Question 0 was worth for 0 points. The other questions will count for 6 points each. The maximum possible score on this exam will be 42.

**0**. Name a French mathematician who died as the result of a duel.

I asked this question once before, on an exam for our undergraduate Galois theory course (Math 114). When I asked the question, I misspelled "duel" as "dual"; some of the students made fun of me for this. Also, I worded the question in such a way that I had Galois dying at the duel. However, I was told today at the MSRI that he died the next day as a result of wounds that he got at the duel.

1. Let A be a normal subgroup of order p of a finite p-group G. Prove that A is contained in the center of G.

The group G acts on A by conjugation via a homomorphism G: Aut A. The target group has order p-1, while the source group has p-power order. Thus the homomorphism is trivial—everything in G is mapped to the identity automorphism. Thus A is in the center of G, as required.

**2.** In a non-abelian group of order 55, find the number of elements of order n for n = 1, 5, 11, 55. Are there non-abelian groups of order 55?

There are no elements of order 55; if there were, the group would be cyclic, hence abelian. There is one element of order 1: the identity. There is precisely one 11-Sylow subgroup of the group because the number of 11-Sylows divides 5 and is congruent to 1 mod 11. Hence there are 10 elements of order 11. It follows that the number of elements of order 5 is 55 - 1 - 10 = 44. This statement is equivalent to the fact that there are 11 5-Sylow subgroups of the group, which we could have seen otherwise. To construct a non-abelian group of order 55, we should take a semi-direct product. The idea is that a group of order 5 can act non-trivially on a group of order 11 since the group of automorphisms of a group of order 11 has order 10 and thus has some elements of order 5. A good exercise, which I haven't done, is to calculate the number of non-abelian groups of order 55, up to isomorphism.

**3**. Let **F** be a finite field, and set q = #(F). For each  $d \ge 1$ , let  $f_d \in \mathbf{F}[X]$  be the product of the monic irreducible degree-d polynomials over **F**. Show, for each  $n \ge 1$ , that  $X^{q^n} - X = \prod_{d|n} f_d$ .

I haven't yet graded this question yet; in fact, I'm about to grade it. I anticipate that there will be some question about what information it's legitimate to use in your solution. What's clear to me going in is that the polynomial  $X^{q^n} - X$  has derivative -1; hence, it

cannot be divisible by the square of any non-constant polynomial. Accordingly, when we factor it as a product of irreducible polynomials, each polynomial in the product occurs only once. Thus it suffices to show that an irreducible polynomial f(x) divides  $X^{q^n} - X$  if and only if its degree divides n. Let  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{F}$ . As explained on page 245 of our text, the roots of  $X^{q^n} - X$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$  form a field  $\mathbf{F}'$  of degree n over  $\mathbf{F}$ . (Thus  $\mathbf{F}'$  has  $q^n$  elements.) Suppose that f(x) is an irreducible polynomial that divides  $X^{q^n} - X$ , and let  $\alpha$  be a root of f(x) in  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ . Then  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}'$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha)$  is a subfield of  $\mathbf{F}'$ . Hence  $[\mathbf{F}(\alpha):\mathbf{F}]$  divides n. Since this field degree is the degree of f, we get that the degree of f divides f0 has degree f1 over f2. In particular, f3 satisfies this polynomial, which implies that  $f(x) = \mathrm{Irr}(\alpha, \mathbf{F}, X)$  divides f3. This latter polynomial is a divisor of f4 divisor of f6.

**4**. Let K/k be a finite Galois extension. Set G = Gal(K/k) and let H be a subgroup of G. Express the group of field automorphisms  $Aut_k(K^H)$  as a quotient of a subgroup of G.

Let  $F = K^H$ . An automorphism of F is the restriction to F of an automorphism of K. (Maps  $F \to K$  can be extended to maps  $K \to \overline{K}$ , but these latter extensions have images in K.) Let g be an automorphism of K (tacitly assumed to be the identity on k). Then g maps F, which corresponds to the subgroup F of F is an automorphism of F in automorphism of

**5**. Let p be a prime number different from 2, and let  $\zeta$  be a complex pth root of 1 ( $\zeta \neq 1$ ). Set  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and determine the degree  $[\mathbf{Q}(\alpha):\mathbf{Q}]$ . When p=7, calculate  $\mathrm{Irr}(\alpha,\mathbf{Q},X)$ .

As we discussed in class,  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$  is a Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  whose degree is p-1. The Galois group of the extension is canonically  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$ , a cyclic group of order p-1. In the dictionary between elements of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$  and automorphisms of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ , the number i mod p corresponds to the automorphism that sends  $\zeta$  to  $\zeta^i$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  is a cyclic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree dividing p-1. The degree is the number of distinct conjugates  $alpha_i := \zeta^i + \zeta^{-i}$  of  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ . Let us calculate the number of distinct  $\alpha_i$ . Certainly  $\alpha_i$  depends only on the image of i in  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ ; i.e.,  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{-i}$ . Conversely, suppose  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ , which is to say that  $\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i} = \zeta^j + \zeta^{-j}$ . We can suppose that we have  $1 \le i, j \le p-1$  for definitiveness. An important fact here is that the numbers  $\zeta, \zeta^2, \ldots, \zeta^{p-1}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Indeed, a linear dependence among them would yield on division by  $\zeta$  a linear dependence among  $1, \zeta, \ldots, \zeta^{p-2}$ , which would contradict the fact that  $\zeta$  has degree p-1 over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The important fact implies that  $i=\pm j$ , which is enough to show that there are (p-1)/2 different  $\alpha_i$ . Hence  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  has degree (p-1)/2 over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

To find the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  for p=7 is a computation that is either annoying or amusing, depending on your mood and personality. I did the computation in preparation

for a lecture last month, but I didn't have time to present it in my lecture. The idea is to start with the minimal polynominal for  $\zeta$  and to divide it by the middle power of  $\zeta$  so that  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$  occur in a balanced way:

$$0 = \zeta^6 + \zeta^5 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 \implies 0 = (\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3}) + (\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 1.$$

We have to write each of the terms in parentheses on the right-hand side as a polynomial in  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ . Since  $\alpha^2 = \zeta^2 + 2 + \zeta^{-1}$ ,  $\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2} = \alpha^2 - 2$ . Also  $\alpha^3 = \zeta^3 + 3(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + \zeta^{-3}$ , so  $\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3} = \alpha^3 - 3\alpha$ . Thus

$$0 = \alpha^{3} - 3\alpha + \alpha^{2} - 2 + \alpha + 1 = \alpha^{3} + \alpha^{2} - 2\alpha - 1.$$

Your mileage here may vary—I may have screwed up this computation, which I'm doing directly onto the screen. On the other hand, I just used a computer algebra system to compute the discriminant of  $x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 1$ ; the discriminant is 49, so I'm actually now fairly confident that I got the right answer.

**6**. Let S be a multiplicative subset of a commutative ring A. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of ideals of A that contain no element of S. Show that each maximal element of  $\mathcal{I}$  is a prime ideal of A.

This was a homework problem, I believe. I believe also that I sketched or wrote out a solution based on the correspondence between ideals of A and ideals of  $S^{-1}A$ . Let's try to do this directly. Take a maximal element  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and suppose that it's not prime. Then there are  $x, y \in A$  with  $x \notin I$ ,  $y \notin I$ , but  $xy \in I$ . The ideal (x) + I is bigger than I so must contain an element s of S. Similarly, (y) + I contains some  $s' \in S$ . Thus the ideal J := ((x) + I)((y) + I) contains  $ss' \in S$ . However, it is clear that we have  $J \subseteq I$  because  $sy \in I$ .

7. Suppose that A is an abelian group with the following extension property: If N is a subgroup of an abelian group M and  $\varphi \colon N \to A$  is a homomorphism, there is a homomorphism  $\Phi \colon M \to A$  that extends  $\varphi$ . Show that A is a divisible abelian group: for each  $a \in A$  and  $n \geq 1$ , there is a b in A so that nb = a.

Given  $a \in A$ , we define  $\varphi \colon \mathbf{Z} \to A$  so that  $1 \mapsto a$ . We consider  $\mathbf{Z}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}$  (the additive group of rationals) and choose  $\Phi \colon \mathbf{Q} \to A$  extending  $\varphi$ . We can take  $b = \Phi \frac{1}{n}$ ; then nb = a.