, for each fixed  $\mathbf{w} \in V$ , the function that takes  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  is a linear map from V to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Because every linear map takes  $\mathbf{0}$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ , we must have

$$\langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$$

for every  $\mathbf{w} \in V$ . Thus by the symmetry property we also have

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{0} \rangle = 0.$$

For  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , we define the *norm* of  $\mathbf{v}$ , denoted  $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ , by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$$

An example is the norm defined for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at the beginning of this section. As another example, if  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_m$  with inner product given by (1), then

$$\|\mathbf{p}\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 |\mathbf{p}(x)|^2 dx}.$$

Note that  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , because  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . Another property of norm is that  $\|a\mathbf{v}\| = |a| \|\mathbf{v}\|$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Here's the proof:

$$||a\mathbf{v}||^2 = \langle a\mathbf{v}, a\mathbf{v} \rangle$$
$$= a\langle \mathbf{v}, a\mathbf{v} \rangle$$
$$= aa\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$$
$$= |a|^2 ||\mathbf{v}||^2;$$

taking square roots now gives the desired equality. This proof illustrates a general principle: working with norms squared is usually easier than working directly with norms.

Two vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$  are said to be *orthogonal* if  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ . Note that the order of the vectors does not matter because of symmetry. Clearly  $\mathbf{0}$  is orthogonal to every vector. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{0}$  is the only vector that is orthogonal to itself.

The next theorem is over 2,500 years old.

**Theorem 2** (Pythagorean Theorem). If **u**, **v** are orthogonal vectors in V, then

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$
 (2)

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  are orthogonal vectors in V. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Note that the converse of Pythagorean Theorem holds in real inner-product spaces, but not in complex inner-product spaces, where  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 2 \text{Re} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ .

If U is a subset of V, then the *orthogonal complement* of U, denoted  $U^{\perp}$ , is the set of all vectors in V that are orthogonal to every vector in U:

$$U^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in V : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in U \}.$$

We may verify that  $U^{\perp}$  is always a subspace of V, that  $V^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and that  $\{\mathbf{0}\}^{\perp} = V$ . Also note that if  $U_1 \subset U_2$ , then  $U_1^{\perp} \supset U_2^{\perp}$ .

**Definition.** For  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the distance between  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , denoted  $\operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ , is the length of the vector  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$ :

$$dist(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The orthogonal complement of the row space of A is the null space of A, and the orthogonal complement of the column space of A is the null spac of  $A^T$ :

$$(\operatorname{Row} A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Nul} A \quad and \quad (\operatorname{Col} A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Nul} A^{T}$$

Examples.