

Math 185. Sample Answers to Problem Set #4

Page 81

- 1b. If $u(x, y) = 2x - x^3 + 3xy^2$, then $u_x = 2 - 3x^2 + 3y^2$, $u_{xx} = -6x$, $u_y = 6xy$, and $u_{yy} = 6x$, so $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$. All of these partials are continuous (as is $u_{xy} = u_{yx} = 6x$), so $u(x, y)$ is harmonic.

To get a harmonic conjugate v , we have $v_y = u_x = 2 - 3x^2 + 3y^2$, so

$$v(x, y) = 2y - 3x^2y + y^3 + \phi(x)$$

on any open ball in the given domain. (It does not hold in general, since the intersection of the domain with a line $y = \text{constant}$ need not be connected.) Also, the equation $v_x = -u_y = -6xy$ gives $-6xy + \phi'(x) = -6xy$, so we may take $\phi(x) = 0$ and therefore $v(x, y) = 2y - 3x^2y + y^3$ is a harmonic conjugate of u in any open ball in the domain. It is then easy to check that this is a harmonic conjugate over the whole domain.

2. If v and V are both harmonic conjugates of the same function u , then $v_x = -u_y = V_x$, so $(\partial/\partial x)(v - V) = 0$ everywhere on D . Also $v_y = u_x = V_y$, so $(\partial/\partial y)(v - V)$ also vanishes everywhere on D . Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 74, $v - V$ is constant on D .

Alternatively, we can note that $v - V$ is a harmonic conjugate of the zero function, so by Theorem 2 on page 80, $(v - V)i$ is analytic on D , and therefore so is $v - V$. By Exercise 7 on page 78, this function must be constant.

5. Taking partials of $ru_r = v_\theta$ with respect to r and of $rv_r = -u_\theta$ with respect to θ gives

$$u_r + ru_{rr} = v_{\theta r} \quad \text{and} \quad rv_{r\theta} = -u_{\theta\theta} .$$

Therefore, using also equality of the mixed partials, we have

$$r^2u_{rr} + ru_r = rv_{\theta r} = rv_{r\theta} = -u_{\theta\theta} .$$

Similarly, taking partials of $rv_r = -u_\theta$ with respect to r and of $ru_r = v_\theta$ with respect to θ gives

$$v_r + rv_{rr} = -u_{\theta r} \quad \text{and} \quad ru_{r\theta} = v_{\theta\theta} .$$

Therefore we have

$$r^2v_{rr} + rv_r = -ru_{\theta r} = -ru_{r\theta} = -v_{\theta\theta} .$$

7. If y can be written as a function of x on the curve $u(x, y) = c_1$ near (x_0, y_0) , then by implicit differentiation we obtain $u_x + u_y y' = 0$, so $y' = -u_x/u_y$. This condition is true whenever $u_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$, since then the gradient has nonzero vertical component, so the level curve is not vertical there (strictly speaking, this follows from the implicit function theorem).

Similarly, we obtain that if y can be written as a function of x on the curve $v(x, y) = c_2$ near (x_0, y_0) , then implicit differentiation gives $y' = -v_x/v_y$. Again, this condition is satisfied if $v_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$.

These two slopes are negative reciprocals of each other, because their product is

$$\left(-\frac{u_x}{u_y}\right) \left(-\frac{v_x}{v_y}\right) = \left(-\frac{u_x}{u_y}\right) \left(-\frac{-u_y}{u_x}\right) = -1$$

by the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

If $u_y(x_0, y_0) = 0$, then the gradient is horizontal, so the level curve $u(x, y) = c_1$ is vertical. By Cauchy-Riemann we also have $v_x(x_0, y_0) = 0$, and $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ implies $u_x(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$, hence $v_y(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$, so the level curve $v(x, y) = c_2$ has slope $-v_x(x_0, y_0)/v_y(x_0, y_0) = 0$ at (x_0, y_0) . Therefore the two level curves are perpendicular.

Similarly, if $u_x(x_0, y_0) = 0$ then the level curves $u(x, y) = c_1$ and $v(x, y) = c_2$ are horizontal and vertical, respectively, so again they are perpendicular.

Page 92

1a. $\exp(2 \pm 3\pi i) = e^2(\cos(\pm 3\pi) + i \sin(\pm 3\pi)) = e^2(-1 + 0i) = -e^2$ (since $\cos(\pm 3\pi) = \cos \pi = -1$ and similarly for $\sin(\pm 3\pi)$).

3. Since

$$\exp \bar{z} = e^x e^{-iy} = e^x \cos y - i e^x \sin y,$$

we have $u(x, y) = e^x \cos y$ and $v(x, y) = -e^x \sin y$. Therefore

$$u_x = e^x \cos y, \quad u_y = -e^x \sin y, \quad v_x = -e^x \sin y, \quad \text{and} \quad v_y = -e^x \cos y.$$

The first Cauchy-Riemann equation $u_x = v_y$ holds if and only if $e^x \cos y = 0$, which holds if and only if $\cos y = 0$. The second Cauchy-Riemann equation $u_y = -v_x$ holds if and only if $e^x \sin y = 0$, which holds if and only if $\sin y = 0$. Since $\sin^2 y + \cos^2 y = 1$, we never have $\sin y = \cos y = 0$, so there is no point where $\exp \bar{z}$ is analytic.

6. By (7), we have

$$|\exp(z^2)| = \exp(\operatorname{Re}(z^2)) = \exp(x^2 - y^2) \leq \exp(x^2 + y^2) = \exp(|z|^2).$$

- 8a. By (7) on page 91, $e^x = |e^z| = 2$, so $x = \ln 2$, and $y = \arg(e^z) + 2\pi n = \pi + 2\pi n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), so

$$z = \ln 2 + (\pi + 2\pi n)i = \ln 2 + (2n + 1)\pi i, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Conversely, if z is equal to one of these, then $e^z = -2$.

Page 97

- 1a. We have $\ln|-ei| = \ln e = 1$ and $\text{Arg}(-ei) = \text{Arg}(-i) = -\pi/2$, so since $-\pi/2$ falls in the range given by (6) on page 96, we have

$$\text{Log}(-ei) = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2}i.$$

5. a. Since $((1+i)/\sqrt{2})^2 = i$, we have

$$i^{1/2} = \pm \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} = \{e^{\pi i/4}, e^{-3\pi i/4}\};$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log(i^{1/2}) &= \left\{ \left(2k + \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi i : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(2k - \frac{3}{4}\right) \pi i : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right) \pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The same is true of $(1/2)\log i$ by Exercise 2(b).

- b. The set of values of $\log(i^2) = \log(-1)$ is

$$\{(2n+1)\pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

by Example 3 on page 94. On the other hand, by Exercise 2b, the set of values of $2\log i$ is

$$2 \left\{ \left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \{(4n+1)\pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

which is not the same as for $\log(i^2)$, since for example $3\pi i \in \log(i^2)$ but $3\pi i \notin 2\log i$.

7. By (3) on page 93, taking \exp of both sides of the equation $\log z = i\pi/2$ gives

$$z = e^{\log z} = e^{i\pi/2} = i.$$

Page 100

2. For the real parts, we have clearly that $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1||z_2|$, so

$$\text{Re Log}(z_1 z_2) = \ln|z_1 z_2| = \ln|z_1| + \ln|z_2| = \text{Re Log } z_1 + \text{Re Log } z_2.$$

For the imaginary parts, since $\text{Im Log } z_1 = \text{Arg } z_1$ and similarly for z_2 and $z_1 z_2$, all three values $\text{Im Log } z_1$, $\text{Im Log } z_2$, and $\text{Im Log}(z_1 z_2)$ lie in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$. Therefore,

$$\text{Im Log}(z_1 z_2) - \text{Im Log } z_1 - \text{Im Log } z_2 \in (-3\pi, 3\pi).$$

This quantity must also be an integer multiple of 2π , so it equals $2N\pi$ for some $N \in \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Putting these together then gives that

$$\text{Log}(z_1 z_2) = \text{Log } z_1 + \text{Log } z_2 + 2N\pi i$$

with $N \in \{0, \pm 1\}$.

6. By (1) on page 25, we have

$$z^{1/n} = \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \ln r + i\left(\frac{\Theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi k}{n}\right)\right) : k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

and therefore all the values of $\log(z^{1/n})$ are given by

$$\log z^{1/n} = \frac{1}{n} \ln r + i \frac{\Theta + 2\pi k}{n} + 2\pi p = \frac{1}{n} \ln r + i \frac{\Theta + 2\pi(pn + k)}{n}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, dividing (2) on page 95 by n gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \log z = \frac{1}{n} \ln r + i \frac{\Theta + 2\pi q}{n}$$

with q ranging over \mathbb{Z} .

Now, given $w = (1/n) \ln r + i(\Theta + 2\pi(pn + k))/n \in \log z^{1/n}$, we can let $q = pn + k$ and see that $w = (1/n) \ln r + i(\Theta + 2\pi q)/n$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$; hence $w \in (1/n) \log z$. Conversely given $w = (1/n) \ln r + i(\Theta + 2\pi q)/n \in (1/n) \log z$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can write $q = pn + k$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ (as in the suggestion), and then see that $w = (1/n) \ln r + i(\Theta + 2\pi(pn + k))/n \in \log z^{1/n}$ lies in $\log z^{1/n}$. Thus we have shown the following equality of sets:

$$\log z^{1/n} = \frac{1}{n} \log z .$$