On fixed points of Lüders operation

Liu Weihua and Wu Junde^{a)}

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

(Received 27 July 2009; accepted 16 September 2009; published online 28 October 2009)

In this paper, we give a concrete example of a Lüders operation L_A with n=3, such that $L_A(B)=B$ does not imply that *B* commutes with all E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 in *A*, this example answers an open problem of Professor Gudder. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3253574]

Let *H* be a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the bounded linear operator set on *H*, $\mathcal{E}(H) = \{A: 0 \le A \le I\}, \ \mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H), \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n E_i = I, \text{ where } 1 \le n \le \infty. \text{ The famous Lüders operation } L_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ is a map which is defined on } \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ by}$

$$L_{\mathcal{A}}: A \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i^{1/2} A E_i^{1/2}.$$

A question related to a celebrated theorem of Lüders operation is whether $L_A(A)=A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ implies that A commutes with all E_i for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$.¹ The answer to this question is positive for n=2 (Ref. 2) and negative for n=5.¹ In this paper it is shown, by using a simple derivation of the example of Arias–Gheondea–Gudder in Ref. 1, that the answer is negative as well for n=3, a question raised by Gudder in 2005.³

First, we denote $\mathcal{B}(H)^{L_{\mathcal{A}}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(H) : L_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = B\}$ is the fixed point set of $L_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{A}'$ is the commutant of \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 1: (Ref. 1) If $\mathcal{B}(H)^{L_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{A}'$, then \mathcal{A}' is injective.

Lemma 2: (Ref. 1) Let F_2 be the free group generated by two generators g_1 and g_2 with identity e, \mathbb{C} be the complex numbers set, and $H=l_2(F_2)$ be the separable complex Hilbert space,

$$H = l_2(F_2) = \left\{ f | f: F_2 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum |f(x)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

For $x \in F_2$ define $\delta_x: F_2 \to C$ by $\delta_x(y)$ equals 0 for all $y \neq x$ and 1 when y=x. Then $\{\delta_x | x \in F_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis for *H*. Define the unitary operators U_1 and U_2 on *H* by $U_1(\delta_x) = \delta_{g_1x}$ and $U_2(\delta_x) = \delta_{g_2x}$. Then the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} which is generated by U_1 and U_2 and its commutant \mathcal{N}' are not injective.

Now, we follow Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 to prove our main result:

Let C_1 be the unit circle in C and h be a Borel function defined on C_1 as the following: $h(e^{i\theta}) = \theta$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $A_1 = h(U_1)$ and $A_2 = h(U_2)$ are two positive operators in \mathcal{N} . Taking the real and imagined parts of $U_1 = V_1 + iV_2$ and $U_2 = V_3 + iV_4$, \mathcal{N} is generated by the self-adjoint operators $\{V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4\}$. Since functions cos and sin are two Borel functions, we have V_1 $= \frac{1}{2}(U_1 + U_1^*) = \cos(A_1), V_2 = \sin(A_1), V_3 = \cos(A_2)$, and $V_4 = \sin(A_2)$. Thus \mathcal{N} is contained in the von Neumann algebra which is generated by A_1 and A_2 .

On the other hand, it is clear that the von Neumann algebra which is generated by A_1 and A_2 is contained in \mathcal{N} . So \mathcal{N} is the von Neumann algebra which is generated by A_1 and A_2 . Let $E_1 = A_1/2||A_1||$, $E_2 = A_2/2||A_2||$, and $E_3 = I - E_1 - E_2$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 = I$.

0022-2488/2009/50(10)/103531/2/\$25.00

50, 103531-1

© 2009 American Institute of Physics

Now, we define the Lüders operation on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ by

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn.

103531-2 L. Weihua and W. Junde

J. Math. Phys. 50, 103531 (2009)

$$L_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} E_i^{1/2} B E_i^{1/2}.$$

It is clear that the von Neumann algebra which is generated by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is \mathcal{N} . It follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that $B(H)^{L_A} \not\supseteq \mathcal{A}'$, thus there exists a $D \in B(H)^{L_A} \backslash \mathcal{A}'$. The real part or the imaginary part D_1 of D also satisfies $D_1 \in B(H)^{L_A} \backslash \mathcal{A}'$. If $D_2 = ||D_1||I - D_1$, then $D_2 \ge 0$. Thus $D_3 = D_2 / ||D_2|| \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $D_3 \in B(H)^{L_A} \backslash \mathcal{A}'$. We have proven the following theorem which answered the question in Ref. 3.

Theorem 1: Let $H = l_2(F_2)$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^3$ be defined as above. Then there is a $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, such that $L_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = B$, but *B* does not commute with all E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their thanks to the referee for his (her) important comments and suggestions. This project is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10771191 and 10471124).

- ²P. Busch and J. Singh, Phys. Lett. A **249**, 10 (1998).
- ³S. Gudder, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 44, 2199 (2005).

¹A. Arias, A. Gheondea, and S. Gudder, J. Math. Phys. 43, 5872 (2002).