

# Math 54 Discussion Section SOLUTIONS

Rob Bayer

April 14, 2008

Don't freak out. Some of these problems are meant to be hard.

1. Prove that if  $A$  is invertible, then  $AB$  is similar to  $BA$

$AB = A(BA)A^{-1}$ , so we can just use  $P = A$  in the definition of similar.

2. Let  $W = \text{span} \{(1, 0, 1, -1)^T, (0, 1, 2, 2)^T\}$ . Find an orthogonal basis for  $W^\perp$

We know  $(\text{Col } A)^\perp = \text{Nul } A^T$ , so we just compute  $\text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and get that  $\{(-1, -2, 1, 0)^T, (1, -2, 0, 1)^T\}$  is a basis for  $W^\perp$ . To make it orthogonal, use gram-schmidt: (details omitted).

3. Let  $A$  be a square matrix. Prove that  $A$  is invertible if and only if 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$  by showing:

A cuter way to do this problem would be to say:

0 is an eigenvalue  $\Leftrightarrow \det(A - 0I) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \det A = 0 \Leftrightarrow A$  is not invertible.

- (a) If 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $A$  is invertible.

If 0 is not an eigenvalue, then  $Ax = 0x$  has only the trivial solution, so  $A$  is invertible.

- (b) If  $A$  is invertible, then 0 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A$  has trivial nullspace, so  $Ax = 0$  has only the trivial solution. But this equation is the same as  $Ax = 0x$ , so we see that there are no eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = 0$

4. Prove or give a counterexample:

- (a) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A^n$  for any positive integer  $n$ .

$$A^n v = \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdots A}_n v = \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdots A}_{n-1} (Av) = \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdots A}_{n-1} \lambda v = \lambda \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdots A}_{n-2} Av = \lambda^2 \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdots A}_{n-3} (Av) = \dots = \lambda^n v$$

so  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda^n$

- (b) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}$ , then  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A^{-1}$ .

Since  $Av = \lambda v$ , we know  $A^{-1}Av = \lambda A^{-1}v$ . Since  $A$  is invertible, we know  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so we can divide both sides of the equation to get  $\frac{1}{\lambda}v = A^{-1}v$ , so  $v$  is an e-vec corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$

5. Find the eigenvalues of  $A^9$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 7 & 11 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

The eigenvalues of  $A$  are 1, -1, -2, 2, so the eigenvalues of  $A^9$  are  $1^9, (-1)^9, (-2)^9, 2^9$ .

6. Compute  $A^{10}$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

The eigenvalues of  $A$  are 1, 2 with corresponding eigenvectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

So  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (the last matrix here is just the inverse of the first one)

$$\text{Then } A^{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1^{10} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{10} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1023 & 1024 \end{bmatrix}$$

7. Give an example of each of the following:

(a) A matrix that is invertible, but not diagonalizable

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) A matrix that is diagonalizable, but not invertible

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) A matrix that is diagonalizable, but not orthogonally diagonalizable

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: this is basically just asking for a non-symmetric diagonalizable matrix.

(d) A matrix that is diagonalizable, but has no QR factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

8. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ . Are matrices of this form always diagonalizable?

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ b & a - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (a - \lambda)^2 - b^2, \text{ so we need } a - \lambda = \pm b. \text{ That is, } \lambda = a \pm b \text{ are the eigenvalues.}$$

If  $b = 0$ , then our matrix is already diagonal and  $A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , so every vector is an eigenvector of  $A$ . A basis for the eigenspace is thus  $e_1, e_2$ .

So now let's look at  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $a + b \neq a - b$ , so  $A$  is diagonalizable since it has two distinct eigenvalues. Let's find bases for each of the eigenspaces:

$$(\lambda = a + b): A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} -b & b \\ b & -b \end{bmatrix}. \text{ So a basis for the eigenspace is } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\lambda = a - b): A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} b & b \\ b & b \end{bmatrix}. \text{ So a basis for the eigenspace is } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: regardless of whether  $b = 0$ , we can find two linearly indep. eigenvectors, so all matrices of this form are diagonalizable.

9. Let  $V = C[-1, 1]$  and give it the standard inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x)dx$  Find the degree-2 polynomial  $p(x)$  that minimizes  $\|e^x - p\|$

This problem is a pain. In short: start by using G-S to find an orthogonal basis for  $\text{span}\{1, t, t^2\}$ .

You should get  $\{1, t, t^2 - \frac{1}{3}\}$ . Then compute the projection of  $e^x$  onto that basis and get  $\frac{e + \frac{1}{e}}{2} + \frac{3}{e}t + \frac{15(e^2 - 7)}{4e}(t^2 - \frac{1}{3})$

10. Suppose  $A$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix with eigenvalues 1 and 2 and that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector corresponding

to  $\lambda = 1$  and that  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$  are eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = 2$ . Find  $A$ .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \text{messy calculations}$$