

ON THE ANNULUS CONJECTURE

BY

R. C. KIRBY

Reprinted from the
PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Vol. 17, No. 1, February, 1966
pp. 178-185

ON THE ANNULUS CONJECTURE¹

R. C. KIRBY

The annulus conjecture states that the closure of the region between two locally flat $(n-1)$ -spheres in R^n is homeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$. The annulus conjecture is fundamental to geometric topology (see [5, p. 579]); for example its truth in dimensions $\leq k$ implies that any homeomorphism of R^k is stable [3]. We will prove here a weakened form of this conjecture (Theorem 1).

An imbedding $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow R^n$ is said to be *locally flat* if each point $p \in S^{n-1}$ has a neighborhood N such that f extends to an imbedding $f: N \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ with $N \times 0$ identified with N . f is called *flat* or *bicollared* if it extends to an imbedding $f: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$. Brown [1] proved that a locally flat imbedding is bicollared.

An imbedding $f: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ is said to be *somewhere planar* if there exist $p \in S^{n-1}$, $q \in (-1, 1)$, a neighborhood N of (p, q) in $S^{n-1} \times q$, and an $(n-1)$ -plane P in R^n such that $f(N)$ projects homeomorphically into P along normals to P .

f is clearly somewhere planar if it is piecewise linear on some open set of $S^{n-1} \times q$, $q \in (-1, 1)$. According to Corollary 7, f is also somewhere planar if it is differentiable with nonzero Jacobian at some point $(p, q) \in S^{n-1} \times (-1, 1)$.

It is with the added condition that each imbedding is somewhere planar that we prove the then weakened annulus conjecture. If the imbeddings are differentiable or piecewise linear, then it is already known that the annulus conjecture holds for $n \geq 6$ using the h -cobordism theorems of [7] and [6].

THEOREM 1. *Let $f, g: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ be two imbeddings with disjoint images such that f and g are both somewhere planar. Then the closure of the region between $f(S^{n-1} \times 0)$ and $g(S^{n-1} \times 0)$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$.*

The proof will follow from Theorems 2 and 5. The basic idea is that the region between the two $(n-1)$ -spheres minus a tube T joining the spheres is bounded by a locally flat $(n-1)$ -sphere and is thus an n -ball by the Schoenflies theorem (see [2]). See Figure 1.

In the next theorem, we assume the existence of this tube and then

Received by the editors July 6, 1964.

¹ The contents of this paper form part of the author's dissertation at the University of Chicago written under Professor Eldon Dyer.

obtain an annulus, and in Theorem 5 we show that the existence of the tube follows from the somewhere planar conditions.




FIGURE 1

THEOREM 2. Let $f, g: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ be two imbeddings with disjoint images. Let $h: B^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ be an imbedding of the unit $(n-1)$ -ball satisfying

- (i) $h(B^{n-1} \times -1) \subset f(S^{n-1} \times q)$ for $q \in (-1, 1)$,
- (ii) $h(B^{n-1} \times 1) \subset g(S^{n-1} \times q')$ for $q' \in (-1, 1)$,
- (iii) $h(B^{n-1} \times (-1, 1))$ is a subset of the open region between $f(S^{n-1} \times q)$ and $g(S^{n-1} \times q')$.

Then there exists a homeomorphism $A: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ where \bar{Z} is equal to the closure of the region Z between $f(S^{n-1} \times 0)$ and $g(S^{n-1} \times 0)$.




FIGURE 2

hood with a bicollaring. Hence [1] $c(S^{n-1})$ is bicollared. Then c extends to $\hat{c}: B^n \rightarrow R^n$ (see [2]). We observe that $\hat{c}(B^n) = \bar{Z} - \text{int } B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$.

STEP 6. There exists a homeomorphism d taking $S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$ onto $B^n \cup_{k'} (B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1} \times [-1, 1])$ where $\partial B^n = L \cup M \cup N$ and M is identified with $\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$ by k' (see Step 4). Define a homeomorphism $A: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ by

$$A(x, t) = \begin{cases} \hat{c}d(x, t) & \text{if } d(x, t) \in B^n, \\ hd(x, t) & \text{if } d(x, t) \in B_{1/2}^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]. \end{cases}$$

A is well defined, for if $d(x, t) \in B^n$ and $d(x, t) \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n-1} \times [-1, 1]$, then $d(x, t) \in M \subset L \cup M \cup N = \partial B^n$, and for such a $d(x, t)$, $\hat{c}d(x, t) = Hk'd(x, t) = Hd(x, t)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 4. Let $C^{n-1} \subset R^n$ be the cylinder over (i.e. $x_n \geq 0$) the unit $(n-2)$ -sphere in R^{n-1} . Let B^{n-1} be the unit $(n-1)$ -ball in R^{n-1} . Then $C \cup (R^{n-1} - B^{n-1})$ is bicollared.

PROOF. Figure 5 indicates the proof for $n=2$, and the other dimensions are entirely analogous.




FIGURE 5

THEOREM 5. Let $f, g: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ be two imbeddings with disjoint images such that f and g are both somewhere planar. Then the imbedding h of Theorem 2 exists, so the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds.

PROOF. From the definition of somewhere planar, we have a neighborhood N of (p, q) in $S^{n-1} \times q$ and a homeomorphism $\pi: f(N) \rightarrow P$ where π projects $f(N)$ into P along normals to P .

Instead of P it is possible to choose any other $(n-1)$ -plane parallel to P . Since we can also use a subneighborhood of N (still containing p), there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\pi f(N)$ is a subset of

P lying in \hat{Z} (the region between $f(S^{n-1} \times q)$ and $g(S^{n-1} \times q')$). See Figure 6.

Similarly (using primes) we have a neighborhood N' of p' in $S^{n-1} \times q'$ such that $\pi'g(N')$ is a subset of P' lying in \hat{Z} .

Let C_f be the "cylinder" composed of line segments joining x and $\pi(x)$ for all $x \in f(N)$. We may define C_g in a similar way.




FIGURE 6

\hat{Z} and hence $\hat{Z} - (C_f \cup C_g)$ are arcwise connected. Therefore it is possible to find an arc α in \hat{Z} , joining $\pi f(p)$ to $\pi'g(p')$, perpendicular to P near $\pi f(p)$, perpendicular to P' near $\pi'g(p')$, and not intersecting $C_f - \pi f(p)$ and $C_g - \pi'g(p')$. Let α^* be a differentiable approximation to α satisfying the same conditions as α . α^* has a tubular neighborhood, i.e., there exists a differentiable imbedding $T: B^{n-1} \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow R^n$ so that $T(0 \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]) = \alpha^*$. We may also require that $T(B^{n-1} \times -\frac{1}{2}) \subset \pi f(N)$ and $T(B^{n-1} \times \frac{1}{2}) \subset \pi'g(N')$, and that $T(B^{n-1} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \subset \hat{Z} - (C_f \cup C_g)$.

We will obtain h from T , C_f and C_g . Define an imbedding $h: B^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ by

$$h(x, s) = \begin{cases} k_f(x, s) & \text{for } -1 \leq s \leq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ T(x, s) & \text{for } -\frac{1}{2} = s = \frac{1}{2}, \\ k_g(x, s) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} = s = 1, \end{cases}$$

where k_f maps $(x \times [-1, -\frac{1}{2}])$ linearly onto the line segment joining $f(y)$ to $\pi f(y) = T(x, -\frac{1}{2})$ and k_g maps $(x \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])$ linearly onto the line segment joining $\pi' g(y) = T(x, \frac{1}{2})$ to $g(y)$. See Figure 6. This completes the proof.

The following theorem leads to a relatively weak condition under which an imbedding $f: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ is somewhere planar.

THEOREM 6. *Let h be a homeomorphism of R^n into R^n . Let h be differentiable with nonzero Jacobian at $p \in R^n$ and let U be a neighborhood of p . Then there exists an isotopy $H_t: R^n \rightarrow R^n$, $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfying*

- (1) $H_0 = h$,
- (2) $H_t = h$ on $R^n - U$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (3) there exists an open set V , $p \in V \subset U$, such that H_1 is differentiable on V .

COROLLARY 7. *An imbedding $f: S^{n-1} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow R^n$ is somewhere planar if it is differentiable with nonzero Jacobian at $(p, q) \in S^{n-1} \times (-1, 1)$.*

COROLLARY 8. *A homeomorphism $h: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is stable if it is differentiable with nonzero Jacobian at $p \in R^n$.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. We can assume that $p = 0$ and that $h(0) = 0$. Denote by Dh the differential of h at 0, a nonsingular linear transformation. Let k be a positive real number such that $B_{2k}^n \subset U$ and $Dh(B_{2k}^n) \subset h(U)$.

We now define a radial homotopy $e_t: R^n \rightarrow R^n$, $t \in [0, 1]$, as follows:

$$e_t(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 2k \leq |x|, \\ \frac{2|x| - 2tk}{2|x| - |x|t} x & \text{if } tk \leq |x| \leq 2k, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq |x| \leq tk. \end{cases}$$

Let $H_t: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be defined by

$$H_t(x) = \begin{cases} Dhe_t^{-1}(Dh)^{-1}he_t(x) & \text{if } |x| > tk, \\ Dh(x) & \text{if } |x| \leq tk. \end{cases}$$

Then conclusions (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 6 are easily verified. The only difficulty is in proving that H_t is continuous at x when $|x| = tk$. We will indicate a proof (for details, see [4, §3]). Let $\lambda = \{x \in R^n \mid x_1 > k, x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0\}$. Then $e_1(\lambda)$ is the positive x_1 -axis, X_1 , and $he_1(\lambda)$ has a tangent at 0, namely $Dh(X_1)$. Hence $(Dh)^{-1}he_1(\lambda)$ has a tangent at 0, namely X_1 , so $e_1^{-1}(Dh)^{-1}he_1(\lambda)$ con-

verges to $(k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. This idea is used to show continuity of H_i at $(k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, or in general, at any point of S_{ik}^{n-1} .

REFERENCES

1. M. Brown, *Locally flat imbeddings of topological manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 331-341.
2. ———, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 74-76.
3. M. Brown and H. Gluck, *Stable structures on manifolds*. I, II, III, Ann. of Math. 79 (1964), 1-58.
4. R. C. Kirby, *Smoothing locally flat imbeddings*, Doctoral Thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1965.
5. R. Lashof, *Problems in differential and algebraic topology*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 565-591.
6. B. Mazur, *Relative neighborhoods and the theorems of Smale*, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963), 232-249.
7. S. Smale, *On the structure of manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 387-399.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO