# Girth, Expansion, and Localization of Graph Eigenfunctions

Nikhil Srivastava

w/ Noga Alon (Princeton) and Shirshendu Ganguly (Berkeley)

Stanford Online Combinatorics Seminar, May 21 2010

Setup

Undirected d + 1-regular graph G on n vertices. 1

Adjacency matrix A has eigenvalues

$$d + 1 = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$$
  
Cuts  
(Alon-Milmon-(Ilwrg)) Coloring [Hoffman]

**Q.** What is the combinatorial meaning of the interior eigenvectors?

**Observation.** If  $Av = \lambda v$  and v is supported on k vertices, then

 $girth(A) \le 4\log_d(k)$ 

leight of Shortestayle











**Observation.** If  $Av = \lambda v$  and v is supported on k vertices, then



 $mindeg(H) \ge d + 1 \Rightarrow$  $girth(H) \le 2\log_d(k)$ 

**Observation.** If  $Av = \lambda v$  and v is supported on k vertices, then

 $girth(A) \leq 4\log_d(k)$ 0 **Proof.** 0 6 Oナ ナ 0  $mindeg(H) \ge d + 1 \Rightarrow$  $girth(G) \leq 4\log_d(k)$  $girth(H) \leq 2\log_d(k)$ 



### Localization and Delocalization

**Defn.** A unit vector v is  $(\epsilon, k)$  –delocalized if for all subsets  $S \subset [n]$ :

 $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon \Rightarrow |S| > k$ 

Otherwise it is  $(\epsilon, k)$ -localized, i.e.,  $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon$  for some  $|S| \le k$ .

### Localization and Delocalization

**Defn.** A unit vector v is  $(\epsilon, k)$  –**delocalized** if for all subsets  $S \subset [n]$ :

 $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon \Rightarrow |S| > k$ 

Otherwise it is  $(\epsilon, k)$ -localized, i.e.,  $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon$  for some  $|S| \le k$ .

e.g. 
$$k$$
 -sparse means  $(1, k)$  -localized  
 $(\epsilon, 1)$  -delocalized implies  $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq \epsilon$   
 $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq 1/n$  implies  $(\epsilon, \epsilon n)$ -delocalized for all  $\epsilon$ .

### Localization and Delocalization

**Defn.** A unit vector v is  $(\epsilon, k)$  –**delocalized** if for all subsets  $S \subset [n]$ :

 $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon \Rightarrow |S| > k$ 

Otherwise it is  $(\epsilon, k)$ -localized, i.e.,  $||v_S||_2^2 \ge \epsilon$  for some  $|S| \le k$ .

e.g. 
$$k$$
 -sparse means  $(1, k)$  -localized  
 $(\epsilon, 1)$  -delocalized implies  $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq \epsilon$   
 $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq 1/n$  implies  $(\epsilon, \epsilon n)$ -delocalized for all  $\epsilon$ .

**Showed**: If A has girth g then every eigvec is  $(d^{g/4}, 1)$ -delocalized **Q.** What about  $\epsilon < 1$ ?

### [Brooks-Lindenstrauss'09]

**Thm.** Suppose G is d + 1-regular with girth g and  $Av = \lambda v$ .

- 1. If  $\lambda \in (-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$  then v is  $(\epsilon, \epsilon^2 d^{c\epsilon^2 g})$ -delocalized for  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ .
- 2. If  $\lambda \notin (-2\sqrt{d} \delta, 2\sqrt{d} \delta)$  then  $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq d^{-\Omega_{\delta}(g)}$ .

### [Brooks-Lindenstrauss'09]

**Thm.** Suppose G is d + 1-regular with girth g and  $Av = \lambda v$ .

1. If  $\lambda \in (-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$  then v is  $(\epsilon, \epsilon^2 d^{c\epsilon^2 g})$ -delocalized for  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ . 2. If  $\lambda \notin (-2\sqrt{d} - \delta, 2\sqrt{d} - \delta)$  then  $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq d^{-\Omega_{\delta}(g)}$ .





**Q1.** How does localization depend on the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ? **Q2.** How does localization depend on the mass  $\epsilon$ ?

(in [BL'06], exponent of  $\epsilon$  depends on  $\lambda \in (-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$  and Diophantine properties of  $\lambda$ )



**Q1.** How does localization depend on the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ? **Q2.** How does localization depend on the mass  $\epsilon$ ?

(in [BL'06], exponent of  $\epsilon$  depends on  $\lambda \in (-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$  and Diophantine properties of  $\lambda$ )

**Q3.** How do high girth graphs compare to random regular graphs? (cf. [BHY'16] shows bulk eigenvectors have  $||v||_{\infty}^2 \leq \frac{\log^c(n)}{n}$ )

**Thm.** Suppose G is d + 1-regular with girth g and  $Av = \lambda v$ .

then v is 
$$(\epsilon, \epsilon d^{\frac{\epsilon g}{4}-3})$$
-delocalized for  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ .

Improved constant and exponent of  $\epsilon$  compared to [BL'06] part (1).

$$\frac{||v||_{\infty}^2}{||v||_{\infty}^2} \le (\log_d n)^{-1}.$$

<u>Contrapositive</u>:  $(k, \epsilon)$ -localized implies  $g \le 4 \log(\frac{k}{\epsilon})/\epsilon + O(1)$ .

Proof is a technical improvement of [BL'06] (approx. theory + nonbacktracking walks)

Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

- 1.  $girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \log_d(m)$
- 2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

Implies exponent of  $\epsilon$  in Theorem A cannot be improved.

Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

- 1.  $girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \log_d(m)$
- 2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ .

The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

Implies arithmetic properties of  $\lambda$  do not play a role.

Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

- 1.  $girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\log_d(m)$
- 2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

3.  $|\lambda_i(A_m)| \leq 2.12\sqrt{d}$  for all nontrivial adjacency eigenvalues. The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ . The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

Implies arithmetic properties of  $\lambda$  do not play a role.

# Proof of Theorem B (simplified)

**Step 1**. Finite d + 1-ary tree of depth  $\ell$ 

with *n* leaves.

**Fact**: has many  $(\epsilon, d^{\epsilon \ell})$ -localized eigenvectors. equal to zero on the leaves.



Step 2. Two d + 1-ary trees of depth ℓ,
 with leaves identifed to maximize
 girth [Erdos-Sachs] or [McKay]

Yields girth  $\geq \Omega(\ell) = \Omega(\log_d n)$ 

Eigenvector equation is satisfied by Reflecting  $\psi$  on the paired tree.



**Step 3.** Let *H* be a d + 1-regular Ramanujan [LPS,Margulis] graph with *n* **defects** of degree *d* at mutual distance  $\Omega(\log_d n)$ 



**Step 3.** Let *H* be a d + 1-regular Ramanujan [LPS,Margulis] graph with *n* **defects** of degree *d* at mutual distance  $\Omega(\log_d n)$ 

> Identify leaves from step 2 with defects. Retains girth  $\Omega(\log_d n)$ .



**Step 3.** Let *H* be a d + 1-regular Ramanujan [LPS,Margulis] graph with *n* **defects** of degree *d* at mutual distance  $\Omega(\log_d n)$ 

> Identify leaves from step 2 with defects. Retains girth  $\Omega(\log_d n)$ .

Set eigenvector to zero on H.



Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

1. 
$$girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\log_d(m)$$

There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

3.  $|\lambda_i(A_m)| \leq 2.12\sqrt{d}$  for all nontrivial adjacency eigenvalues. The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ . The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

Fix  $d \ge 3$  prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

1. 
$$girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\log_d(m)$$

2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

3.  $|\lambda_i(A_m)| \leq 2.12\sqrt{d}$  for all nontrivial adjacency eigenvalues. The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ . The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

# Spectral Gap

**Observation:** If any eigenvector of *G* is equal to zero on the **interface** then

$$v^{T}A_{G}v = v^{T}A_{T}v + v^{T}A_{H}v$$
  

$$\leq 2\sqrt{d}||v_{T}||^{2} + 2\sqrt{d}||v_{H}||^{2} + o(1)$$



# Spectral Gap

**Observation:** If any eigenvector of *G* is equal to zero on the **interface** then

$$v^{T}A_{G}v = v^{T}A_{T}v + v^{T}A_{H}v$$
  

$$\leq 2\sqrt{d}||v_{T}||^{2} + 2\sqrt{d}||v_{H}||^{2} + o(1)$$

**Key Lemma:** Any putative non-Ramanujan eigenvector of *G* must have at most 5% of its mass on the interface.

(high girth + [Kahale'95] argument)

Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

1. 
$$girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\log_d(m)$$

2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  –localized for

$$k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$$

3.  $|\lambda_i(A_m)| \leq 2.12\sqrt{d}$  for all nontrivial adjacency eigenvalues. The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ . The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

Fix d prime. There is an infinite sequence of d + 1-regular graphs  $G_m$  on m vertices such that:

1. 
$$girth(G_m) \ge \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \log_d(m)$$
  
2. There is an eigenvector  $A_m v = \lambda v$  which is  $(k, \epsilon)$  -localized for  
 $k = O(d^{4\epsilon girth(G_m)}) \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0,1]$   
3.  $|\lambda_i(A_m)| \le 2.12\sqrt{d}$  for all nontrivial adjacency eigenvalues.   
The number of such  $\lambda$  for each  $G_m$  is  $\Omega(\log_d(m))$ .  
The set of  $\lambda$  attained by the above sequence is dense in  $(-2\sqrt{d}, 2\sqrt{d})$ .

# The Quantum Ergodicity Angle

[Shnirelman'74] If the geodesic flow on a compact manifold is *ergodic*, then there is a dense subsequence of Laplacian eigenfunctions which is *equidistributed* (a strong notion of delocalization).

# The Quantum Ergodicity Angle

[Shnirelman'74] If the geodesic flow on a compact manifold is *ergodic*, then there is a dense subsequence of Laplacian eigenfunctions which is *equidistributed* (a strong notion of delocalization).

QUE Conjecture [Rudnick-Sarnak]: If the manifold is *negatively curved*, this is true for **all** eigenfunctions. Special case proved by Lindenstrauss.

[Smilansky'07] Study graphs as a simplified model for manifolds.

# Quantum Ergodicity on Graphs

[Anantharaman-Le Masson'13] If G = (V, E) is a bounded degree regular *high girth expander* with unit eigenvectors  $v_1, ..., v_n$  then:

$$\max_{|f| \le 1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in V} v_i^2(x) f(x) - \sum_{x} f(x) \right|^2 = o(n)$$

In fact, they proved this for eigenvectors in any  $1/\log(n)$  interval.

# Quantum Ergodicity on Graphs

[Anantharaman-Le Masson'13] If G = (V, E) is a bounded degree regular *high girth expander* with unit eigenvectors  $v_1, ..., v_n$  then:

$$\max_{|f| \le 1} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in V} v_i^2(x) f(x) - \sum_{x} f(x) \right|^2 = o(n)$$

In fact, they proved this for eigenvectors in any  $1/\log(n)$  interval.

<u>Strongest version of QUE</u>: this is true for *every* eigenvector. Theorem B disproves this strongest version.

# Questions

• Actual Ramanujan graphs in Theorem B?

```
Could Ramanujan + High Girth -> Strong delocalization?
```

• Minimal assumptions for Quantum Ergodicity on Graphs?

Construct graphs with many localized  $\lambda$  in a small interval?

- More surgery on graphs preserving spectrum [Alon'20], [Paredes'20]
- Use interior eigenvectors to study girth, expansion?

[cf. Naor'12 for Abelian Cayley Graphs]