

# The jump of a structure.

Antonio Montalbán.  
U. of Chicago

Singapore – August 2011

# Goal

General program:

Study the complexity of relations within a given structure.

General program:

Study the complexity of relations within a given structure.

In this talk:

- Propose a framework for this analysis.
- Describe the jump of a relation and of a structure.
- Examples.
- Recent results.

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_j \subseteq A^{n_j}$ , and  $f_j: A^{m_j} \rightarrow A$ .

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$ .

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$ .

An isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a *presentation* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$ .

An isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a *presentation* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Def:** The presentation  $\mathcal{A}$  is *X-computable* if  
 $A$  and  $\bigoplus_i P_i$  are X-computable.



# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$ .

An isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a *presentation* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Def:** The presentation  $\mathcal{A}$  is *X-computable* if  
 $A$  and  $\bigoplus_i P_i$  are X-computable.

**Def:**  $X$  is *computable in* the presentation  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $X \leq A \oplus \bigoplus_i P_i$ .

# Representing Structures

**Def:** By *structure* we mean a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, f_0, f_1, \dots)$   
where  $P_i \subseteq A^{n_i}$ , and  $f_i: A^{m_i} \rightarrow A$ .

The arity functions  $n_i$  and  $m_i$  are always computable.

We will code the functions as relations, so  $\mathcal{A} = (A; P_0, P_1, \dots, \dots)$ .

An isomorphic copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a *presentation* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Def:** The presentation  $\mathcal{A}$  is *X-computable* if  
 $A$  and  $\bigoplus_i P_i$  are X-computable.

**Def:**  $X$  is *computable in* the presentation  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $X \leq A \oplus \bigoplus_i P_i$ .

**Def:** The *spectrum* of the isomorphism type of  $\mathcal{A}$ :  
 $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}.$

# R.I.C.E. Relations

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a structure.

**Def:**  $R \subseteq A^n$  is *r.i.c.e.* (*relatively intrinsically computably enumerable*) if for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, R^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$ ,  $R^{\mathcal{B}}$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a structure.

**Def:**  $R \subseteq A^n$  is *r.i.c.e.* (*relatively intrinsically computably enumerable*) if for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, R^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$ ,  $R^{\mathcal{B}}$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear ordering. Then  $\neg succ = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : \exists z(x < z < y)\}$  is r.i.c.e.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a structure.

**Def:**  $R \subseteq A^n$  is *r.i.c.e.* (*relatively intrinsically computably enumerable*) if for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, R^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$ ,  $R^{\mathcal{B}}$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear ordering. Then  $\neg succ = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : \exists z(x < z < y)\}$  is r.i.c.e.

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Then  $LD_3 = \{(u, v, w) \in V^3 : u, v \text{ and } w \text{ are not L.I.}\}$  is r.i.c.e.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a structure.

**Def:**  $R \subseteq A^n$  is *r.i.c.e.* (*relatively intrinsically computably enumerable*) if for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, R^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, R)$ ,  $R^{\mathcal{B}}$  is c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear ordering. Then  $\neg succ = \{(x, y) \in L^2 : \exists z(x < z < y)\}$  is r.i.c.e.

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space. Then  $LD_3 = \{(u, v, w) \in V^3 : u, v \text{ and } w \text{ are not L.I.}\}$  is r.i.c.e.

**Def:**  $R \subseteq A^n$  is *r.i.computable* (*relatively intrinsically computable*) if  $R$  and  $(A^n \setminus R)$  are both r.i.c.e.

# R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

$R \subseteq A^n$ . The following are equivalent:

- $R$  is r.i.c.e.

# R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

$R \subseteq A^n$ . The following are equivalent:

- $R$  is r.i.c.e.
- $R$  is defined by a c.e. disjunction of  $\exists$ -formulas,  
i.e. by a *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formula*

(à la Ash)



# R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm] [Vaĭtsenavichyus]

$R \subseteq A^n$ . The following are equivalent:

- $R$  is r.i.c.e.
- $R$  is defined by a c.e. disjunction of  $\exists$ -formulas,  
i.e. by a *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formula* (à la Ash)

And, when the language is finite:

- $R$  is defined by an  $\exists$ -formula in  $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$ . (à la Ershov)  
( $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$  is the hereditarily finite extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ )

# R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm] [Vaĭtsenavichyus] [Gordon]  
 $R \subseteq A^n$ . The following are equivalent:

- $R$  is r.i.c.e.
- $R$  is defined by a c.e. disjunction of  $\exists$ -formulas,  
i.e. by a *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formula* (à la Ash)

And, when the language is finite:

- $R$  is defined by an  $\exists$ -formula in  $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$ . (à la Ershov)  
( $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$  is the hereditarily finite extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ )
- $R$  is semi-search computable. (à la Moschovakis).

# R.I.C.E. – a frequently re-discovered concept

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm] [Vaĭtsenavichyus] [Gordon]  
 $R \subseteq A^n$ . The following are equivalent:

- $R$  is r.i.c.e.
- $R$  is defined by a c.e. disjunction of  $\exists$ -formulas,  
i.e. by a *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formula* (à la Ash)

And, when the language is finite:

- $R$  is defined by an  $\exists$ -formula in  $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$ . (à la Ershov)  
( $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$  is the hereditarily finite extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ )
- $R$  is semi-search computable. (à la Moschovakis).

r.i.c.e. relations on  $\mathcal{A}$  are the analog of c.e. subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We now want a *complete* r.i.c.e. relation.

# Sequences of relations

We consider infinite sequences of relations  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$ ,  
(where  $R_i \subseteq A^{a_i}$ , and the arity function is always primitive computable)

# Sequences of relations

We consider infinite sequences of relations  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$ ,  
(where  $R_i \subseteq A^{a_i}$ , and the arity function is always primitive computable)

**Def:**  $\vec{R}$  is *r.i.c.e.* in  $\mathcal{A}$  if  
for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, \vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{R})$ ,  $\vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is uniformly c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

# Sequences of relations

We consider infinite sequences of relations  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$ ,  
(where  $R_i \subseteq A^{a_i}$ , and the arity function is always primitive computable)

**Def:**  $\vec{R}$  is *r.i.c.e.* in  $\mathcal{A}$  if  
for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, \vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{R})$ ,  $\vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is uniformly c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then  $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$ , given by  
 $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are linearly dependent}\}$ , is r.i.c.e.

# Sequences of relations

We consider infinite sequences of relations  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$ ,  
(where  $R_i \subseteq A^{a_i}$ , and the arity function is always primitive computable)

**Def:**  $\vec{R}$  is *r.i.c.e.* in  $\mathcal{A}$  if  
for every presentation  $(\mathcal{B}, \vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{R})$ ,  $\vec{R}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is uniformly c.e. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then  $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$ , given by  
 $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are linearly dependent}\}$ , is r.i.c.e.

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a ring. Then  $\vec{R} = (R_1, R_2, \dots)$ , given by  
 $R_i = \{(a_0, \dots, a_i) : a_i x^i + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \text{ is reducible polynomial}\}$ , is r.i.c.e.

# The upper-semi lattice of sequences of relations – à la Soskov's structure-degrees

**Def:** Let  $SR(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of all sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$  with primitive recursive arity functions.

Let  $\vec{R}, \vec{Q} \in SR(\mathcal{A})$ .



# The upper-semi lattice of sequences of relations – à la Soskov's structure-degrees

**Def:** Let  $SR(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of all sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$  with primitive recursive arity functions.

Let  $\vec{R}, \vec{Q} \in SR(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Def:** Let  $\vec{R} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Q} \iff \vec{R}$  is r.i.computable in  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})$ .

# The upper-semi lattice of sequences of relations – à la Soskov's structure-degrees

**Def:** Let  $SR(\mathcal{A})$  be the set of all sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$  with primitive recursive arity functions.

Let  $\vec{R}, \vec{Q} \in SR(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Def:** Let  $\vec{R} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Q} \iff \vec{R}$  is r.i.computable in  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})$ .

**Def:** Let  $\vec{R} \oplus \vec{Q}$  be the sequence  $(R_0, Q_0, R_1, Q_1, \dots)$ .

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

I.e. If  $\vec{Q}$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a computable  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
I.e. If  $\vec{Q}$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a computable  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

## Definition

Given  $\vec{Q}$ , let *the jump of  $\vec{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$*  be  $\vec{K}^{(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})}$ .



# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
I.e. If  $\vec{Q}$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a computable  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

## Definition

Given  $\vec{Q}$ , let *the jump of  $\vec{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$*  be  $\vec{K}^{(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})}$ . We denote it by  $\vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
i.e. If  $\vec{Q}$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a computable  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

## Definition

Given  $\vec{Q}$ , let *the jump of  $\vec{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$*  be  $\vec{K}^{(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})}$ . We denote it by  $\vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Note:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

# The jump of a relation

Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be an effective listing of  
all c.e.-disjunctions of  $\exists$ -formulas about  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
i.e. of all *computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$ -formulas*.

## Definition

Let  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  be such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is *complete among r.i.c.e. sequences* of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
i.e. If  $\vec{Q}$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a computable  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
$$\forall \bar{b} \forall i (\bar{b} \in Q_i \iff (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in K_{f(i)})$$

## Definition

Given  $\vec{Q}$ , let *the jump of  $\vec{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$*  be  $\vec{K}^{(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q})}$ . We denote it by  $\vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Note:**  $\vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Note:** We can also define  $\vec{Q}''^{\mathcal{A}}$  as  $\vec{K}^{(\mathcal{A}, \vec{Q}'^{\mathcal{A}})}$ .

**Def:** Given  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$  where  $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

# Sequences coding information

**Def:** Given  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$  where  $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

**Obs:** Then, if  $X$  is c.e.  $\implies \vec{X}$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Sequences coding information

**Def:** Given  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$  where  $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

**Obs:** Then, if  $X$  is c.e.  $\implies \vec{X}$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Obs:**  $X \leq_T Y \implies \vec{X} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Y}$ .

# Sequences coding information

**Def:** Given  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$  where  $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

**Obs:** Then, if  $X$  is c.e.  $\implies \vec{X}$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Obs:**  $X \leq_T Y \implies \vec{X} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Y}$ .

**Recall:**  $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i(\bar{x}) \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Notice:**  $\vec{0}'$  is the sequence of trivial relations that codes  $0' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

# Sequences coding information

**Def:** Given  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1, \dots)$  where  $X_i = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \in X \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i \notin X \end{cases}$

**Obs:** Then, if  $X$  is c.e.  $\implies \vec{X}$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Obs:**  $X \leq_T Y \implies \vec{X} \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{Y}$ .

**Recall:**  $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i(\bar{x}) \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

**Notice:**  $\vec{0}'$  is the sequence of trivial relations that codes  $0' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

**Obs:**  $\vec{0}' \leq_s^{\mathcal{A}} \emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}}$ .



# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then

$$\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then  
$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^I.$$

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then  
$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}^I.$$

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear ordering. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear ordering with endpoints. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}^{\mathcal{A}}$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear ordering. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear ordering with endpoints. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \equiv)$  where  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}' ,$$

where  $E_k(x) \iff$  there are  $\geq k$  elements equivalent to  $x$ ,  
and  $R = \{ \langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements} \} .$

# No fixed point for the jump of relations

**Theorem**[Vatev][Stukachev][M] For every  $\vec{Q}$ ,  $\vec{Q} <_s^A \vec{Q}'^A$ .

# No fixed point for the jump of relations

**Theorem**[Vatev][Stukachev][M] For every  $\vec{Q}$ ,  $\vec{Q} <_s^A \vec{Q}'^A$ .

**Proof** [M]: *Diagonalization*:

Let  $K_{i,j}(\vec{x}) \equiv \psi_{i,j}(\vec{x})$  where  $\psi_{i,j}$  is the  $i$ th  $\Sigma_1^c$  formula with arity  $j$ .  
Suppose, toward a contradiction, that  $K$  is co-r.i.c.e.

Let  $R_{e,j}(\vec{x}) \equiv \neg K_{\{e\}(e,j),2j}(\vec{x}, \vec{x})$ .

Since  $R$  is r.i.c.e., there is  $\bar{a} \in A^n$  and computable function  $\{k\}$  s.t.

$$R_{e,j}(\vec{x}) \equiv K_{\{k\}(e,j),n+j}(\bar{a}, \vec{x}).$$

Diagonalize:  $K_{k,2n}(\bar{a}, \bar{a}) \iff \neg K_{k,2n}(\bar{a}, \bar{a})$ .

### 3 at the price of 1.

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

Let  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$  be a sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ . TFAE:

- $\vec{R}$  is r.i.c.e.
- There is a  $\vec{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a comp. list  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  of  $\Sigma_1^c$ -formulas such that  $\vec{b} \in R_i \iff \varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ .

### 3 at the price of 1.

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

Let  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$  be a sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ . TFAE:

- $\vec{R}$  is r.i.c.e.
- There is a  $\vec{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a comp. list  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  of  $\Sigma_1^c$ -formulas such that  $\vec{b} \in R_i \iff \varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ .

**Corollary:**[Knight] Let  $X \subseteq \omega$ . TFAE:

- $X$  is c.e. in every copy of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- $X$  is e-reducible to  $\Sigma_1\text{-tp}_{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{a})$  for some  $\vec{a} \in A^{<\omega}$ .



# 3 at the price of 1.

**Thm:** [Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

Let  $\vec{R} = (R_0, R_1, \dots)$  be a sequences of relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ . TFAE:

- $\vec{R}$  is r.i.c.e.
- There is a  $\vec{a} \in A^{<\omega}$  and a comp. list  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  of  $\Sigma_1^c$ -formulas such that  $\vec{b} \in R_i \iff \varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ .

**Corollary:**[Knight] Let  $X \subseteq \omega$ . TFAE:

- $X$  is c.e. in every copy of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- $X$  is e-reducible to  $\Sigma_1\text{-tp}_{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{a})$  for some  $\vec{a} \in A^{<\omega}$ .

**Corollary:** [Selman] Let  $A, B \subseteq \omega$ . TFAE:

- Every enumeration of  $B$  computes an enumeration of  $A$ .
- There is a Turing operator that maps enumeration of  $B$  into enumerations of  $A$ .

# Jump of a structure

# Jump of a structure

**Recall:**  $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

# Jump of a structure

**Recall:**  $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the structure  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}})$ .

(i.e. add infinitely many relations to the language interpreting the  $K_i$ 's)

# Jump of a structure

**Recall:**  $\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} = \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}} = (K_0, K_1, \dots)$  where  $\mathcal{A} \models \bar{x} \in K_i \iff \varphi_i(\bar{x})$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the structure  $(\mathcal{A}, \vec{K}^{\mathcal{A}})$ .

(i.e. add infinitely many relations to the language interpreting the  $K_i$ 's)

There were various independent definitions of the jump of a structure  $\mathcal{A}'$ :

- Baleva.
  - domain: Moschovakis extension of  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{N}$ .
  - relation: add a universal computably infinitary  $\Sigma_1$  relation.
- I. Soskov.
  - domain: Moschovakis extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - relation: add a predicate for forcing  $\Pi_1$  formulas.
- Stukachev. considered arbitrary cardinality, and  $\Sigma$ -reducibility
  - domain: Hereditarily finite extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{HIF}(\mathcal{A})$ .
  - relation: add a universal finitary  $\Sigma_1$  relation.
- Montalbán. The definition above.

# Computational-reductions between structures

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be structures.

**Recall:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Muchnik-reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$\mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B} \iff Sp(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq Sp(\mathcal{B})$ .

# Computational-reductions between structures

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be structures.

**Recall:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Muchnik-reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B} \iff Sp(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq Sp(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *effectively interpretable* in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \iff$  there is an interpretation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , where the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  is interpreted in  $\mathcal{B}$  by an  $n$ -ary r.i.c.e. relation, and equality and the predicates of  $\mathcal{A}$  by r.i.computable relations.

# Computational-reductions between structures

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be structures.

**Recall:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Muchnik-reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B} \iff Sp(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq Sp(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *effectively interpretable* in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \iff$  there is an interpretation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , where the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  is interpreted in  $\mathcal{B}$  by an  $n$ -ary r.i.c.e. relation, and equality and the predicates of  $\mathcal{A}$  by r.i.computable relations.

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Sigma$ -*reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ : [Khisamiev, Stukachev]

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_\Sigma \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_I \text{HIF}(\mathcal{B}).$$



# Computational-reductions between structures

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be structures.

**Recall:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N} : X \text{ computes a copy of } \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Muchnik-reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B} \iff Sp(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq Sp(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is *effectively interpretable* in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \iff$  there is an interpretation of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , where the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  is interpreted in  $\mathcal{B}$  by an  $n$ -ary r.i.c.e. relation, and equality and the predicates of  $\mathcal{A}$  by r.i.computable relations.

**Def:**  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Sigma$ -*reducible* to  $\mathcal{B}$ : [Khisamiev, Stukachev]

$$\mathcal{A} \leq_\Sigma \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathbb{HIF}(\mathcal{B}).$$

**Obs:**  $\mathcal{A} \leq_I \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A} \leq_\Sigma \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{A} \leq_w \mathcal{B}$ .

# Three main theorems about the jump

- 1st Jump inversion theorem.
- 2nd Jump inversion theorem.
- Fixed point theorem.

# First Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

If  $\vec{0}'$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# First Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

If  $\vec{0}'$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

for  $\equiv_w$ . [Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon]

# First Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

If  $\vec{0}'$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

for  $\equiv_w$ . [Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon]

for  $\equiv_w$ . [A. Soskova]

independently, different proof, and relative to any structure.

# First Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

If  $\vec{0}'$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

for  $\equiv_w$ . [Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon]

for  $\equiv_w$ . [A. Soskova]

independently, different proof, and relative to any structure.

for  $\equiv_\Sigma$ . [Stukachev]

for arbitrary size structures.

# First Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem)

If  $\vec{0}'$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

for  $\equiv_w$ . [Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon]

for  $\equiv_w$ . [A. Soskova]

independently, different proof, and relative to any structure.

for  $\equiv_\Sigma$ . [Stukachev]

for arbitrary size structures.

**Q:** Which structures are  $\equiv_J$ -equivalent to the jump of a structure?

# First Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem -  $\alpha$ -iteration)

If  $\overrightarrow{0^{(\alpha)}}$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .



# First Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem - $\alpha$ -iteration)

*If  $\overrightarrow{0^{(\alpha)}}$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

[Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon] used it to build a structure that is  $\Delta_\alpha$ -categorical but not relatively so.

# First Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

## Theorem (1st Jump inversion Theorem - $\alpha$ -iteration)

If  $\overrightarrow{0^{(\alpha)}}$  is r.i.computable in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  
there exists a structure  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha)}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

[Goncharov, Harizanov, Knight, McCoy, R. Miller and Solomon] used it to build a structure that is  $\Delta_\alpha$ -categorical but not relatively so.

[Greenberg, M, Slaman] used to build a structure whose spectrum is non-HYP

# Second Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then  
there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

# Second Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

First proved by [I. Soskov], and then, independently, by [Montalbán], using their respective notions of jump, but similar proofs.

# Second Jump Inversion Theorem

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

First proved by [I. Soskov], and then, independently, by [Montalbán], using their respective notions of jump, but similar proofs.

## Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

### Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

## Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

### Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

**Cor:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}') = \{x' : x \in Sp(\mathcal{A})\}$

# Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

**Cor:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}') = \{x' : x \in Sp(\mathcal{A})\}$

**Cor:** [Frolov] If  $0'$  computes a copy of  $(\mathcal{L}, succ)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  has a low copy.



# Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

*If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .*

**Cor:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}') = \{x' : x \in Sp(\mathcal{A})\}$

**Cor:** [Frolov] If  $0'$  computes a copy of  $(\mathcal{L}, succ)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  has a low copy.

**Cor:** If  $R$  is r.i. $\Sigma_2^0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $R$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

It follows that r.i. $\Sigma_n^0$  relations are  $\Sigma_n^c$ -definable.

[Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

# Second Jump Inversion Theorem – applications

## Theorem (2nd Jump Inversion Theorem)

If  $Y$  can compute a copy of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then there exists  $X$  that computes a copy of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y$ .

**Cor:**  $Sp(\mathcal{A}') = \{x' : x \in Sp(\mathcal{A})\}$

**Cor:** [Frolov] If  $0'$  computes a copy of  $(\mathcal{L}, succ)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  has a low copy.

**Cor:** If  $R$  is r.i. $\Sigma_2^0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $R$  is r.i.c.e. in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

It follows that r.i. $\Sigma_n^0$  relations are  $\Sigma_n^c$ -definable.

[Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman; Chisholm]

**Cor:**[M] Given  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following are equivalent:

- **Low property:** If  $X \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$  and  $X' \equiv_T Y'$  then  $Y \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$ .
- **Strong jump inversion:** If  $X' \in Sp(\mathcal{A}')$  then  $X \in Sp(\mathcal{A})$ .

# Fixed point theorem

**Recall:** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \not\equiv_T A'$ .

# Fixed point theorem

**Recall:** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \not\equiv_T A'$ .

Theorem ([M])

*The existence of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = Sp(\mathcal{A}')$ , is *not* provable in full  $n$ th-order arithmetic for any  $n$ .*

# Fixed point theorem

**Recall:** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \not\equiv_T A'$ .

## Theorem ([M])

*The existence of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = Sp(\mathcal{A}')$ , is *not* provable in full  $n$ th-order arithmetic for any  $n$ .*

**Note:** Almost all of classical mathematics can be proved in  $n$ th-order arithmetic for some  $n$ , (except for set theory or model theory).

# Fixed point theorem

**Recall:** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \not\equiv_T A'$ .

## Theorem ([M])

*The existence of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = Sp(\mathcal{A}')$ , is *not* provable in full  $n$ th-order arithmetic for any  $n$ .*

**Note:** Almost all of classical mathematics can be proved in  $n$ th-order arithmetic for some  $n$ , (except for set theory or model theory).

## Theorem ([M] using $0^\#$ ; [Puzarenko; S.Friedman, Welch] in ZFC)

*There is a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \equiv_I \mathcal{A}'$ .*

# Fixed point theorem

**Recall:** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \not\equiv_T A'$ .

## Theorem ([M])

*The existence of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $Sp(\mathcal{A}) = Sp(\mathcal{A}')$ , is *not* provable in full  $n$ th-order arithmetic for any  $n$ .*

**Note:** Almost all of classical mathematics can be proved in  $n$ th-order arithmetic for some  $n$ , (except for set theory or model theory).

## Theorem ([M] using $0^\#$ ; [Puzarenko; S.Friedman, Welch] in ZFC)

*There is a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \equiv_I \mathcal{A}'$ .*

**Idea of proof:** Build  $\mathcal{A}$  as a non-well-founded  $\omega$ -model of  $V = L$  such that for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \cong L_\alpha^A$ .

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$  is there a nice description of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ ?



# Complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$  is there a nice description of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ ?

## Definition (M.)

$P_0, \dots, P_k, \dots$  are a *complete sequence of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations on  $\mathcal{A}$*  if they are uniformly  $\Sigma_n^c$  and

$$\bigoplus_k P_k \oplus \overrightarrow{0}^{(n)} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \emptyset^{(n)\text{-}\mathcal{A}}.$$

# Complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$  is there a nice description of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ ?

## Definition (M.)

$P_0, \dots, P_k, \dots$  are a *complete sequence of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations on  $\mathcal{A}$*  if they are uniformly  $\Sigma_n^c$  and  $\bigoplus_k P_k \xrightarrow{\overline{0}^{(n)}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \emptyset^{(n)\text{-}\mathcal{A}}$ .

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$ , is there a finite complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations?

# Complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$  is there a nice description of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ ?

## Definition (M.)

$P_0, \dots, P_k, \dots$  are a *complete sequence of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations on  $\mathcal{A}$*  if they are uniformly  $\Sigma_n^c$  and  $\bigoplus_k P_k \oplus \overrightarrow{0}^{(n)} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \emptyset^{(n)\text{-}\mathcal{A}}$ .

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$ , is there a finite complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations?

## Question:

For which  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $n$ , is there a nice complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  relations?

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \vec{0}.$$

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom}(x) \oplus \text{atomless}(x) \oplus \text{finite}(x) \oplus \vec{0}''.$$

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'}$$

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom}(x) \oplus \text{atomless}(x) \oplus \text{finite}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0''}$$

$$\emptyset'''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'''}$$

# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'}$$

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom}(x) \oplus \text{atomless}(x) \oplus \text{finite}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0''}$$

$$\emptyset'''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'''}$$

$$\emptyset^{(4)\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \\ \sim\text{-inf} \oplus \text{Int}(\omega + \eta) \oplus \text{infatomicless} \oplus \text{1-atomless} \oplus \text{nomaxatomless} \oplus \overrightarrow{0^{(4)}}$$

These relations were used by Thurber [95], Knight and Stob [00].



# Examples of Jump of Structure

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a *Boolean algebra*. Then

$$\emptyset'^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'}$$

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom}(x) \oplus \text{atomless}(x) \oplus \text{finite}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0''}$$

$$\emptyset'''^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \overrightarrow{0'''}$$

$$\emptyset^{(4)\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} \text{atom} \oplus \text{atomless} \oplus \text{finite} \oplus \text{atomic} \oplus \text{1-atom} \oplus \text{atominf} \oplus \\ \sim\text{-inf} \oplus \text{Int}(\omega + \eta) \oplus \text{infatomicless} \oplus \text{1-atomless} \oplus \text{nomaxatomless} \oplus \overrightarrow{0^{(4)}}$$

These relations were used by Thurber [95], Knight and Stob [00].

## Theorem (K.Harris – M. 08)

On Boolean algebras,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a *finite* sequence  $P_0, \dots, P_{k_n}$ , of  $\Sigma_n^c$  formulas such that for all  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\emptyset^{(n)\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} P_0^{\mathcal{A}}(x) \oplus \dots \oplus P_{k_n}^{\mathcal{A}}(x) \oplus \overrightarrow{0^{(n)}}$$

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\sum_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_s^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\text{Ex: } \emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\sum_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_s^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\mathbf{Ex:} \quad \emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

**Ex:** [Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

We don't need infinitely many relations.

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\sum_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\mathbf{Ex:} \quad \emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

**Ex:** [Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

We don't need infinitely many relations.

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus P(x, y, z, w) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $P(x, y, z, w) \equiv \bigvee_n (\text{succ}^n(y) = z \ \& \ D_{n+2}(x, w))$

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear ordering.

**Ex:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a *linear ordering*. Then

$$\emptyset'^A \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{succ}(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}'.$$

$$\mathbf{Ex:} \quad \emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus \bigoplus_n D_n(x, y) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $D_n(x, y) \equiv$  "exists  $n$ -string of succ in between  $x$  and  $y$ ."

**Ex:** [Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

We don't need infinitely many relations.

$$\emptyset''^{\mathcal{L}} \equiv_S^{\mathcal{L}} \text{limleft}(x) \oplus \text{limright}(x) \oplus P(x, y, z, w) \oplus \vec{0}''$$

where  $P(x, y, z, w) \equiv \bigvee_n (\text{succ}^n(y) = z \ \& \ D_{n+2}(x, w))$

**Thm:** [M.] There is no relativizable (and hence nice) set of  $\Sigma_3^c$  relations that work for all linear orderings simultaneously.

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\sum_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an infinite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.



# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an infinite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.

$$\emptyset^A \equiv_s^A \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^j$$

where  $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$ , and  $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are lin. dep.}\}$

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an infinite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.

$$\emptyset^A \equiv_s^A \vec{LD} \oplus \vec{0}^j$$

where  $\vec{LD} = (LD_1, LD_2, \dots)$ , and  $LD_i = \{(v_1, \dots, v_i) : v_1, \dots, v_i \text{ are lin. dep.}\}$

**Thm:**[Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]  
No finite set of relations is  $\Sigma_1^c$  complete in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \equiv)$  be an equivalence structure.

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \equiv)$  be an equivalence structure.

**Ex:**  $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}'$ ,  
where  $E_k(x) \iff$  there are  $\geq k$  elements equivalent to  $x$ ,  
and  $R = \{\langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements}\}$ .

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \equiv)$  be an equivalence structure.

**Ex:**  $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}'$ ,  
where  $E_k(x) \iff$  there are  $\geq k$  elements equivalent to  $x$ ,  
and  $R = \{\langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements}\}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many classes of each size.

**Thm:**[Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

No **finite** set of relations is  $\Sigma_1^c$  complete in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Examples: Nice complete sets of $\Sigma_n^c$ relations.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A; \equiv)$  be an equivalence structure.

**Ex:**  $\emptyset^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv_s^{\mathcal{A}} (E_k(x) : k \in \mathbb{N}) \oplus \vec{R} \oplus \vec{0}'$ ,  
where  $E_k(x) \iff$  there are  $\geq k$  elements equivalent to  $x$ ,  
and  $R = \{\langle n, k \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \text{there are } \geq n \text{ equivalence classes with } \geq k \text{ elements}\}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinitely many classes of each size.

**Thm:**[Knight-R. Miller-M.-Soskov-Soskova-Soskova-VanDendreische-Vatev]

No **finite** set of relations is  $\Sigma_1^c$  complete in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

There is a **finite** set of relations is  $\Sigma_2^c$  complete in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Theorem ([M])

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an axiomatizable class of structures.

Exactly one of the following holds:

*(relative to any sufficiently large oracle)*

① *There is a nice characterization of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ :*

② *Every set can be coded in  $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ :*

# Nice description of jump VS coding information.

## Theorem ([M])

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an axiomatizable class of structures.

Exactly one of the following holds:

(relative to any sufficiently large oracle)

- 1 There is a nice characterization of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ :
  - There is a uniform, rel, *countable* complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  rels.
  - No set can be coded by the  $(n-1)$ st jump of any  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ .
  - There are *countably* many  $n$ -back-and-forth equivalence classes
- 2 Every set can be coded in  $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ :



# Nice description of jump VS coding information.

## Theorem ([M])

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an axiomatizable class of structures.

Exactly one of the following holds:

(relative to any sufficiently large oracle)

- 1 There is a nice characterization of  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ :
  - There is a uniform, rel, *countable* complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  rels.
  - No set can be coded by the  $(n-1)$ st jump of any  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ .
  - There are *countably* many  $n$ -back-and-forth equivalence classes
- 2 Every set can be coded in  $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ :
  - There is *no* uniform, rel, countable complete sets of  $\Sigma_n^c$  rels.
  - $\forall X \subseteq \omega$ , there is a  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.  $X$  is a r.i.c.e. real in  $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ ,
  - $\exists$  *Continuum* many  $n$ -back-and-forth equivalence classes