

MATH 274 HOMEWORK #6, DUE MARCH 31, 2003

BJORN POONEN

2.12) Let X be a nice genus 0 curve over a global field k . Use the description of $\text{Br } k$ to prove the following:

- (a) The curve X has a k -point if and only if X has a k_v -point for every place v of k .
- (b) The number of places v for which $X(k_v) = \emptyset$ is finite and even.

Solution.

- (a) Let x be the element of $\text{Br } k$ corresponding to the Severi-Brauer variety X . Then X has a k -point if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^1$, which holds if and only if $x = 0$. The base extension X_{k_v} corresponds to the image of x in $\text{Br } k_v$. So the result follows from the injectivity of $\text{Br } k \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br } k_v$.
- (b) Equivalently, we must show that the number of places v for which the image of x in $\text{Br } k_v$ is nonzero is finite and even. Since $2x = 0$, this image, if nonzero, satisfies $\text{inv}_v(x) = 1/2$. Thus the result follows from the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Br } k \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br } k_v \xrightarrow{\sum \text{inv}_v} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

2.13) Let k be an imperfect field of characteristic p , and suppose $t \in k - k^p$. Let G be the k -subvariety of \mathbb{G}_a^2 defined by the equation $y^p = tx^p + x$. Prove that

- (a) G is a subgroup scheme of \mathbb{G}_a^2 .
- (b) $G_{\bar{k}} \simeq (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}}$ as \bar{k} -group schemes.
- (c) G is not isomorphic to the k -group scheme \mathbb{G}_a .
- (d) G as a k -variety (without group structure) is not isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 .

Solution.

- (a) The morphism $\phi : \mathbb{G}_a^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ given by (x, y) to $y^p - tx^p - x$ is clearly additive in characteristic p , so it is a homomorphism. Now $G = \ker \phi$, so G is a subgroup scheme of \mathbb{G}_a^2 .
- (b) Rewrite the equation as $(y - t^{1/p}x)^p = x$. We claim that the morphism $G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}}$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto y - t^{1/p}x$ is an isomorphism. Solving the system $y^p = tx^p + x$, $y - t^{1/p}x = z$ for (x, y) leads to the formula for an inverse: $z \mapsto (z^p, z + t^{1/p}z^p)$. Composing the two maps in either order, we find that they are indeed inverse isomorphisms between $G_{\bar{k}}$ and $(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}}$.
- (c) This will follow from the next part.
- (d) Every isomorphism of \bar{k} -varieties $(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^1$ has the form $z \mapsto az + b$ for some $a \in \bar{k}^\times$, $b \in \bar{k}$. Any isomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, when base extended to \bar{k} , must be a composition of the isomorphism $G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}}$ in part (b) with an isomorphism

of \bar{k} -varieties $(\mathbb{G}_a)_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^1$, and hence must have the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto a(y - t^{1/p}x) + b.$$

But there is no choice of $a \in \bar{k}^\times$ and $b \in \bar{k}$ for which this has coefficients in k , because the ratio of the coefficients of x and y equals $t^{1/p}$, which is not in k .

□

2.14) Let k be a field. (Assume that the characteristic is not 2, if you want.)

- (a) Find explicit equations for all 1-dimensional tori T over k .
- (b) For each T , find explicit equations for all k -torsors under T .

Solution.

- (a) Let L be a 2-dimensional étale k -algebra. Thus $L = k \times k$ or L is a separable quadratic extension of k . Let $f_L(x, y)$ denote a norm form for L/k . Let T^L be the affine k -variety $f_L(x, y) = 1$. The isomorphism type of T^L does not depend on the basis of L over k used to define f_L . If $L = L_0 := k \times k$, then the norm form relative to the standard basis $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ is xy , so $T^{L_0} = \mathbb{G}_m$.

For any $L \neq L_0$, we have $L \otimes k_s \simeq L_0 \otimes k_s$, and any choice of isomorphism ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi' : (T^L)_{k^s} \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m)_{k^s}$; in other words, T^L is a 1-dimensional torus. Each $\sigma \in G_k$ transforms ϕ to ϕ or ϕ composed with the nontrivial k^s -algebra automorphism of $L_0 \otimes k_s = k_s \times k_s$ (interchanging the coordinates) according to whether $\sigma \in G_L \subseteq G_k$, and σ transforms ϕ' to ϕ' composed with the identity or the inverse on $(\mathbb{G}_m)_{k^s}$, accordingly. Thus T^L corresponds to a 1-cocycle representing the element of $H^1(G_k, \pm 1) = \text{Hom}(G_k, \pm 1)$ with kernel G_L . Thus the T_L (including the one with $L = L_0$) exhaust all possible cohomology classes, so we have obtained all twists of \mathbb{G}_m .

Explicitly, if k is of characteristic not 2, then Kummer theory describes the possibilities for L : for each $a \in k^\times$ (modulo squares), we have the torus $x^2 - ay^2 = 1$ corresponding to the basis $\{1, \sqrt{a}\}$ of $L = k[t]/(t^2 - a)$. Similarly if k is of characteristic 2, we use Artin-Schreier theory, and obtain the torus $x^2 + xy + ay^2 = 1$ for each $a \in k$ modulo the additive subgroup $\{b - b^2 : b \in k\}$.

- (b) We need to compute $H^1(G_k, T(k^s))$. If $T = \mathbb{G}_m$, then $H^1(G_k, T(k^s)) = H^1(G_k, (k^s)^\times) = 0$ by Hilbert's Theorem 90, so there are no nontrivial torsors under \mathbb{G}_m . Otherwise, we fix a quadratic extension L and norm form f_L such that $T \simeq T^L$. In the inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(L/k), T(L)) \rightarrow H^1(G_k, T(k^s)) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, T(k^s)),$$

the term on the right is zero by Hilbert's Theorem 90, since $T_L \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m)_L$. Thus $H^1(G_k, T(k^s)) = H^1(\text{Gal}(L/k), T(L))$. Under the isomorphism $T_L \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m)_L$, we have $T(L) = L^\times$ but the action of the nontrivial element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ is given by $\ell \mapsto 1/\sigma\ell$. Thus

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(L/k), T(L)) = \frac{\ker(\text{norm})}{\text{im}(\sigma - 1)} = \frac{\ker(\ell \mapsto \ell \cdot 1/\sigma\ell)}{\text{im}(\ell \mapsto (1/\sigma\ell)/\ell)} = \frac{k^\times}{N_{L/k}(L^\times)}.$$

On the other hand, given $b \in k^\times$, the k -variety $f_L(x, y) = b$ is a k -torsor under T , and a straightforward calculation shows that the corresponding cohomology class in $H^1(G_k, T(k^s))$ is identified with the image of b in $k^\times/N_{L/k}(L^\times)$ under the isomorphisms above.

Explicitly, if k is of characteristic not 2 (resp. characteristic 2), all the torsors of $x^2 - ay^2 = 1$ (resp. $x^2 + xy + ay^2 = 1$) are of the form $x^2 - ay^2 = b$ (resp. $x^2 + xy + ay^2 = b$) for some $b \in k^\times$ modulo the norm group $N_{L/k}(L^\times)$ where $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$ (resp. L is obtained by adjoining a zero of $x^2 + x + a$ to k).

□

- 2.15) Show that the general definition of “ S -torsor under G ” is equivalent, in the case where $S = \text{Spec } k$ and G is an algebraic group over k , to the definition of “ k -torsor under G ” given earlier. (Hint: use the fact that G is smooth over k to show that X is smooth over k .)

Solution. If X is a k -torsor under G , then explicit equations for the isomorphism $X_{k^s} \rightarrow G_{k^s}$ involve only finitely many elements of k^s , so the isomorphism comes from an isomorphism $X_L \rightarrow G_L$ for some *finite* Galois extension L/k . Let $S' = \text{Spec } L$. Since $S' \rightarrow S$ is fppf, X is an S -torsor under G .

Conversely, suppose that X is an S -torsor under G . Thus $X_{S'} \simeq G_{S'}$ for some fppf morphism $S' \rightarrow S$. By definition of algebraic group, G is smooth over k , so $G_{S'}$ is smooth over S' , and $X_{S'}$ is smooth over S' . By fpqc descent, it follows that X is smooth over k . Thus X is geometrically reduced, and $X \neq \emptyset$, so $X(k^s) \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition ???. Thus X_{k^s} is a trivial torsor under G_{k^s} . This means that X is a k -torsor under G . □

- 2.16) Let $L = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p(t^p)$. Let X be the L -scheme $\text{Spec } L[x]/(x^p - t)$. Compute $\text{Res}_{L/k} X$.

Solution. The answer is the empty scheme! We use the basis $\{1, t, \dots, t^{p-1}\}$ for L/k . To find the restriction of scalars, we substitute

$$x = y_0 + ty_1 + \dots + t^{p-1}y_{p-1}$$

into the equation $x^p - t = 0$ defining L , and rewrite the result as

$$F_0 + F_1t + \dots + F_{p-1}t^{p-1} = 0$$

with $F_i \in k[y_0, \dots, y_{p-1}]$. We get

$$F_0 = y_0^p + t^p y_1^p + \dots + t^{p(p-1)} y_{p-1}^p,$$

$$F_1 = -1,$$

$$F_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

Then $\text{Res}_{L/k} X$ is $\text{Spec } k[y_0, \dots, y_{p-1}]/(F_0, \dots, F_{p-1})$. This is the empty scheme, since $F_1 = -1$ generates the unit ideal. □