

**Mathematics 105, Spring 2004 — M. Christ**  
**Final Exam Solutions** (selecta)<sup>1</sup>

**(2e)** True or false: If  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a compact set of Lebesgue measure zero, and if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism (that is,  $f$  is continuous and invertible, and  $f^{-1}$  is also continuous), then  $|f(K)| = 0$ .

**Solution.** Like all the true/false questions, this is false. Take  $K$  to be the Cantor set  $\mathcal{C} \subset [0, 1]$  which is defined by successively deleting middle thirds of intervals.  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n$  where  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is a disjoint union of  $2^n$  (particular) intervals of length  $3^{-n}$ . Consider the piecewise linear function  $f_n$  which is constant on each interval in the complement of  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , and has constant slope equal to  $3^n/2^n$  on each of the intervals comprising  $\mathcal{C}_n$ . It can be shown that the sequence  $f_n$  converges uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to a limiting function  $f$ , which is necessarily continuous.

Consider the function  $g(x) = f(x) + x$ . Then  $g$  is strictly increasing and is continuous, so is a bijection from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and also has a continuous inverse. Since  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = 2$ ,  $g$  maps  $[0, 1]$  bijectively to  $[0, 2]$ .  $[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}$  is a countable disjoint union of intervals  $I$ , the sum of whose lengths equals  $|[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}| = 1 - 0 = 1$ . On each of those intervals  $I$ ,  $g(x) = x$  plus a constant, so each  $I$  is mapped to an interval of length  $|I|$ . Their images are disjoint, so  $|g([0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C})| = \sum_I |I| = 1$ . Since  $g(\mathcal{C}) = [0, 2] \setminus (g([0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}))$ , it follows that  $|g(\mathcal{C})| = 2 - 1 = 1 > 0$ .  $\square$

**(4a)** Let  $(E, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$  is finite. Let  $B$  be the set of all points  $x \in E$  which belong to infinitely many of the sets  $A_j$ . Show that  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

**Solution.** Set  $f_j = \chi_{A_j}$ ,  $F_N = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j$ , and  $f = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N = \sum_j f_j$ . Since each  $f_j$  is nonnegative,  $f(x)$  is well-defined for each  $x \in E$  as an element of  $[0, +\infty]$ . Each partial sum  $F_N$  is a finite sum of measurable functions and hence is measurable (since  $+\infty - \infty$  never arises in the formation of this sum). Since the limit of a sequence of measurable functions which converges (in the extended reals) at every point is again a measurable function,  $f$  is measurable.

Now  $f \in L^1$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f \, d\mu &= \int_E \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N \, d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_E F_N \, d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \sum_{j=1}^N f_j \, d\mu \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_E f_j \, d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N |A_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_j| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality is justified by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, and the fourth by the fact that  $\int g + h = \int g + \int h$  for any two integrable functions.

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2003 by Michael Christ. All rights reserved.

Now consider  $A = \{x : f(x) = +\infty\}$ . This is a measurable set, by one of our homework problems.  $\mu(A)$  must equal zero, since  $\infty\chi_A \leq f$  and therefore  $\infty\mu(A) \leq \int f d\mu < \infty$ .

For any  $x \in E$ ,  $x \in A_j$  for infinitely many indices  $j$  if and only if  $f(x) = \infty$ . Therefore  $B = A$ .  $\square$

(4b) Let  $(E, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space satisfying  $\mu(E) = 1$ . Let  $f, g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded measurable functions. Suppose that for any Borel sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mu(f^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(B)) = \mu(f^{-1}(A)) \cdot \mu(g^{-1}(B)).$$

Prove that

$$\int_E fg d\mu = \int_E f d\mu \cdot \int_E g d\mu.$$

**Solution.**<sup>2</sup> First suppose that  $f, g$  are two simple functions which satisfy the hypotheses. Let  $\{a_j\}$  be the range of  $f$  (that is, the finite set of values taken on by  $f$ ; no two numbers  $a_j$  are equal), and let  $A_j = f^{-1}(\{a_j\}) = \{x : f(x) = a_j\}$ . Likewise let  $\{b_k\}$  be the range of  $g$ , and set  $B_k = \{x : g(x) = b_k\}$ .

Now  $A_j \cap B_k = f^{-1}(\{a_j\}) \cap g^{-1}(\{b_k\})$ , so by hypothesis  $\mu(A_j \cap B_k) = \mu(A_j)\mu(B_k)$ . Therefore  $\int \chi_{A_j} \chi_{B_k} d\mu = \mu(A_j)\mu(B_k)$ .

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int fg d\mu &= \int \sum_j a_j \chi_{A_j} \sum_k b_k \chi_{B_k} d\mu = \sum_{j,k} a_j b_k \int \chi_{A_j} \chi_{B_k} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{j,k} a_j b_k \mu(A_j)\mu(B_k) = \sum_j a_j \mu(A_j) \cdot \sum_k b_k \mu(B_k) = \int f d\mu \cdot \int g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Now for the general case. It doesn't follow from the case of simple functions just by taking limits. For if we approximate  $f, g$  by simple functions in a straightforward way, then those approximants won't (absent a stroke of incredible luck) satisfy the hypothesis.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Fix a positive number  $M$  sufficiently large that  $|f(x)| < M$  and  $|g(x)| < M$  for all  $x \in E$ . Suppose we can find simple functions  $\varphi, \psi$  such that  $|f(x) - \varphi(x)| < \varepsilon$  and  $|g(x) - \psi(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int fg d\mu - \int \varphi\psi d\mu \right| &\leq \int |fg - \varphi\psi| d\mu \leq \int (|f - \varphi||g| + |\varphi||g - \psi|) d\mu \\ &\leq M \int |f - \varphi| d\mu + (M + \varepsilon) \int |g - \psi| d\mu \leq M\varepsilon\mu(E) + (M + \varepsilon)\varepsilon\mu(E) = (2M + \varepsilon)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>If you're paying close attention you'll notice that the hypothesis that  $\mu(E) = 1$  is never used. However, if we look at the hypothesis in the special case  $A = B = \mathbb{R}$  and note that  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = g^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = E$ , then we conclude that  $\mu(E) = \mu(E)^2$ , which forces  $\mu(E)$  to be either 1 or 0.

I used the upper bounds  $|g(x)| \leq M$  and  $|\varphi(x)| \leq |f(x)| + \varepsilon \leq M + \varepsilon$ . Likewise  $|\int f d\mu - \int \varphi d\mu| < \varepsilon\mu(E) = \varepsilon$  and  $|\int g d\mu - \int \psi d\mu| < \varepsilon\mu(E) = \varepsilon$ . Since  $\int \varphi\psi = \int \varphi \cdot \int \psi$ , we may combine these inequalities:

$$|\int fg - \int f \cdot \int g| \leq |\int fg - \int \varphi\psi| + |\int \varphi\psi - \int \varphi \cdot \int \psi| + |\int \varphi \int \psi - \int f \cdot \int g|.$$

The middle term on the right-hand side is zero, provided that  $\varphi, \psi$  satisfy the hypothesis. Thus  $|\int fg - \int f \cdot \int g| \leq (2M + \varepsilon)\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon$ . Therefore the proof would be complete, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we could construct such approximants  $\varphi, \psi$ .

To do this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and set  $A_j = \{x : f(x) \in [-M + j\varepsilon, -M + (j+1)\varepsilon)\}$ . Then define  $a_j = -M + j\varepsilon$ , and  $\varphi = \sum_j a_j \chi_{A_j}$ . Similarly set  $B_k = \{x : g(x) \in [-M + k\varepsilon, -M + (k+1)\varepsilon)\}$ ,  $b_k = -M + k\varepsilon$ , and  $\psi = \sum_k b_k \chi_{B_k}$ . Note that only finitely many values of  $j, k$  are relevant, since  $A_j$  is empty whenever  $j$  is sufficiently large that  $-M + j\varepsilon > M$ , and  $B_k$  is empty whenever  $k$  is sufficiently large that  $-M + k\varepsilon > M$ . By restricting to those indices  $j, k$  we obtain finite sums.  $\varphi, \psi$  are thus simple functions, and from their definitions it is apparent that  $|f(x) - \varphi(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x$  and likewise for  $|g - \psi|$ .

In order for the above proof for the case of simple functions to be applicable, we merely need to know that  $\mu(A_j \cap B_k) = \mu(A_j) \cdot \mu(B_k)$  for all  $j, k$ . But  $A_j = f^{-1}([-M + j\varepsilon, -M + (j+1)\varepsilon))$  and likewise  $B_k$  equals the inverse image under  $g$  of a certain set, so this follows directly from the hypothesis for  $f, g$ .  $\square$

**(4c)** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a Borel set. Suppose that for each  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{(x_1, x_2) \in A : x_1 - x_2 = c\}$  is a finite set. Prove that the Lebesgue measure  $|A|$  equals zero.

**Solution.** Define an invertible linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2 - x_1)$ . Set  $B = T(A)$ . By hypothesis,  $B$  has the property that for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , there are only finitely many points in  $B$  whose second coordinate equals  $c$ ; that is, the intersection of  $B$  with any horizontal line is finite.

Since  $T$  has determinant equal to one, so does  $T^{-1}$ . Since  $A$  is a Borel set and  $T^{-1}$  is a continuous function,  $B$  is also a Borel set, and  $|B| = |A|$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $|B| = 0$ .

We have noted that  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^1} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^1} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ , so we may apply Tonelli's theorem to  $\chi_B$ :

$$|B| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_B d\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \chi_B(x_1, x_2) d\lambda(x_1) d\lambda(x_2),$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . The inner integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^1} \chi_B(x_1, x_2) d\lambda(x_1)$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\{x_1 : (x_1, x_2) \in B\}$ . As remarked above, this set is finite, hence has measure zero. Therefore the inner integral is zero for every  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence

$$|B| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} 0 d\lambda = 0.$$

$\square$