

The jump of a structure.

Antonio Montalbán.
U. of Chicago

Sofia – June 2011

Basic definitions in computability

For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, A is *B -computable* ($A \leq_T B$) if there is a computable procedure that answers “ $n \in A?$ ”, using B as an *oracle*.

We impose **no** restriction on time or space.

A is *Turing-equivalent* to B ($A \equiv_T B$) if $A \leq_T B$ and $B \leq_T A$.

Def: A is *B -computably enumerable* (*B -c.e.*) if there is a B -computable procedure that lists the elements of A .

Obs: A is *B -computable* $\iff A$ and $(\mathbb{N} \setminus A)$ are both *B -c.e.*

The join

For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $A \oplus B = \{2n : n \in A\} \cup \{2n + 1 : n \in B\}$.

For $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \{\langle n, i \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in A_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$.

Via an effective bijection $\mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$, we view $\bigoplus_n A_n$ as $\subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

The Turing Jump

We define the *jump* of a set A :

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \{ \ulcorner p \urcorner : p \text{ is a program that halts with oracle } A \} \\ &\equiv_T \{ \ulcorner \varphi \urcorner : \varphi \text{ is a quantifier-free formula s.t. } (\mathbb{N}, A) \models \exists x \varphi(x) \} \\ &\equiv_T \bigoplus_e W_e^A. \text{ (where } W_0^A, W_1^A, \dots \text{ is an effective list of all } A\text{-c.e. sets)} \\ A' &\text{ is } \mathbf{A}\text{-c.e.-complete.} \end{aligned}$$

Properties: For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$,

- $A \leq_T B$ then $A' \leq_T B'$,
- $A \leq_T A'$, but $A' \not\equiv_T A$.

Thm: (Jump inversion theorem, [Friedberg 57])

If $A \geq_T 0'$, then there exists B such that $B' \equiv_T A$.

Study

- 1 how effective are constructions in mathematics?
- 2 how complex is it to represent mathematical structures?
- 3 how complex are the relations within a structure?

Various areas have been studied,

- 1 Combinatorics,
- 2 Algebra,
- 3 Analysis,
- 4 Model Theory

In many cases one needs to develop a better understanding of the mathematical structures to be able to get the computable analysis.

Example: effectiveness of constructions.

Theorem: Every \mathbb{Q} -vector space has a basis.

Note: A countable \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathcal{V} = (V, 0, +_{\mathcal{V}}, \cdot_{\mathcal{V}})$ can be encoded by three sets: $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $+_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\cdot_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{N}^2$.

We say that \mathcal{V} is *computable* if V , $+_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\cdot_{\mathcal{V}}$ are computable.

Theorem:

Not every computable \mathbb{Q} -vector space has a computable basis. However, basis can be found computable in \mathbf{O}' .

Moreover, \exists comp. vector sp., all whose basis compute \mathbf{O}' .

Representing Structures

Def: By *structure* we mean a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$
where $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$, and $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$.

The arity functions n_i and m_i are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$.

An isomorphic copy of \mathcal{A} where $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is called a *presentation* of \mathcal{A} .

Def: The presentation \mathcal{A} is *X-computable* if
 A and $\bigoplus_i P_i$ are X -computable.

Def: X is *computable in* the presentation \mathcal{A} if $X \leq A \oplus \bigoplus_i P_i$.

Def: The *spectrum* of the isomorphism type of \mathcal{A} :
 $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}.$

Let \mathcal{A} be a structure.

Def: $R \subseteq A^n$ is *r.i.c.e.* (*relatively intrinsically computably enumerable*) if for every presentation $(\mathcal{B}, R^{\mathcal{B}})$ of (\mathcal{A}, R) , $R^{\mathcal{B}}$ is c.e. in \mathcal{B} .

Example: Let \mathcal{L} is a linear ordering. Then $\neg succ = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : \exists z(x < z < y)\}$ is r.i.c.e.

Example: Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space. Then $LD_3 = \{(u, v, w) \in V^3 : u, v \text{ and } w \text{ are not L.I.}\}$ is r.i.c.e.

Def: $R \subseteq A^n$ is *r.i.computable* (*relatively intrinsically computable*) if R and $(A^n \setminus R)$ are both r.i.c.e.

R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

Thm: [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chishholm][Vaĭtsenavichyus][Gordon]

$R \subseteq A^n$. The following are equivalent:

- R is r.i.c.e.
- R is defined by a c.e. disjunction of \exists -formulas. (à la Ash)
- R is defined by an \exists -formula in $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$. (à la Ershov)
($\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$ is the hereditarily finite extension of \mathcal{A})
- R is semi-search computable. (à la Moschovakis).

r.i.c.e. relations on \mathcal{A} are the analog of c.e. subsets of \mathbb{N} .

We now want a *complete* r.i.c.e. relation.

Sequences of relations

We consider infinite sequences of relations $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$,
(where $R_i \subseteq A^{a_i}$, and the arity function is always primitive computable)

Def: \vec{R} is *r.i.c.e.* in \mathcal{A} if
for every presentation $(\mathcal{B}, \vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}})$ of (\mathcal{A}, \vec{R}) , $\vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$ is uniformly c.e. in \mathcal{B} .

Example: Let \mathcal{V} be a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Then $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$,
given by $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are lin. dependent}\}$, is r.i.c.e.

Example: Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$ where $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

Then, if X is c.e. $\implies \vec{X}$ is r.i.c.e. in \mathcal{A} .

Example: In particular $\vec{0}$ is r.i.c.e. in \mathcal{A} .

The upper-semi lattice of sequences of relations – à la Soskov's structure-degrees

Let \vec{R} and \vec{Q} be sequences of relations in \mathcal{A} .

Def: Let $\vec{R} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Q} \iff \vec{R}$ is r.i.computable in (\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q}) .

Def: Let $\vec{R} \oplus \vec{Q}$ be the sequence $(R_0, Q_0, R_1, Q_1, \dots)$.

Recall: Given $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$ where $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

Obs: $X \leq_T Y \implies \vec{X} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Y}$.

The jump of a relation

Let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$ be an effective listing of
all c.e.-disjunctions of \exists -formulas about \mathcal{A} .

Definition

Let $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$ be such that $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$.

Obs: $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in \mathcal{A} .

I.e. If \vec{Q} is r.i.c.e., there is $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$ and a computable $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

Definition

Given \vec{Q} , let $\vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}}$ be $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})$.

Note: $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Note: We can also define $\vec{Q}''^{\mathcal{A}}$ as $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}})$.

Examples of Jump of Structure

Recall: $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$ where $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i(\bar{x}) \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$.

Recall that $\vec{0}'$ is the seq. of rel. that codes $0' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and NOT $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Ex: Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} L\vec{D} \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

Ex: Let \mathcal{A} be a linear ordering. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

Ex: Let \mathcal{A} be a linear ordering with endpoints. Then

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where $D_n(x, y) \equiv$ "exists n -string of succ in between x and y ."

Ex: Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \equiv)$ where \equiv is an equivalence relation. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}',$$

where $E_k(x) \iff$ there are $\geq k$ elements equivalent to x ,

and $R = \{ \langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements} \}$.

Jump of a structure

Recall: $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$ where $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{A}' be the structure $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}})$.

(i.e. add infinitely many relations to the language interpreting the K_i 's)

There were various independent definitions of the jump of a structure \mathcal{A}' :

- Baleva.
 - domain: Moschovakis extension of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{N}$.
 - relation: add a universal computably infinitary Σ_1 relation.
- I. Soskov.
 - domain: Moschovakis extension of \mathcal{A} .
 - relation: add a predicate for forcing Π_1 formulas.
- Stukachev. considered arbitrary cardinality, and Σ -reducibility
 - domain: Hereditarily finite extension of \mathcal{A} , $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$.
 - relation: add a universal finitary Σ_1 relation.
- Montalbán. The definition above.

Computational-reductions between structures

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be structures.

Recall: $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}$.

Def: \mathcal{A} is *Muchnik-reducible* to \mathcal{B} :

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B} \iff Sp(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq Sp(\mathcal{B}).$$

Def: \mathcal{A} is *effectively interpretable* in \mathcal{B} :

$\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \iff$ there is an interpretation of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{B} , where the domain of \mathcal{A} is interpreted in \mathcal{B} by an n -ary r.i.c.e. relation, and equality and the predicates of \mathcal{A} by r.i.computable relations.

Def: \mathcal{A} is Σ -*reducible* to \mathcal{B} : [Khisamiev, Stukachev]

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_\Sigma \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_I \text{HIF}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Obs: $\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A} \leq_\Sigma \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B}$.

Three main theorems about the jump

- 1st Jump inversion theorem.
- 2nd Jump inversion theorem.
- Fixed point theorem.

First Jump Inversion Theorem

Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

*If $\vec{0}'$ is r.i.computable in \mathcal{A} ,
there exists a structure \mathcal{B} such that \mathcal{B}' is equivalent to \mathcal{A} .*

for \equiv_w . [Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon]

for \equiv_w . [A. Soskova]

independently, different proof, and relative to any structure.

for \equiv_Σ . [Stukachev]

for arbitrary size structures.

Question:

Which structures are \equiv_I -equivalent to the jump of a structure?

First Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem - α -iteration)

If $\overrightarrow{0^{(\alpha)}}$ is r.i.computable in \mathcal{A} ,
there exists a structure \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{A} .

[Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon] used it to build a structure that is Δ_α -categorical but not relatively so.

[Greenberg, M, Slaman] used to build a structure whose spectrum is non-HYP

Second Jump Inversion Theorem

Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

If Y can compute a copy of \mathcal{A}' , then there exists X that computes a copy of \mathcal{A} and $X' \equiv_T Y$.

First proved by [I. Soskov], and then, independently, by [Montalbán], using their respective notions of jump, but similar proofs.

Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

If Y can compute a copy of \mathcal{A}' , then there exists X that computes a copy of \mathcal{A} and $X' \equiv_T Y$.

Cor: $Sp(\mathcal{A}') = \{x' : x \in Sp(\mathcal{A})\}$

Cor: [Frolov] If $0'$ computes a copy of $(\mathcal{L}, succ)$, \mathcal{L} has a low copy.

Cor: If R is r.i. Σ_2^0 in \mathcal{A} , then R is r.i.c.e. in \mathcal{A}' .

It follows that r.i. Σ_n^0 relations are Σ_n^c -definable.

[Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

Cor:[M] Given \mathcal{A} , the following are equivalent:

- **Low property:** If $X \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$ and $X' \equiv_T Y'$ then $Y \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$.
- **Strong jump inversion:** If $X' \in Sp(\mathcal{A}')$ then $X \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$.

Fixed point theorem

Recall: For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $A \not\equiv_T A'$.

Theorem ([M])

*The existence of \mathcal{A} with $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = Sp(\mathcal{A}')$, is *not* provable in full n th-order arithmetic for any n .*

Note: Almost all of classical mathematics can be proved in n th-order arithmetic for some n , (except for set theory or model theory).

Theorem ([M] using $0^\#$; [S.Friedman, Welch] in ZFC)

There is a structure \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \equiv_I \mathcal{A}'$.

Idea of proof: Build \mathcal{A} as a non-well-founded ω -model of $V = L$ such that for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \cong L_\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Complete sets of Σ_n^c relations

Definition (M.)

P_0, \dots, P_k, \dots are a *complete set of Σ_n^c relations on \mathcal{A}* if they are uniformly Σ_n^c and $\bigoplus_k P_k \oplus \overrightarrow{0^{(n)}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \emptyset^{(n)^{\mathcal{A}}}$.

Question:

For which \mathcal{A} and n , is there a finite complete sets of Σ_n^c relations?

Question:

For which \mathcal{A} and n , is there a nice complete sets of Σ_n^c relations?

Examples of Jump of Structure

Ex: Let \mathcal{A} be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \overrightarrow{0}'.$$

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom}(x) \oplus \text{atomless}(x) \oplus \text{finite}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0}''.$$

$$\emptyset'''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \overrightarrow{0}'''.$$

$$\emptyset^{(4)\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \\ \sim\text{-inf} \oplus \text{Int}(\omega + \eta) \oplus \text{infatomicless} \oplus \text{1-atomless} \oplus \text{nomaxatomless} \oplus \overrightarrow{0}^{(4)}$$

These relations were used by Thurber [95], Knight and Stob [00].

Theorem (K.Harris – M. 08)

On Boolean algebras, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a finite sequence P_0, \dots, P_{k_n} , s.t. for all \mathcal{A}

$$\emptyset^{(n)\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} P_0(x) \oplus \dots \oplus P_{k_n}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0}^{(n)}.$$

Examples: Nice complete sets of Σ_n^C relations.

Let \mathcal{L} be a linear ordering.

Ex: Let \mathcal{L} be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\mathbf{Ex:} \quad \emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where $D_n(x, y) \equiv$ “exists n -string of succ in between x and y .”

Ex: [Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

We don't need infinitely many relations.

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus P(x, y, z, w) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where $P(x, y, z, w) \equiv \exists n (\text{succ}^n(y) = z \ \& \ D_{n+2}(x, w))$

Thm: [M.] There is no relativizable (and hence nice) set of Σ_3^C relations that work for all linear orderings simultaneously.

Examples: Nice complete sets of Σ_n^c relations.

Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

$$\emptyset^A \equiv_s^A \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^j$$

where $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$, and $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are lin. dep.}\}$

Thm:[Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]
No finite set of relations is Σ_1^c complete in \mathcal{V} .

Examples: Nice complete sets of Σ_n^c relations.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; \equiv)$ be an equivalence structure.

Ex: $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}'$,
where $E_k(x) \iff$ there are $\geq k$ elements equivalent to x ,
and $R = \{\langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements}\}$.

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has infinitely many classes of each size.

Thm:[Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]
No finite set of relations is Σ_1^c complete in \mathcal{A} .

Nice description of jump VS coding information.

Theorem ([M])

Let \mathbb{K} be an axiomatizable class of structures.

Exactly one of the following holds:

(relative to any sufficiently large oracle)

- 1 There is a nice characterization of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$:
 - There is a uniform, rel, *countable* complete sets of Σ_n^c rels.
 - No set can be coded by the $(n-1)$ st jump of any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$.
 - There are *countably* many n -back-and-forth equivalence classes
- 2 Every set can be coded in $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$:
 - $\forall X \subseteq \omega$, there is a $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ s.t. X is a r.i.c.e. real in $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$,
 - There is *no* uniform, rel, countable complete sets of Σ_n^c rels.
 - \exists *Continuum* many n -back-and-forth equivalence classes