

George M. Bergman  
70 Evans Hall

Fall 1995, Math 250A  
**Final Exam**

Saturday, 16 October 1995  
12:30-3:30 PM

1. (7 points) Prove that every finitely generated group  $G$  is a homomorphic image of a free group  $F$  on finitely many generators.
2. (7 points) Show that if  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of rings, and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $B$ , then the ideal  $f^{-1}(P) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \in P\}$  of  $A$  is prime.
3. (7 points) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and suppose that for some positive integer  $n$ , the element  $n1 \in R$  is not a unit in  $R$ . Show that there exists a homomorphism of  $R$  onto a field of nonzero characteristic.
4. (10 points) Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $r$  be an element of  $R$ , and for any left  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $\text{Ann}_M(r)$  denote the abelian group  $\{x \in M \mid rx = 0\} \subseteq M$  ("the annihilator of  $r$  in  $M$ "). Clearly, an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  carries  $\text{Ann}_M(r)$  into  $\text{Ann}_N(r)$ , and this restriction of  $f$  is a homomorphism of abelian groups. Let us call this restricted homomorphism  $\tilde{f}: \text{Ann}_M(r) \rightarrow \text{Ann}_N(r)$ .

Suppose now that

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} C$$

is an exact sequence of left  $R$ -modules. Prove that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_A(r) \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \text{Ann}_B(r) \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} \text{Ann}_C(r)$$

of abelian groups is also exact.

5. (10 points) Let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain which is not a field, and  $k$  its field of fractions. Show that  $k$  is not algebraically closed.
6. (20 points) Let  $K/k$  be a finite Galois extension, let  $G = G(K/k)$ , and let  $S$  be any transitive nonempty  $G$ -set. We will also regard  $K$  as a  $G$ -set (considering the action of  $G$  on  $K$  by automorphisms as an action on the set  $K$ ).
  - (a) (8 points) Show that there exists an element  $\alpha \in K$  such that the orbit of  $\alpha$  under the action of  $G$  on  $K$  is isomorphic as a  $G$ -set to  $S$ .
  - (b) (4 points) For  $\alpha$  as in (a), express  $[k(\alpha):k]$  in terms of the properties of the  $G$ -set  $S$ , justifying your answer.
  - (c) (8 points) For  $\alpha$  as in (a), state a condition on the  $G$ -set  $S$  which is necessary and sufficient for the normal closure of  $k(\alpha)$  to be the whole field  $K$ , and prove this equivalence.

7. (28 points) (a) (14 points) Prove the following result (Hilbert's Theorem 90, multiplicative form). You may assume any results proved *before* it in Lang.

Let  $K/k$  be a cyclic Galois extension of degree  $n$  with Galois group  $G$ , and  $\sigma$  a cyclic generator of  $G$ . Let  $\beta \in K$ . Then  $N_k^K(\beta) = 1$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $K$  such that  $\beta = \alpha/\sigma\alpha$ .

(b) (14 points) Deduce from the result of (a) that if  $k$  is a field,  $n$  an integer not divisible by the characteristic of  $k$  such that  $k$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of unity  $\zeta_n$ , and  $K$  a cyclic extension of  $k$  of degree  $n$ , then  $K$  is the splitting field over  $k$  of a polynomial of the form  $X^n - a$  ( $a \in k$ ) which is irreducible over  $k$ .

(Note: this is a result from Lang, but with some details changed. Namely, you are *not* asked to prove the converse, as Lang does, but you *are* asked to prove that the polynomial is irreducible over  $k$ , which Lang doesn't, and to show that  $K$  is the splitting field of that polynomial, rather than the result of adjoining a single root. Again, you may assume results proved earlier in Lang, but not the version of *this* result that he proves.)

8. (11 points) Let  $K$  be an extension of a field  $k$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a subset of  $K$ , and  $S$  an algebraically independent subset of  $\Gamma$ . Lang notes (without proof) that if  $k(\Gamma) = K$ , then there is a transcendence basis for  $K$  over  $k$  containing  $S$  and contained in  $\Gamma$ . Prove (without using that result of Lang's) that this conclusion is in fact true under the weaker assumption that  $K$  is *algebraic* over  $k(\Gamma)$  (not necessarily equal to it).

You will not need to use anything Lang proves about transcendence bases; just the definition (a transcendence basis is a maximal subset of  $K$  algebraically independent over  $k$ ) and general results about algebraicity. You may take for granted that the union of a chain of algebraically independent subsets of  $K$  is algebraically independent.