

1/27

• Office Hours: Thu 11:30 - 1:30  
Fri 11 - 12

Note  
change

- Announce Piazza again
- HW Due Friday

### 3 Useful / Important Points About Proof-writing

① Really important! Do NOT start from the thing you're trying to prove, then deduce something else that's true

Example of a bad proof:

Theorem: All humans are female.

"Proof": All humans are female (thing we want to show)

All females have an X-chromosome (fact from biology)

Therefore all humans have an X-chromosome.

This is a true fact of biology, therefore

our initial claim is true. //

This is obviously wrong - The problem w/ the logic is we started w/ something false and argued something true - you can always do this! A real proof starts w/ something known to be true, and then deduces something

For a more mathematical, but still bogus proof:

Theorem:  $0=1$

"Proof"  $0=1$  (what we want to show)  
 $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot 0$  (mult. both sides by 0)  
 $0=0$  (by Prop last week)

Since  $0=0 \Rightarrow$  true, so is  $0=1$ .

It doesn't work: to go backwards, we have to divide by zero!

(2) "If-and-only-if" proofs.

" $P \text{ iff } Q$ " means "if  $P$  then  $Q$   
and if  $Q$  then  $P$ "

usually, you have to prove both directions separately. Often one way is much easier

Example

Prop: Let  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an independent list of vectors in  $V$ , all distinct, and let  $U_1 = \text{Span}\{v_1\}, \dots, U_n = \text{Span}\{v_n\}$ . Then

$$V = U_1 + \dots + U_n \text{ iff } V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$$

Proof " $\Leftarrow$ " [This direction is "if  $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ , then  $V = U_1 + \dots + U_n$ "]

We have to show that  $V = U_1 + \dots + U_n$ .

But this is part of the definition of direct sum. [Notice that this direction is very easy. We didn't even use the linear independence!]

Notes, not part of proof.

" $\Rightarrow$ " [We use Prop 1.8, which says we have to check:

(i)  $V = U_1 + \dots + U_n$

(ii) The only way to write  $0$  as a sum  $u_1 + \dots + u_n$ ,  $u_i \in U_i$ , is with  $u_i = 0$  for all  $i$ ]

(i)  $U_1 + \dots + U_n = V$ , by our assumption

(ii) Let  $0 = u_1 + \dots + u_n$ , where each  $u_i \in U_i$ . We have to check  $u_i = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Since each  $u_i \in U_i$ , and  $U_i = \text{Span}\{v_i\}$ , we have  $u_i = c_i v_i$  for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$ .

$$\text{So } 0 = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n.$$

Since  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is independent,  $c_i = 0 \forall i$ .

Thus  $u_i = 0 \cdot v_i = 0 \forall i$ .

Therefore by Prop 1.8,  $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ .

This proves the " $\Leftarrow$ " direction.

③ To prove 2 sets are equal, say  $A=B$ ,  
you must show  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .

Again, it is often the case that one inclusion  
is much easier than the other.

Example:

Prop:  $\mathbb{R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = \bar{z}\}$  ( $\bar{z}$  is the complex  
conjugate:  
 $\overline{a+bi} = a-bi$ )

Proof 1)  $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = \bar{z}\}$

Proof: Let  $a = a + 0i \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{then } \bar{a} = \overline{a+0i} = a-0i = a \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{so } a \in \{z \mid z = \bar{z}\}$$

2)  $\{z \mid z = \bar{z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

Proof: Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $z = \bar{z}$

then if  $z = a+bi$ , we have

$$z = \bar{z} \Rightarrow a+bi = a-bi$$

$$\Rightarrow 2bi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z = a+0i \text{ so } z \in \mathbb{R} \quad //$$

Rest of class: WS.

T/F Answers: ① F ② F ③ F ④ T ⑤ F ⑥ T ⑦ F

trick  
question

T  
F  
F  
T  
F  
T  
F