# FAST VORTEX METHODS 

John A. Strain

Mathematics Department and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory<br>University of California<br>Berkeley, California 94720

## ABSTRACT

We present three fast adaptive vortex methods for the 2D Euler equations. All obtain long-time accuracy at almost optimal cost by using four tools: adaptive quadrature, free-Lagrangian formulation, the fast multipole method and a nonstandard error analysis. Our error analysis halves the differentiability required of the flow, suggests an efficient new balance of smoothing parameters, and combines naturally with fast summation schemes. Numerical experiments with our methods confirm our theoretical predictions and display excellent long-time accuracy.

## INTRODUCTION

Vortex methods solve the 2D incompressible Euler equations in the vorticity formulation by discretizing the Biot-Savart law with the aid of the flow map. They have been extensively studied, widely generalized and applied to complex high-Reynoldsnumber flows: See (Gustafson and Sethian, 1991) for a survey.

Vortex methods involve several components; velocity evaluation, vortex motion, diffusion, boundary conditions and regridding. In this paper, we improve the speed, accuracy and robustness of the velocity evaluation. We eliminate the flow map, improve the quadrature used for the Biot-Savart law, and analyze the error in velocity evaluation in a nonstandard way, requiring less differentiability of the flow and obtaining efficient new parameter balances. We employ standard techniques for the vortex motion and consider inviscid free-space flow to eliminate diffusion and boundary conditions. Our approach combines naturally with regridding and fast multipole methods.

Lagrangian vortex methods move the nodes of a fixed quadrature rule with the computed fluid velocity, preserving the weights of the rule by incompressibility. This procedure loses accuracy when the flow becomes disorganized, motivating many regridding techniques. Even before the flow becomes disorganized, however, obtaining high-order accuracy with fixed quadrature weights requires smoothing of the singular Biot-Savart kernel. Smoothing gives high-order accuracy for short times but slows
down the fast multipole method and halves the order of accuracy relative to the differentiability of the flow.

In this paper, we discuss three fast adaptive vortex methods. We briefly review the triangulated vortex method of (Russo and Strain, 1994) and the quadrature-based method of (Strain, 1996a), then present the smoothed method of (Strain, 1996b). Triangulated vortex methods are robust, accurate and efficient but limited to second-order accuracy. Quadrature-based methods compute adaptive quadratures tailored to the Biot-Savart kernel at each time step, yielding free-Lagrangian methods which maintain long-time high-order accuracy at asymptotically optimal cost. The smoothed method couples kernel smoothing with adaptive quadrature rules not tailored to the Biot-Savart kernel, producing long-time high-order accuracy. The asymptotic slowdown produced by kernel smoothing is almost eliminated by a careful choice of smoothing functions and parameters, based on a new error analysis of the velocity evaluation.

The structure of these methods is standard: At each time step, the smoothed velocity is evaluated once and the vortices are moved with an explicit multistep method. The velocity evaluation is nonstandard, and different for each method. We have implemented and tested all of these new methods; the error is small on standard test problems and the theoretical predictions are fully verified. More complex flows are also computed.

## EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The 2D incompressible Euler equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{u}+u u_{x}+v u_{y}+p_{x} / \rho & =0 \\
\dot{v}+u v_{x}+v v_{y}+p_{y} / \rho & =0 \\
u_{x}+v_{y} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

involve the fluid velocity $u(z, t)=(u, v)$, where $z=(x, y)$, the pressure $p(z, t)$ and the constant density $\rho$. Taking the 2D curl eliminates the pressure, giving the vorticity equation

$$
\dot{\omega}+u \omega_{x}+v \omega_{y}=0
$$

for the vorticity $\omega=v_{x}-u_{y}$. Let $z \mapsto \Phi(z, t)$ be the flow map, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Phi}(z, t)=u(\Phi(z, t), t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then vorticity is conserved along particle paths:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\Phi(z, t), t)=\omega(z, 0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\omega$ has compact support, the velocity is given by the BiotSavart law

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z, t)=\int K\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the Biot-Savart kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=\frac{z^{\perp}}{2 \pi r^{2}}, \quad z^{\perp}=(-y, x), \quad r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have a closed system for $\Phi$ and $\omega$, the "free-Lagrangian" equations of motion consisting of Eq. (2) coupled with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Phi}(z, t)=\int K\left(\Phi(z, t)-z^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}, t\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian equation of motion is derived by changing variables $z^{\prime} \leftarrow \Phi\left(z^{\prime}, t\right)$. The Jacobian is unity because the flow is incompressible, so this gives a closed system for $\Phi$ alone:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Phi}(z, t)=\int K\left(\Phi(z, t)-\Phi\left(z^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}, 0\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This requires values of $\omega$ only at time $t=0$, and is the usual starting point for vortex methods.

## VORTEX METHODS

Lagrangian vortex methods now discretize Eq. (6), tracking $N$ points $z_{j}(t) \approx \Phi\left(z_{j}, t\right)$ moving with the fluid velocity, starting at $t=0$ from the nodes $z_{j}$ of a quadrature formula with weights $w_{j}$. Suppose we use a quadrature formula

$$
\int g(z) d x d y=\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} g\left(z_{j}\right)+E_{N}(g)
$$

with a $q$ th-order error bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{N}(g)\right| \leq C h^{q}\|g\|_{q} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g \in C^{q}$. Here $h$ is the mesh size of the rule and the $C^{q}$ norm is defined by

$$
\|g\|_{0}=\max _{z}|g(z)|, \quad\|g\|_{q}=\|g\|_{0}+\sum_{\alpha+\beta=q}\left\|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} g\right\|_{0}
$$

Applying this quadrature to the Lagrangian equation of motion (6) gives a system of $N$ ordinary differential equations:

$$
\dot{z}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j \neq i} w_{j} K\left(z_{i}(t)-z_{j}(t)\right) \omega\left(z_{j}, 0\right)
$$

The quadrature error bound Eq. (7) is infinite since $K$ is unbounded, so we replace $K$ by the smoothed kernel

$$
K_{\delta}(z)=\varphi_{\delta} * K(z)=f(r / \delta) K(z)
$$

where * denotes convolution,

$$
\varphi_{\delta}(z)=\delta^{-2} \varphi(r / \delta)
$$

$\varphi$ is an appropriate radial "core function," and the "shape factor" $f$ is given by

$$
f(r)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{r} s \varphi(s) d s
$$

## CONVERGENCE THEORY

Almost all modern vortex methods use smoothing, often with $\varphi$ and the "core radius" $\delta$ chosen to give high-order convergence as the mesh size $h$ vanishes (Chorin, 1989; Hald, 1991). This can be guaranteed by the following conditions on $\varphi$ and $\omega$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \varphi & =1 \\
\int x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \varphi & =0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha+\beta \leq m-1  \tag{8}\\
\int|z|^{m}|\varphi| & <\infty \\
\varphi & \in C^{L} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(z)=0 \text { for } \quad|z| \geq 1  \tag{9}\\
\omega & \in C^{M} \quad \text { has compact support. } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

High-order accuracy requires smooth solutions, so condition (10) on $\omega$ is natural. Compact support in condition (9) can be weakened, but it is important for efficiency. Given these conditions, a typical convergence theorem follows.

Theorem 1 (Anderson and Greengard, 1985) Assume conditions (8) through (10) are satisfied with $L \geq 3, M \geq \max (L+$ 1, $m+2$ ) and $m \geq 4$. Let $\delta=c h^{a}$ where $0<a<1$. Suppose $L$ is large enough to satisfy

$$
L>\frac{(m-1) a}{1-a}
$$

Then the computed flow map $\Phi_{h, \delta}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\Phi-\Phi_{h, \delta}\right\|_{h} \leq O\left(h^{m a}\right)
$$

as $h$ and $\delta$ go to zero.
Here the discrete 2 -norm is given by

$$
\|g\|_{h}=\left(h^{2} \sum_{i}\left|g\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $z_{i}$ are the initial vortex positions, and similar bounds hold for the computed velocity and vorticity.

This theorem allows a close to 1 and $\delta$ close to $O(h)$ only for very smooth flows, where $L$ and $M$ are large. For general flows, Hald (1987) shows that $\delta=O(\sqrt{h})$ is a good choice. Then $2 m$ derivatives of $\omega$ guarantee only $O\left(h^{m}\right)$ accuracy. Later, we reduce this to $m+1$ derivatives at the cost of redefining convergence.

## COST AND ACCURACY

Convergence theory must be augmented by practical considerations of cost and accuracy. Since each velocity value is a sum

$$
u_{h, \delta}\left(z_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{\delta}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) w_{j} \omega\left(z_{j}, 0\right)
$$

direct evaluation costs $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ work. This is prohibitively expensive if the flow is complex, since many vortices are required. The expense has been reduced by fast summation schemes (Anderson, 1986; Carrier, Greengard and Rokhlin, 1988; Strain, 1992) which evaluate unsmoothed sums like

$$
u\left(z_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} K\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) w_{j}
$$

to accuracy $\epsilon$ in about $O(N \log \epsilon)$ work, by separating local from global interactions and separating the variables. These schemes are much faster than direct evaluation for large $N$.

However, this does not completely resolve the difficulty. Fast methods cannot evaluate the smoothed interaction $K_{\delta}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)$ between vortices $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ closer than $\delta$, because $K_{\delta} \neq K$. Asymptotically, there are $O\left(N \delta^{2}\right)$ vortices in a circle of radius $\delta$, so if $\delta=O(\sqrt{h})$ there are a total of $O\left(N^{2} \delta^{2}\right)=O\left(N^{2} h\right)=$ $O\left(N^{3 / 2}\right)$ local interactions to be evaluated directly. Thus fast summation schemes slow down from $O(N)$ to $O\left(N^{3 / 2}\right)$ when $K$ is smoothed inside a radius $\delta=O(\sqrt{h})$.

Hence there is a conflict between smoothing and fast summation. If we try to make $\delta$ close to $O(h)$ to speed up fast summation, we need many derivatives of the flow for a modest order of convergence. Larger $\delta$ is more accurate for rougher flows, but hampers fast summation schemes. Our error analysis resolves this conflict by allowing another $O(\epsilon)$ in the error.

## THE PERLMAN EFFECT

A completely different obstacle to accurate calculations with vortex methods is the "Perlman effect." The error bound for numerical quadrature in Eq. (7) depends on order-q derivatives of the integrand

$$
g\left(z^{\prime}\right)=K_{\delta}\left(\Phi(z, t)-\Phi\left(z^{\prime}, t\right)\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

so the derivatives of the flow map will affect the error bound. The flow map moves fluid particles far apart, developing large derivatives when the flow becomes disorganized. Thus vortex methods lose high-order accuracy in long-time calculations (Perlman 1985; Beale and Majda 1985). For example, Figure 1 plots the number of correct bits in the computed velocity of a standard test case for a fourth-order vortex method. Fourth-order accuracy-evidenced by the gain of one tick mark per line in the figure-is attained only during a very short initial time period.

The Perlman effect has motivated much research on regridding, the idea being to avoid large derivatives of the flow map by restarting before the flow becomes disorganized (Nordmark, 1991). Similarly, Beale (1988) has developed an iterative reweighting scheme. The Perlamn effect also motivated the free-Lagrangian


Figure 1: Correct bits in $u$ for the Lagrangian vortex method.
vortex methods reviewed here, which eliminate the flow map from the Biot-Savart integral. Thus

$$
\dot{\Phi}=\int K\left(\Phi-z^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}, t\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime}
$$

replaces the Lagrangian equation of motion Eq. (6). Since $w$ values are known only at the moving points $z_{j}(t)$, each velocity evaluation requires adaptive quadratures with new weights adapted to the current vortex positions. We now present three such methods.

## TRIANGULATED METHODS

Triangulated vortex methods evolve points $z_{j}(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}_{j}(t)=\int K\left(z_{j}(t)-z^{\prime}\right) \omega_{h}\left(z^{\prime}, t\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{h}$ is a piecewise linear interpolant to the vorticity values

$$
\omega_{h}\left(z_{j}(t), t\right)=\omega_{h}\left(z_{j}, 0\right)=\omega\left(z_{j}, 0\right)
$$

and the nodes $z_{j}(t)$ form the vertices of a triangulation of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$.
Given any piecewise linear function $\omega_{h}$ on a triangulation of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, one can evaluate the corresponding velocity $u_{h}$ exactly, with results depending very strongly on the triangulation. In (Chacon Rebollo and Hou, 1990), this observation was combined with a fixed triangulation carried by the flow. While convergent, the resulting scheme costs $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ work per time step with a large constant, and loses accuracy very quickly because the triangulation degenerates.

We developed practical triangulated vortex methods in (Russo and Strain, 1994); a fast summation scheme brought the cost down to $O\left(N^{4 / 3}\right)$ and a fast Delaunay triangulation scheme gave excellent long-time accuracy. An adaptive initial triangulation technique made the method robust enough to compute even discontinuous patches of vorticity. Figure 2 plots errors for the test case used in Fig. 1. The error displays no Perlman effect; second-order accuracy (one tick per line) is maintained uniformly
in time. The triangulated approach is now being applied to flows in three dimensions with viscosity and boundaries (Huyer and Grant, 1994). Difficulties obtaining higher-order accuracy motivated the next approach.


Figure 2: Correct bits in $u$ in 1-norm, computed by the triangulated vortex method.

## QUADRATURE-BASED METHODS

Higher-order free-Lagrangian methods were developed in (Strain, 1996a). They use time-dependent quadrature weights $w_{i j}(t)$ giving high-order accuracy in the Biot-Savart law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\left(z_{i}, t\right) & =\int K\left(z_{i}-z^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}, t\right) d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime} \\
& \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{i j}(t) K\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) \omega\left(z_{j}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, high-order product integration weights make smoothing unnecessary, but the $i$-dependence of $w_{i j}(t)$ precludes fast summation methods. Thus we construct weights with the "locallycorrected property" that $w_{i j}=w_{j}$ for almost all $j$, where $w_{j}$ are the weights of some "smooth" quadrature rule with points $z_{j}$. Such rules can be built and the velocity evaluated in $O\left(N \log ^{2} N\right)$ work. The price for efficiency is a redefinition of convergence. The error bound for these quadratures is $O\left(\epsilon+h^{q}\right)$, where $\epsilon$ is an arbitrary user-specified error tolerance and the constant in the $O(N)$ cost depends weakly on $\epsilon$. Thus one gets order- $q$ convergence only down to $O(\epsilon)$. This is sufficient for three reasons: computer arithmetic has finite precision, practical computations can afford only rather low accuracy, and fast summation methods introduce an $O(\epsilon)$ error as well. High-order accuracy can be maintained for long times, though these rules are somewhat expensive to implement.

## A FAST ADAPTIVE METHOD

We now describe a high-order fast adaptive vortex method which aims to avoid obstacles both to speed and to accuracy. The key ingredients are

- A free-Lagrangian formulation to avoid the Perlman effect.
- Adaptive quadrature rules not tailored to the Biot-Savart kernel.
- New error bounds requiring fewer derivatives of the vorticity and leading to an efficient new smoothing strategy.
These ingredients combine to give a method with almost optimal efficiency and long-time high-order accuracy, without excessive differentiability requirements on the flow.


## OVERVIEW

We begin with quadrature. Given $N$ nodes $z_{j} \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$, we compute the weights of a quadrature rule with order- $q$ accuracy on $C^{q}$ functions if the nodes are well distributed. We partition the nodes into rectangular cells and build order- $q$ rules on each cell. The union of these rules is globally accurate of order $q$ (Strain, 1995). After quadrature, we discuss smoothing. We state a standard smoothing error bound and construct a family of arbitrary-order accurate core functions. Next we present a new error analysis which leads to an efficient new balance between quadrature and smoothing. We conclude with some numerical results.

## A TREE STRUCTURE

Let $B=[a, b] \times[c, d]$ be a rectangle containing the nodes $z_{j}$. Our quadratures partition $B$ into rectangular cells $B_{i}$, each containing enough nodes to construct an order- $q$ quadrature. There are $q(q+1) / 2$ monomials $x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$ of degree $\alpha+\beta \leq q-1$, so we will need at least $p \geq q(q+1) / 2$ nodes per cell. Thus we build a tree structure to partition $B$ into cells containing $p$ or $p+1$ nodes each.

Let $B=B_{1}$ be the level- 0 root of the tree. Divide $B_{1}$ in half along its longest edge, with the dividing plane located so that each half of $B_{1}$ contains either $\lfloor N / 2\rfloor$ or $\lfloor N / 2\rfloor+1$ nodes. This gives the level-1 cells $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$. Recursively, split $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ along their longest edges to get $B_{4}$ through $B_{7}$, each containing $\lfloor N / 4\rfloor$ or $\lfloor N / 4\rfloor+1$ nodes $z_{j}$. Repeat this procedure $L$ times to get $M=2^{L}$ cells $B_{i}$ on the finest level $L$, numbered from $i=M$ to $i=2 M-1$, each containing $p=\lfloor N / M\rfloor$ or $p+1$ nodes $z_{j}$. The union of all the cells on any given level is $B$. The tree structure is stored by listing the boundaries of each cell $B_{i}=\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \times\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ from $i=1$ to $i=2 M-1$, a total of $4 \cdot 2 M$ numbers, and indexing the nodes into a list so that the nodes $z_{j} \in B_{i}$ are given by $j=j(s)$ for $s=b(i), \ldots, \epsilon(i)$ and three integer functions $j, b$ and $e$. This can be done in $O(N \log N)$, but the simplest method requires sorting each cell before each subdivision, giving a total cost $O\left(N \log ^{2} N\right)$ for the tree construction with an $O(N \log N)$ sorting method such as Heapsort.

## QUADRATURE RULES

We now construct $q$ th-order quadrature rules on $B$ with $N$ quadrature nodes $z_{j}$ given. Assume $N \geq m:=q(q+1) / 2$, and choose $L \geq 0$ with $p:=\left\lfloor N / 2^{L}\right\rfloor \geq m$. The tree structure divides $B$ into $M=2^{L}$ rectangular cells $B_{i}$, each containing either $p$ or $p+1$ nodes $z_{j}$. On each $B_{i}$, we construct local weights $W_{j}^{i}$ for
$z_{j} \in B_{i}$ by solving the following system of $m$ linear equations in at least $p$ unknowns:

$$
\sum_{z_{j} \in B_{i}} P_{\alpha}\left(x_{j}\right) P_{\beta}\left(y_{j}\right) W_{j}^{i}=\int_{B_{i}} P_{\alpha}(x) P_{\beta}(y) d x d y
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha+\beta \leq q-1$. Here $P_{\alpha}(x) P_{\beta}(y)$ are the two-variable Legendre polynomials on $B_{i}$. Since $p \geq m$, this system of $m$ equations in at least $p$ unknowns generically has solutions. We compute the solution $W_{j}^{i}$ of least 2-norm, using a complete orthogonal factorization from LAPACK (Anderson et al.,1992). The weights of the rule $W$ are then defined to be $W_{j}=W_{j}^{i}$ where $z_{j} \in B_{i}$. This rule integrates all polynomials of degree less than $q$ exactly over all level- $L$ cells $B_{i}$. This property implies order- $q$ accuracy:

Theorem 2 (Strain, 1995) Suppose $B=\cup_{i=1}^{M} B_{i}$ and $W$ integrates $x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$ exactly over each cell $B_{i}$ for $0 \leq \alpha+\beta \leq q-1$. Then for any $C^{q}$ function $g$ on $B$, the quadrature error

$$
E=\int_{B} g(z) d x d y-\sum_{j=1}^{N} W_{j} g\left(z_{j}\right)
$$

satisfies the bound

$$
|E| \leq \Omega|B| \frac{h^{q}}{q!}\|g\|_{q}
$$

where $h$ is the longest cell edge, $\Omega=1+\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|W_{j}\right|$ and $|B|=(b-a)(d-c)$ is the area of $B$.

The condition number $\Omega$ cannot be bounded a priori for arbitrary points, but we can easily compute it a posteriori, yielding an excellent diagnostic for the quality of the rule.

## SMOOTHING

The following theorem is a standard error bound for smoothing with a core function satisfying moment conditions of order $m$ :

Theorem 3 (Raviart, 1985) Assume the compactly supported core function $\varphi$ satisfies the moment conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \varphi=1, \quad \int x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \varphi=0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha+\beta \leq m-1, \\
M=\frac{1}{m!} \int|z|^{m}|\varphi|<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose $u$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{m, p}$ of functions with $m$ derivatives in $L^{p}$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\delta} * u-u\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq M \delta^{m} \sum_{\alpha+\beta=m}\left\|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} u\right\|_{L^{p}} .
$$

Suppose $\varphi$ is a continuous radial function and write $\varphi(z)=$ $\varphi(r)$ where $r^{2}=|z|^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$. Then $\int x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \varphi(z) d x d y=0$ if $\alpha$ or $\beta$ is odd, so the moment conditions simplify to

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(r) r d r=1 / 2 \pi, \quad \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(r) r^{2 j+1} d r=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $m=2 n+2$ is even. A standard calculation gives

$$
K_{\delta}(z)=\varphi_{\delta} * K(z)=f\left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right) K(z)
$$

where the "shape factor" $f$ is given by

$$
f(r)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{r} \varphi(s) s d s
$$

Since $\varphi(r)=0$ for $r>1$, we have $f(r)=1$ for $r>1$ so $K_{\delta}(z)$ is identical to $K(z)$ for $r>\delta$. This facilitates the application of fast summation methods.

A convenient ansatz for the shape factor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=\varrho^{p}\left[a_{d} \varrho^{d}+\cdots+a_{0}\right]+1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho=\left(1-r^{2}\right)_{+}=\max \left(0,1-r^{2}\right)$ and $\varphi(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi r} f^{\prime}(r)$. The $d+1$ coefficients $a_{i}$ must be chosen so that $\varphi$ satisfies $n+1$ moment conditions, so we cannot expect a solution unless $d \geq n$. If $d>n$, the linear system of moment conditions is underdetermined, and we use a complete orthogonal factorization routine to find the solution with smallest 2-norm. Given the coefficients $a_{i}$, we have

$$
K_{\delta}(z)=\frac{z^{\perp}}{2 \pi r^{2}}\left[\left(1-r^{2} / \delta^{2}\right)_{+}^{p}\left(a_{d}\left(1-r^{2} / \delta^{2}\right)_{+}^{d}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)+1\right],
$$

where $z^{\perp}=(-y, x)$. Since $f(0)=0$, we can reduce roundoff problems for small $r$ by finding a polynomial $g$ such that

$$
f(r)=r^{2} g(\varrho)=r^{2}\left[b_{p+d-1} \varrho^{p+d-1}+\cdots+b_{0}\right] .
$$

In terms of $g$, we have a convenient formula

$$
K_{\delta}(z)=\frac{z^{\perp}}{2 \pi \max \left(r^{2}, \delta^{2}\right)} g\left(\left(1-r^{2} / \delta^{2}\right)_{+}\right)
$$

Figure 3 shows several of the shape factors $f$; the increasing oscillation as $n$ increases follows naturally from the vanishing of more moments.


Figure 3: Piecewise polynomial shape factors $f$.

## TIME STEPPING

Since the Euler equations are not stiff and we are constructing high-order vortex methods, we discretize time with explicit $s$-step Adams methods. These methods require an accurate procedure for computing the $s$ starting values. Suppose we use an explicit $s$-step Adams method with a fixed time step $\Delta_{f}$. We begin with a tiny time step $\Delta_{i} \ll \Delta_{f}$ and 1-step Adams, giving error $O\left(\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)$. Since our final method is order- $s$ accurate, we should choose $\Delta_{i}=O\left(\Delta_{f}^{s / 2}\right)$. We now increase the order of the Adams method by 1 at each step until order $s$ is reached, simultaneously increasing $\Delta_{i}$ by a factor $R \leq 2$ until $\Delta_{f}$ is reached. The final non-equidistant step is adjusted to land precisely at $t=\Delta_{f}$.

## BALANCE OF ERROR

We now balance the errors due to smoothing and quadrature. The error in velocity evaluation splits naturally into two parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & \left|u(z)-\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} K_{\delta}\left(z-z_{j}\right) \omega\left(z_{j}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \left|K * \omega(z)-K_{\delta} * \omega(z)\right| \\
& +\left|K_{\delta} * \omega(z)-\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{j} K_{\delta}\left(z-z_{j}\right) \omega\left(z_{j}\right)\right| \\
= & E_{\delta}+E_{N, \delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is the smoothing error, which satisfies

$$
E_{\delta} \leq M \delta^{m}\|u\|_{m}
$$

if $\varphi$ satisfies moment conditions of order $m$ and $u \in C^{m}$. The second term is the quadrature error, which satisfies

$$
E_{N, \delta} \leq \Omega|B| \frac{h^{q}}{q!}\|g\|_{q}
$$

for each fixed $z$. Here $g\left(z^{\prime}\right)=K_{\delta}\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) \omega\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, so by a standard inequality for the $C^{q}$ norm of a product

$$
\|g\|_{q} \leq C\left(\left\|K_{\delta}\right\|_{q}\|\omega\|_{0}+\left\|K_{\delta}\right\|_{0}\|\omega\|_{q}\right)
$$

Scaling gives

$$
K_{\delta}(z)=\delta^{-1} \int \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\delta}-z^{\prime}\right) \frac{z^{\prime \perp}}{2 \pi\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}} d x^{\prime} d y^{\prime}
$$

so there is some constant $C$ depending only on $\varphi$, such that

$$
\left\|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} K_{\delta}\right\|_{0} \leq C \delta^{\alpha+\beta-1}
$$

if $\varphi \in C^{\alpha+\beta}$. Thus if $\varphi \in C^{q}$, we have

$$
\|g\|_{q} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} C\left(\delta^{-q}\|\omega\|_{0}+\|\omega\|_{q}\right)
$$

so the error in velocity evaluation satisfies

$$
E \leq C\left(\delta^{m}\|u\|_{m}+\delta^{-1}\left(\frac{h}{\delta}\right)^{q}\|\omega\|_{0}+\delta^{-1} h^{q}\|\omega\|_{q}\right)
$$

where $q$ is the order of quadrature and $\varphi \in C^{q}$ satisfies moment conditions of order $m$.

We choose $\delta$ as a function of $h$ to make

$$
\delta^{-1}\left(\frac{h}{\delta}\right)^{q} \leq \epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a user-specified error tolerance, fixed as $h$ vanishes. This implies

$$
\delta=O\left(\epsilon^{-1 /(q+1)} h^{q /(q+1)}\right)=O\left(h^{a}\right), \quad a=1-\frac{1}{q+1}
$$

and our error bound becomes

$$
E \leq C\left(\epsilon\|\omega\|_{0}+h^{\frac{m q}{q+1}}\|u\|_{m}+h^{\frac{q^{2}}{q+1}}\|\omega\|_{q}\right)
$$

The choice $m=q$ balances the two remaining terms, so

$$
E \leq C\left[\epsilon\|\omega\|_{0}+h^{k}\left(\|\omega\|_{q}+\|u\|_{q}\right)\right]=O\left(\epsilon+h^{k}\right)
$$

where $k=q^{2} /(q+1)=q-1+\frac{1}{q+1}>q-1$. For quadrature of orders $q=2,4,6,8,10$, the exponent $a$ in $\delta=O\left(h^{a}\right)$ is $0.66,0.80$, $0.86,0.89,0.91$ respectively, with order of accuracy $k$ equal to $1.33,3.20,5.14,7.11,9.09$ rapidly approaching $q-1$ from above as $q$ increases. Thus $\delta$ is very close to $O(h)$ for methods of high order $k$, with only $q$ derivatives of $\omega$ required. This allows us to use fast summation methods with excellent efficiency: the fast multipole method with this $\delta$ costs $O\left(N^{b}\right)$ with $b=1+\frac{1}{q+1}=$ $1.33,1.20,1.14,1.11,1.09$, very close to 1 .

We combine this order- $k$ velocity evaluation with an Adams method of order $s=q>k$, because the first-order Euler equations imply that the velocity should have the same order of differentiability in time as in space, with particle positions one order smoother by the flow map equation Eq. (1). An $O\left(\epsilon+h^{k}\right)$ error in the velocity $u$ at each time step does not accumulate in the multistep solution of

$$
\dot{\Phi}(z, t)=u(\Phi(z, t), t)
$$

so we expect to obtain an error

$$
O\left(\epsilon+\Delta_{f}^{s}+h^{k}\right)\|\omega\|_{q}
$$

in $\Phi$ as $h$ and $\Delta_{f}$ vanish. This would imply similar estimates for the velocity and vorticity by standard arguments.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We implemented the algorithms described above and studied the performance of the fast adaptive method. First, we measured the accuracy and efficiency of the velocity evaluation scheme in isolation. Then we measured the error in long-time calculations with the full method. Finally, we studied the interaction of several smooth patches of vorticity.

We studied the accuracy of the velocity evaluation of orders $k=1.33,3.20,5.14$ and 7.11 corresponding to $m=q=2,4,6$, and 8 , using the Perlman vorticity (Perlman, 1985)

$$
\omega_{P}(z)=\left(\max \left(0,1-r^{2}\right)\right)^{P}
$$

where $P=10$. The vorticity $\omega_{P}$ is a $C^{P-1}$ function on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, while the corresponding velocity fields are $C^{P}$ :

$$
u(z)=\left(1-\omega_{P+1}(z)\right) \frac{z^{\perp}}{(2 P+2) r^{2}}
$$

This is a stationary radial solution of the Euler equations with shear and a popular test case for vortex methods.

We tested our method with a random initial grid. Given $N$ and $n$ with $n^{2} \leq N$, the grid has $n^{2}$ vortices uniformly distributed over a rectangle. and the remaining $N-n^{2}$ vortices distributed in regions where the vorticity is large, providing some degree of random adaptivity.

We generated $N=500,1000,2000, \ldots, 64000$ vortices in such a grid with $n^{2} \approx N / 10$ and evaluated the velocity at each of the vortices, using core functions and quadratures of orders $m=q=$ $2,4,6,8$. The number of correct bits

$$
B_{l}=\max \left(0,-\log _{2}\left[\frac{\left\|u-u_{h, \delta}\right\|_{l}}{\|u\|_{l}}\right]\right)
$$

in the computed velocity $u_{h, \delta}$ in $L^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$ norms, the CPU times $T$ (in seconds on a Sparc-2 workstation) and other statistics are reported in Table 1. The velocity evaluation produces error $O\left(\epsilon+N^{-k / 2}\right)$ with $k / 2=0.67,1.60,2.57$ and 3.55 in $O\left(N^{b} \log \epsilon\right) \mathrm{CPU}$ time with $b=1.33,1.20,1.14$ and 1.11 and a constant of proportionality depending very weakly on the order $q$. Note that when $N$ doubles, the average cell size $h$ decreases by a factor $\sqrt{2}$, so we expect to gain $k / 2$ bits per line in each table until $O(\epsilon)$ is reached.

For first-order methods, the $O\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ errors dominate so the $O(\epsilon)$ limit on accuracy never appears. For higher-order methods, we get higher-order convergence in the region where the smoothed kernel is resolved. After the $O(\epsilon)$ limit is reached, convergence continues slowly.

We also tested the long-time accuracy of the method on several Perlman-type test cases for $0 \leq t \leq 20$, a final time at which the fastest fluid particles have completed 1.6 revolutions while the slowest have completed only 0.2 . This strong shear is usually considered a severe test for a vortex method. We started with an adaptive random grid with $n^{2} \approx 0.8 N$, and used core functions, quadratures and Adams methods of orders $m=q=s=2$, 4 and 6 , yielding adaptive vortex methods of orders $k=1.33$, 3.20 and 5.14. We tested each method on a Perlman patch of minimal smoothness, with $P=q+1=3,5$ and 7 . In particular, the errors at different orders are unrelated. The correct bits in $L^{1}$ in the velocity are plotted in Fig. 4. The plots are individually scaled and ticked in such a way that the number of correct bits should increase by half a tick mark at each line. These results clearly confirm the long-time high-order accuracy of the method; they do not show the loss of accuracy observed in Lagrangian vortex methods (for example in Fig. 1). The errors are highly oscillatory on a small scale, because a new quadrature rule is built from scratch at each step.

As a more complex example, we used the order- 3.20 method to compute 20 interacting smooth patches of vorticity. Thus the initial vorticity is given by

$$
\omega(z, 0)=\sum_{j=1}^{Q} \Omega_{j}\left(1-\left|z-z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{P}
$$

where $Q=20, P=5$ and $z_{j}$ and $\Omega_{j}$ are random. Some sample vorticity contours are shown in Fig. 5.

| $m=q=2, p=4, d=1, k=1.33$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $h$ | $\delta$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{\infty}$ | $T$ |
| 500 | 0.497 | 0.631 | 1.95 | 1.42 | 4.83 |
| 1000 | 0.328 | 0.479 | 2.48 | 2.03 | 13.8 |
| 2000 | 0.205 | 0.351 | 3.28 | 2.79 | 43.6 |
| 4000 | 0.142 | 0.275 | 3.91 | 3.41 | 142.7 |
| 8000 | 0.089 | 0.203 | 4.74 | 4.26 | 336.2 |
| 16000 | 0.064 | 0.163 | 5.38 | 4.88 | 1155 |
| 32000 | 0.039 | 0.118 | 6.29 | 5.79 | 2051 |
| 64000 | 0.028 | 0.095 | 6.93 | 6.41 | 6493 |


| $m=q=4, p=6, d=2, k=3.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $h$ | $\delta$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{\infty}$ | $T$ |
| 500 | 1.300 | 1.481 | 1.02 | 0.52 | 6.87 |
| 1000 | 0.807 | 1.011 | 2.26 | 1.79 | 22.2 |
| 2000 | 0.443 | 0.625 | 4.49 | 3.71 | 75 |
| 4000 | 0.300 | 0.457 | 6.00 | 5.21 | 209 |
| 8000 | 0.180 | 0.305 | 8.16 | 7.22 | 632 |
| 16000 | 0.128 | 0.232 | 9.71 | 9.00 | 1485 |
| 32000 | 0.078 | 0.156 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 4498 |
| 64000 | 0.057 | 0.121 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 8111 |


| $m=q=6, p=8, d=3, k=5.14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $h$ | $\delta$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{\infty}$ | $T$ |
| 500 | 1.760 | 1.948 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.66 |
| 1000 | 1.170 | 1.374 | 0.31 | 0.0 | 26.9 |
| 2000 | 0.721 | 0.905 | 4.49 | 2.67 | 90.6 |
| 4000 | 0.386 | 0.529 | 8.21 | 5.51 | 281 |
| 8000 | 0.263 | 0.381 | 10.2 | 7.18 | 774 |
| 16000 | 0.158 | 0.245 | 12.0 | 6.74 | 1574 |
| 32000 | 0.114 | 0.185 | 14.0 | 9.30 | 4988 |
| 64000 | 0.068 | 0.118 | 15.0 | 10.1 | 7634 |


| $m=q=8, p=10, d=4, k=7.11$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N$ | $h$ | $\delta$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{\infty}$ | $T$ |
| 500 | 1.810 | 2.033 | 1.34 | 0.48 | 9.22 |
| 1000 | 1.690 | 1.912 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.9 |
| 2000 | 1.100 | 1.304 | 3.34 | 2.23 | 111 |
| 4000 | 0.677 | 0.848 | 7.79 | 6.29 | 358 |
| 8000 | 0.362 | 0.486 | 10.4 | 6.89 | 960 |
| 16000 | 0.247 | 0.346 | 11.9 | 8.00 | 2923 |
| 32000 | 0.146 | 0.217 | 12.9 | 8.15 | 5828 |
| 64000 | 0.106 | 0.162 | 15.1 | 9.99 | 14650 |

Table 1: Velocity evaluation results for a Perlman-type vorticity field $\omega_{10}$ with $N$ adaptive random points: Correct bits $B_{1}$ and $B_{\infty}$ in $u$, CPU times $T$, cell size $h$ and core radius $\delta$. Here $q$ is the quadrature order, $m$ is the moment order, $p-2$ the order of smoothness and $d$ the degree of the core function. The final results have order $k$.
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Figure 4: Correct bits in $u$ for adaptive vortex methods of orders 1.33, 3.20 and 5.14.


Figure 5: Vorticity contours for 20 Perlman patches $\omega_{5}$ at $t=0$, 8 , and 16 .

