

Kicked experiment

The cubic polynomial

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{1000} \left(\frac{1}{6} x^3 + \left(75 \frac{5}{6} \right) x + 15 \right)$$

fits the first 5 data points exactly

in that

$$p(0) = .015$$

$$p(1) = .091$$

$$p(2) = .168$$

$$p(3) = .247$$

$$p(4) = .329,$$

and this is more impressive considering that a cubic cannot always be made to pass through 5 data points.

So this seems like a good fit.

$p(5) = .415$, so we say .418 is wrong, it should have been .415.

page 31 Exercise 9

$$1 + X^3 * \left(\frac{-1}{3!} + X^3 * \left(\frac{1}{6!} + X^3 * \left(\frac{-1}{9!} + X^3 * \left(\frac{1}{12!} + X^3 * \frac{-1}{15!} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

with $X^3 = X * X * X$

Thus 7 multiplications

p. 169 # 7 (4.5.7)

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{T_n(x) T_m(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx =$$

$$= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\cos(n \arccos x) \cos(m \arccos x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} x = \cos \theta \\ dx = -\sin \theta d\theta \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \int_{\theta=\pi}^{\theta=0} \frac{\cos(n \arccos \cos \theta) \cos(m \arccos \cos \theta)}{\sqrt{1-\cos^2 \theta}} (-\sin \theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta \cos m \theta}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \theta}} \sin \theta d\theta$$

$$\left(\theta \in (0, \pi) \Rightarrow \sin \theta \geq 0 \right)$$

$$= \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi} \cos n \theta \cos m \theta d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos[(n+m)\theta] + \cos[(n-m)\theta] \right] d\theta$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{when } n \neq m, \quad n, m \geq 1, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

since for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\int_0^{\pi} \cos k\theta d\theta = \frac{1}{k} \sin k\theta \Big|_0^{\pi}$$

$$= 0.$$

page 170 #10 (4.5.10)

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \tilde{T}_3(x) = \frac{1}{2^{3-1}} \cos(3 \arccos x),$$

so we need $3 \arccos x = 0$ or π or 2π or 3π ,

i.e. $\arccos x = 0$ or $\frac{\pi}{3}$ or $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ or π

i.e. $x = \cos(0)$ or $\cos(\frac{\pi}{3})$ or $\cos(\frac{2\pi}{3})$ or $\cos(\pi)$,

i.e. $x_0 = 1, x_1 = \frac{1}{2}, x_2 = -\frac{1}{2}, x_3 = -1$.

\textcircled{b} Suppose that q is a monic degree 3 polynomial such that

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

We know that $\tilde{T}_3(x) = \frac{1}{2^{3-1}} \cos(3 \arccos x)$

has $\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |\tilde{T}_3(x)| = \frac{1}{4}$ and

\tilde{T}_3 is also a monic cubic polynomial.

Now we will see how no such q can exist:

(c), (d) Were such a q to exist, then

R defined by $R(x) = \tilde{T}_3(x) - q(x)$
~~would~~ would be a polynomial, and
further, since both \tilde{T}_3 and q are
monic cubic, R would have degree
2 or less.

By $\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| < \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$|q(x_0)|, |q(x_1)|, |q(x_2)|, \text{ and } |q(x_3)| < \frac{1}{4}$$

whereas

$$\tilde{T}_3(x_0) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{T}_3(x_1) = \frac{1}{4},$$
$$\tilde{T}_3(x_2) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{T}_3(x_3) = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus $R(x_0) = \tilde{T}_3(x_0) - q(x_0) > \tilde{T}_3(x_0) - |q(x_0)| > 0;$

$$R(x_1) = \tilde{T}_3(x_1) - q(x_1) < \tilde{T}_3(x_1) + |q(x_1)| < 0, \text{ and}$$

similarly $R(x_2) > 0$ and $R(x_3) < 0$.

Since R is a polynomial it is continuous,
and $R(x_3) < 0$ and $R(x_2) > 0$
so by the intermediate value theorem
 R must have a root between x_3 and x_2 .

Similarly $R(x_2) > 0$ and $R(x_1) < 0$ so
 R has a root between x_2 and x_1 .

Finally $R(x_1) < 0$ and $R(x_0) > 0$
so R has a root between x_1 and x_0 .

The intervals (x_3, x_2) , (x_2, x_1) , and (x_1, x_0)
are disjoint so these three roots of R
are distinct.

Yet R is a polynomial of degree ≤ 2 ,
so the only way it can have 3 distinct roots
is if it is the zero polynomial $R = 0$.

But then $\tilde{T}_3(x) = q(x)$ by $R = \tilde{T}_3 - q$.
This contradicts $\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| < \frac{1}{4}$ since
 $\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |\tilde{T}_3(x)| = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus the existence of
such a monic cubic polynomial q with $\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |q(x)| < \frac{1}{4}$
is contradictory: no such q exists.

Page 177 #2 (4.6.2)

The interpolation nodes for the linear near-minimax approximation are the

roots of $T_2(x) = \cos(2 \arccos x)$,

i.e. $2 \arccos x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\frac{3\pi}{2}$, i.e.

$\arccos x = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\frac{3\pi}{4}$, i.e.

$x = \cos \frac{\pi}{4}$ or ~~$\cos \frac{3\pi}{4}$~~ $\cos \frac{3\pi}{4}$, i.e.

$x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ or $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

Thus $x_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $x_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

The linear polynomial that agrees with

$f(x) = e^x$ at these x_0, x_1 is

$$p(x) = e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} + \frac{e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(x - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right),$$

so p is the linear near-minimax

approximation for $f(x) = e^x$ on $[-1, 1]$.

$$p(x) = 1.260591837 + 1.085441641$$

page 177, #4 (4.6.4)

Suppose that $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function.

Then we can define a new function

$f: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = g\left(\frac{(b+a) + x(b-a)}{2}\right).$$

Suppose $p: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial that uniformly approximates f to within ϵ , i.e.

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - p(x)| < \epsilon.$$

Define $\varphi: [a, b] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ by

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{2t - (a+b)}{b-a} \quad \text{and define}$$

~~$\theta: [a, b] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$~~ $\theta: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [a, b]$ by

$$\theta(x) = \frac{b+a + x(b-a)}{2}$$

Notice φ and θ are inverses of each other and $f = g \circ \theta$ so $f \circ \varphi = g$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Thus } \forall t \in [-1, 1] \quad |g(t) - (p \circ \varphi)(t)| = \\
 & |g(t) - p(\varphi(t))| \\
 & = |(f \circ \varphi)(t) - p(\varphi(t))| \\
 & = |f(\varphi(t)) - p(\varphi(t))| \\
 & \leq \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - p(x)|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{so } & \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t) - (p \circ \varphi)(t)| \\
 & \leq \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - p(x)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } \forall x \in [-1, 1] \quad |f(x) - p(x)| =$$

~~$$|g(\theta(x)) - (p \circ \varphi)(\theta(x))|$$~~

$$|g(\theta(x)) - (p \circ \varphi)(\theta(x))|$$

$$\leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t) - (p \circ \varphi)(t)| \quad \text{so}$$

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - p(x)| \leq \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t) - (p \circ \varphi)(t)|,$$

$$\text{so actually } \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - p(x)| = \max_{t \in [a, b]} |g(t) - (p \circ \varphi)(t)|.$$

Thus, if p is a polynomial of degree n that uniformly approximates f on $[-1, 1]$ to within ϵ , then $p \circ \mathcal{U}$ is also a polynomial of degree n (since \mathcal{U} is a ~~real~~ degree 1 polynomial) which uniformly approximates g to within ϵ .

If $g(t) = e^t$ on $[0, 1]$,
then $f(x) = e^{\frac{x+1}{2}}$ on $[-1, 1]$.

The near-minimax cubic approximation
for f is that cubic polynomial p
that agrees with f at

$$x_0 = \cos \frac{\pi}{8}, \quad x_1 = \cos \frac{3\pi}{8}, \quad x_2 = \cos \frac{5\pi}{8}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = \cos \frac{7\pi}{8},$$

the zeroes of T_4 .

In particular

$$p(x) = 1.648180088 + \\ 0.8243066456x + \\ 0.210415131x^2 + \\ 0.0347799572x^3.$$

$$\text{Thus } (p \circ \ell)(t) = p(2t-1) =$$

$$0.9995086162 + \\ 1.01563251t + \\ 0.4243010376t^2 + \\ 0.2782396576t^3$$

is the near-minimax
cubic approximation of $t \mapsto e^t$ on $[0, 1]$.

Another equivalent way of finding the cubic ~~near~~ near minimax approximating polynomial on $[0, 1]$ for $t \mapsto e^t$ is to find the cubic that agrees with $t \mapsto e^t$ at the points

$$t_0 = \theta(x_0) = \frac{1+x_0}{2} = 0.9619397663$$

$$t_1 = \theta(x_1) = \frac{1+x_1}{2} = 0.6913417162$$

$$t_2 = \theta(x_2) = \frac{1+x_2}{2} = 0.3086582838 \text{ and}$$

$$t_3 = \theta(x_3) = \frac{1+x_3}{2} = 0.0380602337.$$

We find that it is

$$0.9995086158 +$$

$$1.01563251 t +$$

$$0.4243010377 t^2 +$$

$$0.2782396575 t^3.$$

Notice that this is the same answer up to rounding errors that are different.

This cubic near-minimax approximation is uniformly within 6×10^{-4} of $t \mapsto e^t$ on $[0, 1]$. (This was determined by graphing)

Meanwhile the ~~polynomial~~ actual -minimax approximation

$$m_3(x) = 0.9994552 + 1.0166023x + 0.4217030x^2 + 0.2799765x^3$$

is uniformly within 5.448×10^{-4} of $t \mapsto e^t$ on $[0, 1]$ (also by graphing).

Thus the near-minimax polynomial is almost as good as the actual minimax polynomial m_3 .

page 178 #10 (4.6.10)

Suppose that p is a polynomial of degree $2n$ or $2n-1$, so that we can have coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + a_{2n}x^{2n}$$

with $a_{2n} \neq 0$ if p has degree $2n$ or else

$a_{2n} = 0, a_{2n-1} \neq 0$ if p has degree $2n-1$.

Further suppose that p is an even function,

i.e. suppose $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad p(-x) = p(x)$.

We claim that

$$a_1 = a_3 = a_5 = \dots = a_{2n-1} = 0.$$

Proof: Define ~~the polynomial~~ q by

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} [p(x) - p(-x)]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} [a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + a_{2n}x^{2n} - (a_0 - a_1x + a_2x^2 - a_3x^3 + \dots + a_{2n}x^{2n})]$$

See Next page

Define the polynomial q by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad q(x) = \frac{1}{2} [p(x) - p(-x)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + a_{2n}x^{2n} \right. \\ \left. - (a_0 - a_1x + a_2x^2 - \dots - a_{2n-1}x^{2n-1} + a_{2n}x^{2n}) \right]$$

$$= a_1x + a_3x^3 + a_5x^5 + \dots + a_{2n-1}x^{2n-1}$$

Notice that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad q(x) = 0$ since

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} [p(x) - p(-x)] = \frac{1}{2} [p(x) - p(x)] = 0.$$

Thus every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a root of the polynomial q .

It is known that the only polynomial with more ~~to~~ than finitely many roots is the zero polynomial, i.e. the polynomial with all of its coefficients equal to zero.

Thus q 's coefficients are all equal to zero: $a_1 = 0, a_3 = 0, a_5 = 0, \dots, a_{2n-1} = 0.$

Similarly, if p is a polynomial which is odd, i.e. $p(-x) = -p(x)$,

$$\text{then } q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} [p(x) + p(-x)] =$$

$$= a_0 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x^4 + \dots + a_{2n} x^{2n}$$

is ~~the~~ equal to zero for every x ,

$$\text{so } a_0 = 0, a_2 = 0, a_4 = 0, \dots, a_{2n} = 0.$$