

# Equimorphism invariants for scattered linear orderings.

Antonio Montalbán.

SouthEastern Logic Symposium  
April 2006

# Scattered linear orderings

A *linear ordering* (a.k.a. total ordering) is a structure  $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq)$ , where  $\leq$  is a transitive, reflexive and antisymmetric binary relation where every two elements are comparable. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  *embeds* into  $\mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We write  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ .

**Def:**  $\mathcal{L}$  is *scattered* if it doesn't contain a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem:** [Hausdorff '08]

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the smallest class of linear orderings such that

- $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{S}$ ;
- if  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{S}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{S}$ ; and
- if  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal and  $\{\mathcal{A}_\gamma : \gamma \in \kappa\} \subseteq \mathbb{S}$ , then
$$\sum_{\gamma \in \kappa} \mathcal{A}_i \in \mathbb{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \kappa^*} \mathcal{A}_i \in \mathbb{S}.$$

Then,  $\mathbb{S}$  is the class of **scattered** linear orderings.

## Definition:

- Given a l.o.  $\mathcal{L}$ , we define another l.o.  $\mathcal{L}'$  by identifying the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  which have finitely many elements in between.
- Then we define  $\mathcal{L}^0 = \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\alpha+1} = (\mathcal{L}^\alpha)'$ , and take direct limits when  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.
- $\text{rk}(\mathcal{L})$ , the *Hausdorff rank* of  $\mathcal{L}$ , is the least  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$  is finite.

**Examples:**  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{N}) = \text{rk}(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + \cdots) = 2$ ,  
 $\text{rk}(\omega^\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$ .

## Observation:

- 1 if  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \text{rk}(\mathcal{B})$ ;
- 2  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) = \max(\text{rk}(\mathcal{A}), \text{rk}(\mathcal{B}))$ ;
- 3  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathcal{B})$ ;
- 4  $\mathcal{A}$  is scattered  $\Leftrightarrow$  for some  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$  is finite  $\Leftrightarrow \text{rk}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \infty$ .

**Theorem:** [Fraïssé's Conjecture '48; Laver '71]

The scattered linear orderings form a Well-Quasi-Ordering with respect to embeddability.

(i.e., there are no infinite descending sequences and no infinite antichains.)

Moreover, Laver proved that the class of  $\sigma$ -scattered linear orderings (countable union of scattered linear orderings) is Better-quasi-ordered with respect to embeddability.

**Question:** What is the proof theoretic strength of Fraïssé's Conjecture?

# The structure of the scattered linear orderings

**Definition:** A scattered  $\mathcal{L}$  is *indecomposable* if  
whenever  $\mathcal{L} \preceq \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ , either  $\mathcal{L} \preceq \mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{L} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ .

**Example:**  $\omega^*$  and  $\omega^3$  are indecomposable, but  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not.

**Theorem:** [Laver '71] Every scattered linear ordering can be written as a **finite sum** of indecomposable ones.

**Theorem:** [Fraïssé's Conjecture '48; Laver '71]  
Every indecomposable linear ordering can be written either as a  $\kappa$ -sum or as a  $\kappa^*$ -sum of indecomposable l.o.'s of smaller rank, for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ .

# Linear orderings - Equimorphism types

We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are *equimorphic* if  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \preceq \mathcal{A}$ .  
We denote this by  $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ .

All the properties mentioned so far are preserved under equimorphisms.  
(scattered, indecomposable, rank,  $\kappa$ -sums, products...)

**Notation:** Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the class of equimorphism types of scattered linear orderings.

Let  $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{S}$  be the class of equimorphism types of indecomposable linear orderings.

To each  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{S}$  we will assign a *finite object with ordinal labels*,  $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{L})$ , such that

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_n$  is a *minimal decomposition* of  $\mathcal{L}$  if each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is indecomposable and  $n$  is minimal possible.

**Theorem:** [Jullien '69] Every scattered linear has a **unique** minimal decomposition, up to equimorphism.

To each  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{H}$  we will assign an invariant  $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{A})$  which is a **finite tree with labels in  $\mathcal{O}n \times \{+, -\}$**  such that

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \iff \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Then, we will then define

$$\mathbf{Inv}(\mathcal{L}) = \langle \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{A}_0), \dots, \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{A}_n) \rangle.$$

# The structure of the indecomposables.

## Definition:

$\mathcal{L}$  is *indecomposable to the right* if whenever  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ .

If this is the case we let  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = +$ .

$\mathcal{L}$  is *indecomposable to the left* if whenever  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \preceq \mathcal{A}$ .

If this is the case we let  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = -$ .

**Theorem**[Jullien 69] Every scattered indecomposable linear ordering is indecomposable either to the right or to the left.

**Definition:** Given a countable ordinal  $\alpha$ , let

$$\mathbb{H}_{\alpha} = \{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H} : \text{rk}(\mathcal{L}) < \alpha\}.$$

**Definition:** Given  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H}$ , let  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{H} : 1 + \mathcal{A} + 1 \prec \mathcal{L}\}$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\text{rk}(\mathcal{L})}$  and that  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is an *ideal*.



## Theorem

For  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}} = \epsilon_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

### Idea of the proof:

Let  $\kappa = \text{cf}(\text{rk}(\mathcal{A})) \vee \omega$ .

**Lemma:**  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  has a cofinal subset of size  $\kappa$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{A}_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a set cofinal in  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where each member appears  $\kappa$  many times in the sequence.

**Lemma:**  $\mathcal{A} \sim \sum_{\xi \in \kappa^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}}} \mathcal{A}_{\xi}$ .

**Lemma:**  $\kappa = \text{cf}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}) \vee \omega$ .

So, we get that  $\sum_{\xi \in \kappa^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}}} \mathcal{A}_{\xi}$  depends only on  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

# Finite Invariants

**Key observation:** For every ideal  $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{H}_\alpha$ ,

let  $X_{\mathbb{I}}^\alpha$  be the set of minimal elements of  $\mathbb{H}_\alpha \setminus \mathbb{I}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{H}$  is a **WQO**,

$X_{\mathbb{I}}^\alpha$  is finite and  $\forall \mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H}_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{I} \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{A} \in X_{\mathbb{I}}^\alpha (\mathcal{A} \not\prec \mathcal{L}))$ .

## Definition

Given  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H}$  of rank  $\alpha$ , we define a finite tree  $T(\mathcal{L})$ :

Let  $X_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}}}^\alpha = \{\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k\}$  and let

$$T(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{array}{c} \langle \epsilon_{\mathcal{L}}, \alpha \rangle \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ T(\mathcal{A}_0) \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad T(\mathcal{A}_k) \end{array}$$

Recall that  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = +$  if  $\mathcal{L}$  is indec. to the right and  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}} = -$  otherwise,  
and that  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}} = \{\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{H} : 1 + \mathcal{A} + 1 \prec \mathcal{L}\}$

**Observation:** For  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow T(\mathcal{A}) = T(\mathcal{B})$ .

# Comparison of invariants for $\mathbb{H}$

The key point is that for  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$  if and only if

- either  $\tau(\mathcal{A}) \preceq \tau(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,
- or  $\tau(\mathcal{A}) \not\preceq \tau(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .      where  $\tau(\mathcal{L}) = (\text{cf}(\text{rk}(\mathcal{L}) \vee \omega)^{\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}}}$

## Definition

For  $S = [\langle \alpha, \epsilon_S \rangle; S_0, \dots, S_{l-1}]$  and  $T = [\langle \beta, \epsilon_T \rangle; T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}]$   
we let  $S \preceq T$  if,

- either  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $\tau(S) \preceq \tau(T)$  and  
 $\forall i < k (\text{rk}(T_i) \geq \alpha \vee \exists j < l (S_j \preceq T_i))$ ,
- or  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\tau(S) \not\preceq \tau(T)$  and  $\forall i < k (T_i \not\preceq S)$ .

..., where  $\text{rk}(T) = \beta$  and  $\tau(T) = \text{cf}(\beta)^{\epsilon_T}$ .

## Proposition

For  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $T(\mathcal{A}) \preceq T(\mathcal{B})$ .

# Comparison of invariants for $\mathbb{S}$

**Key point:** If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_l$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{B}_k$  then

$$\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_{i_1-1} \preceq \mathcal{B}_0 \quad \& \quad \dots \quad \& \quad \mathcal{A}_{i_k} + \dots + \mathcal{A}_l \preceq \mathcal{B}_k,$$

for some  $0 = i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq i_{k+1} = l + 1$ .

## Definition

Now, given  $S = \langle S_0, \dots, S_l \rangle$  and  $T = \langle T_0, \dots, T_k \rangle$  we let  $S \preceq T$  if

$$\bigvee_{0=i_0 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq i_{k+1}=l+1} \left( \bigwedge_{n \leq k} \langle S_{i_n}, S_{i_n+1}, \dots, S_{i_{n+1}-1} \rangle \preceq T_n \right).$$

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then,  $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) \preceq \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ .

**Def:** Let  $\mathcal{Tr} = \{T(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H}\}$  and  $\mathcal{In} = \{\text{Inv}(\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{S}\}$ .

We are interested in characterizing  $\mathcal{Tr}$  and  $\mathcal{In}$ .

**Obs:**  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \dots + \mathcal{A}_n$  is a minimal decomposition of  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{S}$ , iff for no  $i < n$  we have

- $\mathcal{A}_i + \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \sim \mathcal{A}_i$  or
- $\mathcal{A}_i + \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \sim \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ .

**Obs:** For  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{H}$  of rank  $\alpha$ , we have

- $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\alpha}$  has elements of arbitrary large rank  $< \alpha$ .
- $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{L}}$  has the same cofinality as  $\alpha$ , if infinite.

# Recognizing $\mathcal{In}$ , the invariants for $\mathbb{S}$ .

**Obs:**  $\mathcal{A}_i + \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \sim \mathcal{A}_i$  iff  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is indec. to the left and  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1} \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $T = \langle T_0, \dots, T_k \rangle \in \mathcal{Tr}^{<\omega}$ . Then,  $T \in \mathcal{In}$  if and only if for no  $i < k$  we have that

- 1 either  $\epsilon_i = -$  and  $T_{i+1} \in \mathcal{I}_{T_i}$ ,
- 2 or  $\epsilon_{i+1} = +$  and  $T_i \in \mathcal{I}_{T_{i+1}}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{I}_T = \mathcal{I}_{T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}}^\alpha$ .

# Recognizing $\mathcal{Tr}$ , the invariants for $\mathbb{H}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Tr}_\alpha = \{T \in \mathcal{Tr} : \text{rk}(T) < \alpha\}$ .

Suppose we already know how to recognize the elements of  $\mathcal{Tr}_\alpha$ .

## Proposition

A tree  $T = [\langle \alpha, \epsilon \rangle; T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}]$  with labels in  $\mathcal{O}n \times \{+, -\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Tr}$  if and only if

- 1 for each  $i$ ,  $T_i \in \mathcal{Tr}_\alpha$ ;
- 2  $T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}$  are mutually  $\preceq$ -incomparable;
- 3 for no  $i$ ,  $\tau(T_i) \prec \tau(T)$ .
- 4  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{I}_{T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}}^\alpha) = \alpha$ ;
- 5  $\text{cf}(\mathcal{I}_{T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}}^\alpha) \vee \omega = \text{cf}(\alpha) \vee \omega$ ;

where  $\mathcal{I}_{T_0, \dots, T_{k-1}}^\alpha = \{S \in \mathcal{Tr}_\alpha : \text{rk}(S) < \alpha \ \& \ \forall i < k (T_i \not\preceq S)\}$ .

Given an ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{Tr}$ , let  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{I}) = \sup\{\text{rk}(T) + 1 : T \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .

So, to be able to recognize the elements of  $\mathcal{I}n$  we need to recognize the ideals  $\mathbb{I} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_\alpha$  of rank  $\alpha$ .

Laver proved that  $\mathbb{H}$  is a better-quasi-ordered (BQO), a stronger notion than wqo.

**Remark:** The set of ideals of a BQO is also a BQO.

So, the ideals of  $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$  form, in particular, a WQO.

Hence, there exists a finite set of minimal ideals of  $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$  of rank  $\alpha$ .

If we found them we could tell whether an ideal has rank  $\alpha$  by comparing it with these finitely many ideals.



# Minimal equimorphism types

**Theorem:** [Hausdorff] Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal and  $\mathcal{L}$  a scattered linear ordering. Then

$$\kappa \leq |\mathcal{L}| \Leftrightarrow \text{either } \kappa \preceq \mathcal{L} \text{ or } \kappa^* \preceq \mathcal{L}.$$

Equivalently:  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa^*$  are the minimal linear orderings of rank  $\geq \kappa$ .

For each  $\alpha$  we want to find the minimal linear ord. of rank  $\alpha$ .

(From these we can get the minimal ideals of  $\mathbb{H}_{\alpha+1}$  of rank  $\alpha$ .)

# Minimal linear orderings of rank $\beta = \omega^\delta$

**Consider** an ordinal  $\beta$  of the form  $\omega^\delta$ ,  
and let  $\{\beta_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}$  be an increasing sequence cofinal in  $\beta$ .

**Note** that  $\omega^\beta = \sum_{\xi \in \lambda} \omega^{\beta_\xi}$ .

**Def:** Given  $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \in \{+, -\}$ , let  $\omega^{\langle \beta, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle} = \sum_{\xi \in \lambda^{\epsilon_1}} (\omega^{\beta_\xi})^{\epsilon_0}$ .

**Examples:**  $\omega^{\langle \omega, +, + \rangle} \sim \mathbf{1} + \omega + \omega^2 + \dots = \omega^\omega$   
and  $\omega^{\langle \omega, +, - \rangle} \sim \dots + \omega^2 + \omega + \mathbf{1}$ .

(Up to equimorphism,  $\omega^{\langle \beta, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle}$  doesn't depend on the cofinal sequence.)

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $\beta = \omega^\delta$ . Then

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{L}) \geq \beta \iff (\exists \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \in \{+, -\}) \omega^{\langle \beta, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle} \preceq \mathcal{L}$$

So  $\mathbb{F}_\beta = \{\omega^{\langle \beta, +, + \rangle}, \omega^{\langle \beta, +, - \rangle}, \omega^{\langle \beta, -, + \rangle}, \omega^{\langle \beta, -, - \rangle}\}$  is the set of minimal equimorphism types of rank  $\beta$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $\alpha$  have Cantor Normal Form  $\omega^{\alpha_0} + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{L}) \geq \alpha$  IFF there exist  $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{2n+1} \in \{+, -\}$  such that

$$\omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_0}, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle} \cdot \omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_1}, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \rangle} \cdot \dots \cdot \omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_n}, \epsilon_{2n}, \epsilon_{2n+1} \rangle} \preceq \mathcal{L}.$$

So  $\mathbb{F}_\alpha = \{\omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_0}, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle} \cdot \dots \cdot \omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_n}, \epsilon_{2n}, \epsilon_{2n+1} \rangle} : \epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{2n+1} \in \{+, -\}\}$  is the set of minimal equimorphism types of rank  $\alpha$ .

# Computing representatives for members of $\mathcal{I}$

We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is *finitely alternating* if it is of the form

$$\omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_0}, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 \rangle} \cdot \dots \cdot \omega^{\langle \omega^{\alpha_n}, \epsilon_{2n}, \epsilon_{2n+1} \rangle}.$$

## Theorem

We find:

- 1 The set of minimal elements of  $\mathbb{H}_\alpha \setminus \mathbb{I}_\mathcal{L}$ , for each finitely alternating  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is a set of finitely alternating linear orderings.
- 2 The invariant  $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{L})$  for each finitely alternating  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- 3 The set of minimal ideals of  $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$  of rank  $\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha$ . which is a set of finitely alternating linear orderings.

**Corollary:** We can decide whether an ideal in  $\mathcal{T}r_\alpha$  has rank  $\alpha$  via a finite algorithm that compares ordinals and their cofinalities.

(To decide whether a tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}r$  we still need to be able to compute cofinalities of ideals of  $\mathcal{T}r_\alpha$ .)

# An application

## Corollary

*For every computable ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $(\mathcal{I}n_\alpha, \preceq)$  is computable.*

## Corollary

*For every  $\alpha < \omega_1^{CK}$ ,  
there exists a computable transformation  $\text{lin}$  that assigns a linear ordering  $\text{lin}(a)$  to each  $a \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ , such that  $\text{inv}(\text{lin}(a)) = a$ .*

## Theorem

*Every linear ordering of Hausdorff rank  $< \omega_1^{CK}$  is equimorphic to a computable one.*

## Corollary

*Every hyperarithmetical linear ordering is equimorphic to a computable one.*

**Question:** Given a tree with labels in  $\mathcal{O}n \times \{+, -\}$ , is it possible to decide if it belongs to  $\mathcal{T}r$  via a finite manipulation of the symbols in the tree, using some basic operations on ordinals?

**Question:** What about computing the invariant of the product of two linear orderings?

**Definition:** We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\sigma$ -scattered if it is a countable union of scattered linear orderings.

Versions of all of Laver's results were proved for this class, including Fraïssé's conjecture.

**Question:** Can we define invariants of this sort for the class of  $\sigma$ -scattered linear ordering?

**Question:** Is it consistent that the class of  $\sigma$ -scattered linear ordering is the well-founded part of the whole class of linear orderings?