

**MATH 185: COMPLEX ANALYSIS**  
**FALL 2009/10**  
**PROBLEM SET 3**

For a real-valued function of two real variables,  $u : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $u$  is *twice continuously differentiable* if all second-order partial derivatives  $u_{xx}, u_{yy}, u_{xy}, u_{yx}$  exist and are continuous on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The set of all twice continuously differentiable functions on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$  is denoted  $C^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

1. We mentioned Tauberian theorems in class. Here is an example of an easy one (easy relative to other Tauberian theorems). Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be a power series with radius of convergence 1 and suppose

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n = 0.$$

- (a) Show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^m n |a_n|}{m} = 0.$$

(*Hint:* Problem 4(a), Problem Set 3, Math 104, Spring 2009.)

- (b) Define a function  $f$  by

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{for all } |z| < 1.$$

Let  $x$  be a real variable and suppose the following left limit exists

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) = A.$$

Show that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to  $A$ .

2. Recall that  $\mathbb{C}$  is both a real vector space of dimension 2 and a complex vector space of dimension 1. A function  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear if  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation of real vector spaces, ie.

$$\varphi(\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2) = \lambda_1 \varphi(z_1) + \lambda_2 \varphi(z_2) \quad \text{for all } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is called  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear if  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation of complex vector spaces, ie.

$$\varphi(\lambda_1 z_1 + \lambda_2 z_2) = \lambda_1 \varphi(z_1) + \lambda_2 \varphi(z_2) \quad \text{for all } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- (a) Prove that if  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, then it is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. Give an example to show that the converse is false.
- (b) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Prove that the following statements are equivalent.
- (i)  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.
  - (ii)  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(1)x + \varphi(i)y$$

for all  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- (iii)  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\varphi(z) = \left[ \frac{\varphi(1) - i\varphi(i)}{2} \right] z + \left[ \frac{\varphi(1) + i\varphi(i)}{2} \right] \bar{z}$$

for all  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(iv)  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(x + iy) = (ax + by) + i(cx + dy)$$

for some  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ .

(c) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Prove that the following statements are equivalent.

(i)  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

(ii)  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and  $\varphi(i) = i\varphi(1)$ .

(iii)  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(1)z$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(iv)  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(x + iy) = (ax - cy) + i(cx + ay)$$

for some  $\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ .

3. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We will call  $f$  *complex differentiable* at  $z \in \Omega$  if it is differentiable as defined in the lectures, i.e. the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} \quad (3.1)$$

exists. We will call  $f$  *real differentiable* at  $z \in \Omega$  if there exists a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z) - \varphi(h)}{h} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

(a) Prove that if  $f$  is complex differentiable at  $z \in \Omega$ , then  $f$  is real differentiable at  $z$ .

(b) Give an example to show that the converse of (a) is false.

(c) Let  $f$  be real differentiable at  $z \in \Omega$ . If the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in (3.2) is also  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, prove that  $f$  is complex differentiable at  $z$ . In this case, how is  $\varphi$  related to the limit in (3.1)?

(d) Let  $f$  be real differentiable at  $z \in \Omega$ . Show that if the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} \right| \quad (3.3)$$

exists<sup>1</sup>, then either  $f$  or  $\bar{f}$  must be complex differentiable at  $z$ . Give an example to show that  $f$  is not necessarily complex differentiable at  $z$ . Here the function  $\bar{f} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\bar{f}(z) = \overline{f(z)}$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ .

4. (a) Show that the function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$f(z) = \sqrt{|z^2 - \bar{z}^2|}$$

satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation at  $z = 0$  but is not differentiable at  $z = 0$ .

(b) Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region such that the function

$$f(x + iy) = |x^2 - y^2| + 2i|xy|$$

is analytic on  $\Omega$  but is not analytic on any larger region  $\Omega'$  containing  $\Omega$ . Find all possible  $\Omega$  with this property.

(c) Find constants  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the functions  $f, g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$f(x + iy) = x + ay + i(bx + cy),$$

$$g(x + iy) = \cos x(\cosh y + a \sinh y) + i \sin x(\cosh y + b \sinh y)$$

are analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note the difference between (3.1) and (3.3).

5. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region. Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic and  $u(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} f(x + iy)$ ,  $v(x, y) = \operatorname{Im} f(x + iy)$ .

(a) Suppose  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Show that  $u$  and  $v$  are *harmonic functions*, i.e. solutions of the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

(b) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $f$  is analytic on  $D(0, 1)$ . Which of the following can occur as the real or imaginary part of  $f$ ?

$$x^2 - axy + y^2, \quad x^3 - x^2 + y^3, \quad x^2 + y^2 - 5x, \quad \frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}.$$

6. We may rewrite any complex function  $f$  of two real variables  $x$  and  $y$  as a function of  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  via

$$x = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \quad y = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}.$$

(a) Considering  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  as independent variables, show that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).$$

(b) Show that the Cauchy-Riemann equation may be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

This may be interpreted as saying that complex differentiable functions must be independent<sup>2</sup> of  $\bar{z}$  and depend only on  $z$ .

(c) Which of the following complex functions of two real variables can be expressed in terms of a polynomial in  $z = x + iy$ ?

$$f_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 - ixy, \quad f_2(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 2ixy.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In fact you may also view this as a reason why there isn't a 'quaternion analysis' similar to complex analysis. For a quaternion  $q = x + yi + zj + wk$ , its quaternionic conjugate  $\bar{q} = x - yi - zj - wk$  can always be expressed in terms of  $q$ :

$$\bar{q} = -\frac{1}{2}(q + iqi + jqj + kqk),$$

and so we don't have functions dependent on  $q$  but not on  $\bar{q}$ .