1 (6 points). Establish the irreducibility over $\mathbf{Q}$ of each of the following polynomials:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{13}+27 x^{2}-120 x+69 \\
x^{3}+3 x^{2}+9 \\
x^{3}+x^{2}+2
\end{array}\right.
$$

It might help to remember that we discussed three criteria for irreducibility in class.

The first polynomial is visibly an Eisenstein polynomial with $p=3$. The second is irreducible mod 2 . The third is irreducible if it has no roots in $\mathbf{Z}$, but the only possible integral roots are $\pm 1, \pm 2$. It's easy to check that these numbers aren't roots of the third polynomial.

2 (6 points). Suppose that $A$ is an integral domain (i.e., a commutative entire ring). Suppose that $I$ and $J$ are non-zero ideals of $A$ for which the product IJ is a principal ideal. Show that the ideals $I$ and $J$ are finitely generated.

See problem \#13 on page 116, which was assigned for homework a few weeks ago. Suppose that $I J=(a)$, and write $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$, with $x_{i} \in I, y_{i} \in J$. I claim that $I=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. For $x \in I$, we have $a x=\sum x_{i}\left(x y_{i}\right)$. Since $x y_{i} \in I J=(a)$, we can write $x y_{i}=a t_{i}$ for some $t_{i} \in A$. This gives $a x=\sum x_{i} a t_{i}=a \sum x_{i} t_{i}$. Then $x=\sum x_{i} t_{i}$ because $A$ is entire. Therefore, $x \in\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

3 (7 points). Find a set $X$ and a subset $S_{X}$ of $X$ with the following property: if $A$ is a set and $S$ a subset of $A$, there is a unique map $\varphi: A \rightarrow X$ such that $S=\varphi^{-1}\left(S_{X}\right)$.

Discuss the implication of the existence of $\left(X, S_{X}\right)$ for the association (sets) $\rightarrow$ (sets) that takes each set to the set of its subsets. (Explain how the association defines a contravariant functor and decide whether or not the functor is representable.)

The set $X$ that I had in mind is a set with two elements, say $X=\{0,1\}$. We can then take $S_{X}=\{1\}$; what's important is that it be a 1 -element subset of
the set with two elements. Given $S \subseteq A$, we define $\varphi(a)$ to be 1 if $a \in S$ and 0 otherwise. Then clearly $S=\varphi^{-1}\left(S_{X}\right)$, and $\varphi$ is the only map that works.

If $A$ is a set, let $F(A)$ be the set of subsets of $A$. Then clearly $F(A)=\operatorname{Maps}(A, X)$ in view of what's in the previous paragraph. The point is that we can regard $F$ as either a covariant or a contravariant functor. Indeed, if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a map of sets and $S$ is a subset of $A$, then $f(S)$ is a subset of $B$. But, in the other direction, if $T$ is a subset of $B$, then $f^{-1}(T)$ is a subset of $A$. If we think of $F$ as a covariant functor, then it isn't representable in any obvious way; my guess is that it isn't representable. (If you see why this is true, let me know.) On the other hand, if we think of $F$ as a contravariant functor, then it's representable by $X$, together with the supplemental datum $S_{X} \in F(X)$. Namely, as discussed, we have for each $A$ a bijection $F(A) \leftleftarrows \operatorname{Maps}(A, X)$ given by $\varphi \in \operatorname{Maps}(A, X) \mapsto \varphi^{-1}\left(S_{X}\right)$.

4 (6 points). Consider the commutative diagram

of abelian groups and homomorphisms.
Assume:
(1) The kernel of $v$ is the image of $u$;
(2) The kernel of $b^{\prime}$ is the image of $b$;
(3) The compositions $v^{\prime} \circ u^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime} \circ a$ are both 0 ;
(4) The maps $c$ and $u^{\prime}$ are injective;
(5) The map $a^{\prime}$ is surjective.

Show that $u^{\prime \prime}$ is injective. (Source: Bourbaki)
Take $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in A^{\prime \prime}$ with $u^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. Using the surjectivity of $a^{\prime}$, pick $\alpha^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ that maps to $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$. Its image $\beta^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ is in the kernel of $b^{\prime}$, which is the image of $b$. Find $\beta \in B$ such that $b(\beta)=\beta^{\prime}$, and let let $\gamma=v(\beta)$. The image of $\gamma$ in $C^{\prime}$ is 0 , because it's the image of $\beta^{\prime}$, which is the image of $\alpha^{\prime}$ under $u^{\prime}$. Because $c$ is injective, $\gamma=0$. By the exactness of the top row, there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\beta=u(\alpha)$. If we can show that $\alpha^{\prime}=a(\alpha)$, then we are done because the image of $\alpha$ in $A^{\prime \prime}$ will be both $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ and 0 . Let $\theta=a(\alpha)$. Then the commutativity of the diagram shows that the image of $\theta$ in $B^{\prime}$ is $\beta^{\prime}$, which is the same as the image of $\alpha^{\prime}$. But $u^{\prime}$ is injective, so $\theta=\alpha^{\prime}$, as desired.

