

SYNOPSIS OF MATERIAL FROM EGA II
§4: PROJECTIVE BUNDLES AND AMPLE SHEAVES, 4.1–4

4.1. Definition of projective bundles.

Definition (4.1.1). — Let $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{E})$ be the symmetric algebra of a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module. The *projective bundle over Y defined by \mathcal{E}* is the Y -scheme $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}(\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{E}))$. The twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is its *fundamental sheaf*.

If Y is affine, $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{E}$, we also write $\mathbf{P}(E)$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_Y^n$, we put $\mathbf{P}_Y^{n-1} = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, also denoted \mathbf{P}_A^{n-1} if $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$.

(4.1.2). A surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induces a closed immersion $j: Q = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) = P$, such that $j^*\mathcal{O}_P(n) = \mathcal{O}_Q(n)$ [(3.6.2–3)].

(4.1.3). Given a morphism $\psi: Y' \rightarrow Y$, we have $P' = \mathbf{P}(\psi^*\mathcal{E}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_Y Y'$, and $\mathcal{O}_{P'}(n) = \mathcal{O}_P(n) \otimes_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ [(3.5.3–4)].

Proposition (4.1.4). — *If \mathcal{L} is invertible, we have an isomorphism $i: P = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = Q$, and $i^*\mathcal{O}_Q(n) = \mathcal{O}_P(n) \otimes_Y \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ [(3.1.8, (iii)), (3.2.10)].*

(4.1.5). Let $p: P = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$ be the structure morphism. Since $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{E})_1$, we have canonical homomorphisms $\alpha_1: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ (3.3.2) and [by (0, 4.4.3)]

$$(4.1.5.1) \quad \alpha_1^\sharp: p^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1).$$

Proposition (4.1.6). — *The canonical homomorphism (4.1.5.1) is surjective [(3.2.4)].*

4.2. Morphisms from a prescheme to a projective bundle.

(4.2.1). Keep the notation of (4.1.5). Let $q: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Y -prescheme, $r: X \rightarrow P$ a Y -morphism. Then $\mathcal{L}_r = r^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)$ is an invertible sheaf on X , and we deduce from (4.1.5.1) a canonical surjection

$$(4.2.1.1) \quad \phi_r: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_r.$$

Suppose $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$, $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{E}$, $f \in E$, so $r^{-1}(D_+(f)) = X_{\phi_r^\sharp(f)}$ by (2.6.3), $U = \text{Spec}(B) \subseteq X_{\phi_r^\sharp(f)}$. On U , r corresponds to a ring homomorphism $S_{(f)} \rightarrow B$, where $S = \mathbf{S}(E)$. We have $q^*(\mathcal{E})|_U = (E \otimes_A B)^\sim$ and $\mathcal{L}_r|_U = \tilde{L}_r$, where $L_r = S(1)_{(f)} \otimes_{S_{(f)}} B$. Then ϕ_r corresponds to $E \otimes_A B \rightarrow L_r$ given by $x \otimes 1 \mapsto (f/1) \otimes (x/f)$.

(4.2.2). Conversely, suppose given $q: X \rightarrow Y$, an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{L} , and a homomorphism $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Then we get an \mathcal{O}_X -algebra homomorphism $\psi: q^*(\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{L})$, inducing a Y -morphism $r_{\mathcal{L},\psi}: G(\psi) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ as in (3.7.1). If ϕ is surjective, then so is ψ , and $r_{\mathcal{L},\psi}$ is defined on all of X .

Proposition (4.2.3). — *Given $q: X \rightarrow Y$ and a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{E} , Y -morphisms $r: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ correspond bijectively to equivalence classes of surjective \mathcal{O}_X -module homomorphisms $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with \mathcal{L} invertible, where (\mathcal{L}, ϕ) , (\mathcal{L}', ϕ') are equivalent if there is an isomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\phi' = \tau \circ \phi$.*

Theorem (4.2.4). — *The set of Y -sections of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ corresponds bijectively with the set of quasi-coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ such that \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F} is invertible. [Special case of (4.2.3) with $X = Y$.]*

If $Y = \text{Spec}(k)$ this identifies the k -points of \mathbf{P}_k^{n-1} with the set of codimension-1 subspaces $F \subseteq k^n$.

Remark (4.2.5). — Proposition (4.2.3) says that the functor represented by $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ on the category of Y -preschemes is naturally isomorphic to the functor that assigns to X the set of quasi-coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subseteq q^*(\mathcal{E})$ such that the quotient is invertible (pullback via $\psi: X' \rightarrow X$ makes this a functor in an obvious way). Later we'll see that "Grassmann schemes," for instance, can be defined similarly.

Corollary (4.2.6). — *Suppose that every invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -module is trivial. Let $A = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, and $V = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, regarded as an A -module. Let V^* be the subset of surjections in V , A^* the group of units in A . Then the set of Y -sections of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is identified with V^*/A^* .*

The hypothesis holds for any local scheme Y (I, 2.4.8). For any extension K of $k(y)$, the set of K -points of the fiber $p^{-1}(y)$ of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is identified (4.1.3.1) with the projective space of codimension-1 subspaces in the vector space $\mathcal{E}(y) \otimes_{k(y)} K$, where $\mathcal{E}(y) = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) = \mathcal{E}/\mathfrak{m}_y \mathcal{E}$.

If $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ and all invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -modules are trivial [e.g., if A is a UFD], then when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_Y^n$, we have $V = A^n$ in (4.2.6), V^* consists of systems (f_1, \dots, f_n) which generate the unit ideal in A , and two such define the same Y -section of \mathbf{P}_A^{n-1} if they differ by multiplication by a unit of A .

Thus $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ generalizes the classical concept of projective space.

(4.2.7). [Later we will see how to determine the group of invertible sheaves on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, which leads to a description of the sheaf of local automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ over Y as a quotient of the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{A}ut(\mathcal{E})$.]

(4.2.8). Keep the notation of (4.2.1). If $u: X' \rightarrow X$ is a morphism, and $r: X \rightarrow P$ corresponds to $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then $r \circ u$ corresponds to $u^*(\phi)$.

(4.2.9). Suppose $v: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is surjective, and let $j: \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be the corresponding closed immersion (4.1.2). If $r: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ corresponds to $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then $j \circ r$ corresponds to $\phi \circ q^*(v)$.

(4.2.10). Given $\psi: Y' \rightarrow Y$ and $r: X \rightarrow P$, the base extension $r_{(Y')}: X_{(Y')} \rightarrow P' = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}')$, where $\mathcal{E}' = \psi^*(\mathcal{E})$, corresponds to $\phi_{(Y')} = \phi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{Y'}}$.

4.3. The Segre morphism.

(4.3.1). Let \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules. Set $P_1 = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, $P_2 = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$, with structure morphisms $p_i: P_i \rightarrow Y$. Let $Q = P_1 \times_Y P_2$, with projections $q_i: Q \rightarrow P_i$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{P_1}(1) \otimes_Y \mathcal{O}_{P_2}(1) = q_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{P_1}(1)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Q} q_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{P_2}(1))$, an invertible \mathcal{O}_Q -module. Then $r = p_1 \circ q_1 = p_2 \circ q_2$ is the structure morphism $Q \rightarrow Y$, and the canonical surjections $p_i^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_i}(1)$ give rise to a surjection

$$(4.3.1.1) \quad s: r^*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

By (4.2.2) this induces a morphism, the *Segre morphism*

$$(4.3.1.2) \quad \zeta: \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times_Y \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}).$$

Set $P = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F})$. Making things explicit for Y affine, $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{E}$, $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{F}$, one shows that

$$\zeta^{-1}(P_{x \otimes y}) = (P_1)_x \times_Y (P_2)_y,$$

which comes down to the following easy lemma.

Lemma (4.3.2). — Given A -algebras B, B' , and elements $t \in B, t' \in B'$, one has $D(t \otimes t') = D(t) \times_Y D(t')$ in $\text{Spec}(B) \times_A \text{Spec}(B')$.

Proposition (4.3.3). — The Segre morphism is a closed immersion.

(4.3.4). The Segre morphism is functorial with respect to closed immersions $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F}') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ induced by surjections $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$.

(4.3.5). The Segre morphism commutes with base extension by $\psi: Y' \rightarrow Y$.

Remark (4.3.6). — There is also a canonical closed immersion of the disjoint union of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ into $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$.

4.4. Immersions into projective bundles. Very ample sheaves.

Proposition (4.4.1). — Let Y be a quasi-compact scheme or a prescheme with Noetherian underlying space, $q: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of finite type, \mathcal{L} an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module.

(i) Let \mathcal{S} be a graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra, and $\psi: q^*(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{L})$ a graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebra homomorphism. Then $r_{\mathcal{L}, \psi}$ is an everywhere defined immersion iff there exist n and a quasi-coherent submodule \mathcal{E} of finite type in \mathcal{S}_n , such that the induced homomorphism $q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is surjective and the corresponding morphism $r: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is an immersion.

(ii) Let \mathcal{F} be a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module and $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ a surjection. Then $r_{\mathcal{L}, \phi}$ is an immersion if and only if there is a quasi-coherent sub-sheaf $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ of finite type such that $\phi': q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is surjective and $r_{\mathcal{L}, \phi'}$ is an immersion.

[The proof uses (3.8.5).]

Definition (4.4.2). — Given $q: X \rightarrow Y$, an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{L} is *very ample* (for q) if there exists a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{E} and an immersion of Y -schemes $i: X \hookrightarrow P = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cong i^* \mathcal{O}_P(1)$.

Equivalently, there exists a surjection $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $r_{\mathcal{L}, \phi}$ is an immersion. Note that the existence of a very ample sheaf entails that q must be *separated* (3.1.3).

Corollary (4.4.3). — If $\mathcal{L} \cong i^* \mathcal{O}_P(1)$ for an immersion $i: X \rightarrow P = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{S})$, where \mathcal{S} is a graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra generated by \mathcal{S}_1 , then \mathcal{L} is very ample.

Proposition (4.4.4). — Suppose $q: X \rightarrow Y$ quasi-compact, \mathcal{L} an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module. The following are equivalent:

(a) \mathcal{L} is very ample for q ;

(b) $q_*(\mathcal{L})$ is quasi-coherent, the canonical homomorphism $\sigma: q^*(q_*(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is surjective, and $r_{\mathcal{L}, \sigma}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(q_*(\mathcal{L}))$ is an immersion.

Recall that since q is quasi-compact, $q_*(\mathcal{L})$ is quasi-coherent if q is separated.

Corollary (4.4.5). — *Suppose q quasi-compact. If there exists an open covering (U_α) of Y such that $\mathcal{L}|_{q^{-1}(U_\alpha)}$ is very ample relative to U_α , for all α , then \mathcal{L} is very ample.*

Proposition (4.4.6). — *Let Y be a quasi-compact scheme or a prescheme with Noetherian underlying space, $q: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of finite type, \mathcal{L} an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then the conditions of (4.4.4) are also equivalent to:*

(a') *There exists an \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{E} of finite type and a surjection $\phi: q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $r_{\mathcal{L},\phi}$ is an immersion.*

(b') *There exists a quasi-coherent sub- \mathcal{O}_Y -module $\mathcal{E} \subseteq q_*(\mathcal{L})$ of finite type with the property in (a').*

Corollary (4.4.7). — *Suppose Y is a quasi-compact scheme or a Noetherian prescheme. If \mathcal{L} is very ample for q , then there exists a graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -algebra \mathcal{S} , such that \mathcal{S}_1 is of finite type and generates \mathcal{S} , and an open, dominant Y -immersion $i: X \rightarrow P = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cong i^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)$.*

Proposition (4.4.8). — *Let \mathcal{L} be very ample for $q: X \rightarrow Y$, \mathcal{L}' any invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module such that there exists a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{E} and a surjection $q^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$. Then $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}'$ is very ample.*

Corollary (4.4.9). — *Let $q: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism.*

(i) *Given an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{L} and invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{L} is very ample if and only if $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} q^*(\mathcal{M})$ is.*

(ii) *If \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are very ample, then so is $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}'$; in particular $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is very ample for all $n > 0$.*

Proposition (4.4.10). — (i) *Every invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{L} is very ample for the identity map $1_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$.*

(i') *Given $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and an immersion $j: X' \rightarrow X$, if \mathcal{L} is very ample for f , then $j^*\mathcal{L}$ is very ample for $f \circ j$.*

(ii) *Let Z be quasi-compact, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of finite type, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ a quasi-compact morphism, \mathcal{L} very ample for f , \mathcal{K} very ample for g . Then there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes f^*(\mathcal{K}^{\otimes n})$ is very ample for $g \circ f$, for all $n \geq n_0$.*

(iii) *Given $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$, if \mathcal{L} is very ample for f , then $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Y \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ is very ample for $f_{(Y')}$.*

(iv) *Given two S -morphisms $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), if \mathcal{L}_i is very ample for f_i , then $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_S \mathcal{L}_2$ is very ample for $f_1 \times_S f_2$.*

(v) *Given $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$, if \mathcal{L} is very ample for $g \circ f$, then \mathcal{L} is very ample for f .*

(vi) *If \mathcal{L} is very ample for $f: X \rightarrow Y$, then $j^*\mathcal{L}$ is very ample for f_{red} , where $j: X_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow X$ is the canonical injection.*

[The proof of (ii) uses the following lemma, proved in §4.5]

Lemma (4.4.10.1). — Let Z be a quasi-compact scheme or a prescheme with Noetherian underlying space, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ a quasi-compact morphism, \mathcal{K} very ample for g , \mathcal{E} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module of finite type. Then there exists m_0 such that for all $m \geq m_0$, \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to a quotient of an \mathcal{O}_Y -module of the form $g^(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{K}^{\otimes -m}$, where \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Z -module of finite type (depending on m).*

[Then it is shown that if $f^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ induces an immersion $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, and there is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_Z -module \mathcal{F} and a surjection $g^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes m}$, then $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\otimes (m+1)}$ is very ample for $X \rightarrow Z$.]

Proposition (4.4.11). — Let $X'' = X \sqcup X'$ be a prescheme disjoint union, $f'': X'' \rightarrow Y$ a morphism restricting to morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $f': X' \rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ be invertible $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules, \mathcal{L}'' the invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X''}$ -module restricting to $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$. Then \mathcal{L}'' is very ample iff \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are very ample.