

# A BAIRE CATEGORY PROOF OF THE ACKERMAN-FREER-PATEL THEOREM

ANDREW S. MARKS

In this note, we give a proof of [AFP, Theorem 1.1] using the Baire category theorem. We also prove a slight generalization of [AFP, Theorem 3.19] where the underlying space is an arbitrary infinite Polish space instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thanks to Colin Jahel for pointing out a serious error in a previous version of this note: in the proof of Lemma 0.1 we defined the extension ordering of  $\mathbb{P}$  incorrectly.

Suppose  $\mathbb{A} = (A, R^A)_{R \in L}$  is a countable structure in a countable relational language  $L$ . Say  $\mathbb{A}$  has **trivial definable closure** if for every finite tuple  $\bar{a} \in A$ , and for every  $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$ -formula  $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ , if there is a unique  $b \in A$  such that  $\mathbb{A} \models \phi(\bar{a}, b)$ , then  $b \in \bar{a}$ . Equivalently, for all tuples  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A$ , such that  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  are disjoint, there are infinitely many pairwise disjoint tuples  $\bar{c} \in A$  such that  $\text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(\bar{a}, \bar{c})$  (see [Hod, 4.1.3]).

**Lemma 0.1.** *Suppose  $\mathbb{A} = (A, R^{\mathbb{A}})_{R \in L}$  is a countable structure in a countable relational language  $L$ , where  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure. Then there exists a Borel  $L$ -structure  $\mathbb{B} = (\omega^\omega, R^{\mathbb{B}})_{R \in L}$  on  $\omega^\omega$  (that is, the relations  $(R^{\mathbb{B}})_{R \in L}$  are Borel) so that for any countable dense set  $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$ ,  $\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright D$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By Morleyizing  $\mathbb{A}$  (see [Hod, Section 2.6]) and expanding  $L$ , we may assume that there is a countable set  $T$  of  $\Pi_2$  sentences in  $L$  such that if  $\mathbb{C}$  is a countable structure, then  $\mathbb{C} \models T$  if and only if  $\mathbb{C}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . (After expanding the language this way and obtaining  $\mathbb{B}$ , take the reduct of  $\mathbb{B}$  to the original language to obtain the desired structure).

If  $s, t \in \omega^{<\omega}$  we write  $s \subseteq t$  if  $s$  is an initial segment of  $t$ . We say  $s, t$  are incompatible if  $s \not\subseteq t$  and  $t \not\subseteq s$ . We say that  $S \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  is closed under initial segments if for all  $t \in S$  and all  $s \subseteq t$ ,  $s \in S$ . If  $S \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$  is finite and  $t \in \omega^{<\omega}$ , define  $t \upharpoonright S$  to be the maximal  $s \in S$  so that  $s \subseteq t$ . So  $t \upharpoonright S$  is the longest initial segment of  $t$  that is in  $S$ . Similarly, if  $x \in \omega^\omega$ , define  $x \upharpoonright S$  to be the longest initial segment of  $x$  that is in  $S$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of finite partial injections from  $\omega^{<\omega}$  to  $A$  whose domains are closed under initial segments. If  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ , say that  $q$  extends  $p$  if  $q \supseteq p$  and for all pairwise incompatible strings  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \text{dom}(q)$ , if  $s_1 \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p), \dots, s_n \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p)$  are pairwise incompatible, then

$$(*) \quad \text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(p(s_1 \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p)), \dots, p(s_n \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p))) = \text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(q(s_1), \dots, q(s_n)).$$

That is, the type of  $q(t_1), \dots, q(t_n)$  has to be the same as the type of its “best approximation” in  $p$ , provided this best approximation is also a sequence of incompatible strings.

Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^\omega$  be the set of sequences  $(p_i)_{i \in \omega}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{P}$  so that if  $i \leq j$ , then  $p_j$  extends  $p_i$ , and so that  $\bigcup(\text{dom}(p_i)) = \omega^{<\omega}$ . Note that  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathbb{P}^\omega$  and so is Polish.

We claim that since  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure,  $Y$  is nonempty. To see this, it suffices to show that if  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $t \notin \text{dom}(p)$  is such that the predecessor  $t^-$  of  $t$  is in  $\text{dom}(p)$ , then we can extend  $p$  to  $q \in \mathbb{P}$  where  $\text{dom}(q) = \text{dom}(p) \cup \{t\}$ . Let  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  be all the elements of  $\text{dom}(p)$  that are incompatible with  $t^-$  (note that these  $r_i$  are not necessarily pairwise incompatible). Since  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure, there is some  $a \in A$  that is not in  $\text{ran}(p)$  so that  $\text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(p(r_1), \dots, p(r_k), a) = \text{tp}^{\mathbb{A}}(p(r_1), \dots, p(r_k), p(t^-))$ . Let  $q(t) = a$ . We claim  $q$  extends  $p$ . Suppose  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \text{dom}(q)$  are pairwise incompatible. If  $t \notin \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , then (\*) above is trivially satisfied since  $s_i \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p) = s_i$  for every  $i$ . If  $t \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , then every  $s_i$  not equal to  $t$  cannot be compatible with  $t^-$  since  $t \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p) = t^-$ . Hence, (\*) is satisfied by our choice of  $q(t)$  since  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \setminus \{t\}$  is a subset of the strings incompatible with  $t^-$ .

Now each  $(p_i)_{i \in \omega} \in Y$  yields a Borel  $L$ -structure  $\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)} = (X, R^{(p_i)})_{R \in L}$  on  $X$  as follows. If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple in  $X$ , we define

$$R^{(p_i)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow R^{\mathbb{A}}(p_i(x_1 \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)), \dots, p_i(x_n \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)))$$

for any sufficiently large  $i$  so that  $x_j \neq x_k$  iff  $x_j \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)$  is incompatible with  $x_k \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)$ . Roughly speaking, the type of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)}$  is determined by any  $p_i$  with a domain large enough to see which of the  $x_j$  are different. By the definition of extension in  $\mathbb{P}$ , note that truth value of  $R^{\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)}}(p_i(x_1 \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)), \dots, p_i(x_n \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p_i)))$  is the same for all such sufficiently large  $i$ . We claim that for every sentence  $\varphi$  in our  $\Pi_2$  theory  $T$ , a comeager set of  $(p_i) \in Y$  have the property that  $(\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)} \upharpoonright D) \models \varphi$  for any dense set  $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$ .

We may assume that every  $\Pi_2$  sentence  $\varphi$  in our theory  $T$  has the form:

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_n) [\bigwedge_{i \neq j} x_i \neq x_j \rightarrow (\exists y_1, \dots, y_m) (\bigwedge_{i \neq j} y_i \neq y_j \wedge \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))]$$

where  $\theta$  is quantifier free. That is,  $\varphi$  says that for every pairwise distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  there exists pairwise distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  so that  $\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is true.<sup>1</sup> Assuming that  $\varphi$  is in this form simplifies some of our book-keeping below. Fix such a  $\Pi_2$  sentence  $\varphi$  and associated subformula  $\theta$ .

The key claim is the following.

**Claim.** *Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  is given and  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  are incompatible elements of  $\text{dom}(p)$ . Then we claim there exists some  $q$  extending  $p$  so that if  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \text{dom}(q)$  are such that  $s_i \supseteq r_i$  for all  $i \leq n$ , then there exists incompatible  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \text{dom}(q)$  so that  $\mathbb{A} \models \theta(q(s_1), \dots, q(s_n), q(t_1), \dots, q(t_m))$ .*

*Proof of Claim.* Let  $(s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,n})_{i \leq k}$  be all  $n$ -tuples of extensions of  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  in  $\text{dom}(p)$ . Let  $(t_{i,j})_{i \leq k, j \leq m}$  be pairwise incompatible strings so that  $t_{i,j} \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p)$  is the empty string for all  $i, j$ . For example, let all the  $t_{i,j}$  be strings of length 1 whose first bit is sufficiently large.

Now define an injective  $q$  extending  $p$  where  $\text{dom}(q) = \text{dom}(p) \cup \{t_{i,j} : i \leq k \wedge j \leq m\}$  by recursively finding  $(t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{1,m}), \dots, (t_{k,1}, \dots, t_{k,m})$  so that  $\mathbb{A} \models \theta(q(s_{i,1}), \dots, q(s_{i,m}), q(t_{i,1}), \dots, q(t_{i,m}))$ . We can find such  $q(t_{i,1}), \dots, q(t_{i,m})$  so that  $q$  is an injection since  $\mathbb{A}$  satisfies the formula  $\varphi$  and since  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure so there are infinitely many disjoint  $m$ -tuples witnessing the formula  $\mathbb{A} \models \exists b_1, \dots, b_m \theta(q(s_{i,1}), \dots, q(s_{i,n}), b_1, \dots, b_m)$ .

Now since  $q$  is an injection,  $q$  is trivially an extension of  $p$  since all the elements  $t \in \text{dom}(q) \setminus \text{dom}(p)$  have  $t \upharpoonright \text{dom}(p)$  is the empty string.  $\square$  Claim.

Suppose  $r_1, \dots, r_n, p$ , and  $q$  are as in the above claim. Then if  $(p_i) \in Y$  is such that the sequence  $(p_i)$  contains  $q$ , then for any dense set  $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$ , for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \omega^\omega$  extending  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ , there exists  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in D$  so that  $\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)} \models \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ . To see this, let  $s_i = x_i \upharpoonright \text{dom}(q)$ . Note that  $s_i \supseteq r_i$ . Then by the above claim, there are incompatible  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  so that  $\theta(q(s_1), \dots, q(s_n), q(t_1), \dots, q(t_m))$ . Now there must be proper extensions  $t_1^*, \dots, t_m^*$  of  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  so that  $t_i^* \supseteq t_i$ , but  $t_i^* \upharpoonright \text{dom}(q) = t_i$  (e.g. extend  $t_i$  to  $t_i^*$  so that its next bit is sufficiently large to not be in  $\text{dom}(q)$ ). Choose  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in D$  to be elements of  $N_{t_1^*}, \dots, N_{t_m^*}$  (which must exist since  $D$  is dense). Then by the definition of  $\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)}$ ,  $\text{tp}^{B_{(p_i)}}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = \text{tp}^A(q(s_1), \dots, q(s_n), q(t_1), \dots, q(t_m))$ , and hence  $B_{(p_i)} \models \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  by the above claim.

If  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , define the open set  $U_{p,n} = \{(p_i)_{i \in \omega} \in Y : p_n = p\}$ . Note that these  $U_{p,n}$  form a basis for  $Y$ . Now for each incompatible  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ , the union of the set of  $U_{q,k}$  where  $q$  satisfies the above claim is dense open by the above claim. Since any distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  must extend some incompatible  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \omega < \omega$ , the set of  $(p_i) \in Y$  so that  $(\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)} \upharpoonright D) \models \varphi$  for every dense set  $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$  is comeager. Finally, since there are countably many  $\varphi \in T$ , this implies that the set of  $(p_i) \in Y$  so that  $(\mathbb{B}_{(p_i)} \upharpoonright D) \models T$  for every dense set  $D \subseteq \omega^\omega$  is comeager.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Given any  $\Pi_2$  sentence  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \exists y_1, \dots, y_m \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ , we can find an equivalent sentence in the desired form as follows. Let  $m$  be sufficiently large (e.g.  $m = kn^n$ ) and have  $\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be the formula  $\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) := \bigwedge_{\pi: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}} \bigvee_{\rho: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}} \theta(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}, y_{\rho(1)}, \dots, y_{\rho(k)})$ . Then our original  $\Pi_2$  sentence is equivalent to this  $\Pi_2$  sentence in our desired form using the quantifier free formula  $\theta$  in any structure that has infinitely many elements.

Recall that if  $L$  is a countable relational language, the space  $X_L$  is the set of all  $L$ -structures with universe  $\omega$ . The group  $S_\infty$  of all permutations of  $\omega$  acts on  $X_L$  by permuting the universe of each structure in  $X_L$  (see [K95, Section 16]).

**Corollary 0.2** ([AFP, Theorem 1.1]). *Suppose  $\mathbb{A} = (A, R^{\mathbb{A}})_{R \in L}$  is a countable structure in a countable relational language  $L$ . Then  $A$  has trivial definable closure if and only if there is an  $S_\infty$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X_L$  that is supported on the set of structures isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure. Let  $X$  be any perfect Polish space and let  $\mu$  be an atomless Borel probability measure on  $X$  that assigns positive measure to every open subset of  $X$ . By Lemma 0.1, let  $\mathbb{B} = (X, R^{\mathbb{B}})_{R \in L}$  be a Borel  $L$ -structure such that every countable dense set  $D \subseteq X$  has  $\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright D$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\mu^\omega$  be the product probability measure on  $X^\omega$ . Since  $\mu$  is atomless and assigns positive measure to every open subset of  $X$ ,  $\mu^\omega$  is supported on the set  $Z \subseteq X^\omega$  of sequences  $(x_i) \in X^\omega$  such that  $(x_i)$  is injective and dense in  $X$ . So each such  $(x_i)$  has  $\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \{x_i : i \in \omega\}$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Let  $f: Z \rightarrow X_L$  be the function so that  $f((x_i))$  is the structure on  $\omega$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \{x_i : i \in \omega\}$  obtained by identifying  $x_i$  with  $i$ . Formally,  $f((x_i)) = (\omega, R^{f((x_i))})_{R \in L}$  where

$$R^{f((x_i))}(n_0, \dots, n_k) \leftrightarrow R^{\mathbb{B}}(x_{n_0}, \dots, x_{n_k}).$$

Then the pushforward  $f_*\mu^\omega$  of  $\mu^\omega$  under  $f$  is supported on the set of structures isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . This measure is  $S_\infty$ -invariant because the permutation action of  $S_\infty$  on  $X^\omega$  is  $\mu^\omega$ -invariant.

We now prove the converse. Suppose for a contradiction that  $\mathbb{A}$  has nontrivial definable closure, but there exists an  $S_\infty$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on the set of structures in  $X_L$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\phi$  be an  $L_{\omega_1, \omega}$  formula and  $\bar{a} \in A$  be parameters so that  $\mathbb{A} \models \exists! y \notin \bar{a}\phi(\bar{a}, y)$ . If  $\bar{n}$  is a tuple of elements of  $\omega$  and  $m \notin \bar{n}$ , let  $A_{\bar{n}, m}$  be the set of structures  $\mathbb{B} \in X_L$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$  so that  $\bar{n}$  is lexicographically least such that  $\mathbb{B} \models \exists! y \notin \bar{n}\phi(\bar{n}, y)$ , and  $m$  is the least element not in  $\bar{n}$  such that  $\mathbb{B} \models \phi(\bar{n}, m)$ . The sets  $A_{\bar{n}, m}$  partition the set of models isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . So  $\mu(\bigcup A_{\bar{n}, m}) = 1$ . However, if  $m, m' \notin \bar{n}$ , then  $\mu(A_{\bar{n}, m}) = \mu(A_{\bar{n}, m'})$  since there is an element of  $S_\infty$  that fixes  $\bar{n}$  but maps  $m$  to  $m'$ . We also have that  $A_{\bar{n}, m}$  and  $A_{\bar{n}, m'}$  are disjoint. Hence, since there are countably many  $m \notin \bar{n}$  we must have  $\mu(A_{\bar{n}, m}) = 0$  for each  $\bar{n}$ , since  $\mu$  is a probability measure. Thus,  $\mu(\bigcup A_{\bar{n}, m}) = 0$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We finish by noting that Lemma 0.1 can be generalized to find a Borel structure on an arbitrary infinite Polish space  $X$  so that its restriction to any countable dense subset is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ . First we need a trivial proposition about functions so that preimages of dense sets are dense.

**Proposition 0.3.** *If  $X$  is an infinite Polish space, then there is a Borel bijection  $f$  whose domain is a Borel subset of  $\omega^\omega$  and whose range is  $X$  so that if  $D \subseteq X$  is dense, then  $f^{-1}(D)$  is dense in  $\omega^\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N_s = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \subseteq s\}$  be the usual basis for  $\omega^\omega$ . Let  $(s_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be an enumeration of  $\omega^{<\omega}$ . Let  $(A_s)_{s \in \omega^{<\omega}}$  be disjoint uncountable Borel subsets of  $\omega^\omega$  so that  $A_s \subseteq N_s$ , and so that  $\omega^\omega \setminus \bigcup_s A_s$  is uncountable. For example, define  $A_{s_n} = \{x \in \omega^\omega : (\forall i \geq |s_n|) x(i) = 2n \vee x(i) = 2n + 1\}$  where  $|s_n|$  denotes the length of  $s_n$ . That is,  $A_{s_n}$  is the reals  $x$  so the every bit of  $x$  that occurs after the initial segment  $s_n$  is equal to  $2n$  or  $2n + 1$ .

Since  $X$  is infinite, there exists a countably infinite collection of disjoint open subset  $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $X$ . For each  $n$ , let  $f_n$  be a bijection from a Borel subset of  $A_{s_n}$  to  $U_n$ . (Note that since  $U_n$  may be countable, the domain of  $f_n$  might need to be a proper subset of  $A_{s_n}$ ). Now the domains  $\text{dom}(f_n)$  are disjoint since the  $A_s$  are disjoint. Let  $g$  be a Borel bijection between a Borel subset of  $\omega^\omega \setminus \bigcup_n A_n$  and  $X \setminus \bigcup_n U_n$ .

Our desired function is  $g \cup \bigcup_n f_n$ . If  $D \subseteq X$  is dense, then  $f^{-1}(D)$  contains a point in  $N_{s_n}$  for every  $n$ . This is since there is some  $x \in U_n$  so that  $x \in D$  since  $D$  is dense and hence  $f^{-1}(x) \in A_{s_n} \subseteq N_{s_n}$  by the definition of  $f$  and  $f_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 0.4.** *Suppose  $\mathbb{A} = (A, R^{\mathbb{A}})_{R \in L}$  is a countable structure in a countable relational language  $L$ , where  $\mathbb{A}$  has trivial definable closure. Then if  $X$  is an infinite Polish space, there exists a Borel  $L$ -structure  $\mathbb{A}' = (X, R^{\mathbb{A}'})_{R \in L}$  on  $X$  (that is, the relations  $(R^{\mathbb{A}'})_{R \in L}$  are Borel) so that for any countable dense set  $D \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathbb{A}' \upharpoonright D$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a function as in Proposition 0.3, and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a Borel structure on  $\omega^\omega$  as in Lemma 0.1. Now let  $\mathbb{A}'$  be the pushforward of  $\mathbb{B}$  under  $f$ . That is, define  $R^{\mathbb{A}'}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow R^{\mathbb{B}}(f^{-1}(x_1), \dots, f^{-1}(x_n))$ . Since the inverse image of any dense set under  $f$  is dense in  $\omega^\omega$ , we are done.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [AFP] N. Ackerman, C. Freer, and R. Patel, Invariant measures concentrated on countable structures, *arXiv*: 1206.4011v3.
- [Hod] W. Hodges, *Model Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 42, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [K95] A.S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, 1995.