

5 - Transversality

Note Title

8/7/2009

Fix $(\Sigma, j), (M, \omega), A \in H_2(M)$.

Goal: The set of regular $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ resp. $(J_t) \in C^\infty([a, 1], \mathcal{J}(M, \omega))$ (i.e. those for which at all solutions the linearized operator is surjective) contains a Baire set (a countable intersection of open dense sets).
(I.e. "generic J are regular".)

This follows by intersection arguments from

Thm: The set of regular $J \in \mathcal{J}^l = \overline{\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)}^{C^l}$ is a Baire set for $l \geq \text{index}(\Sigma, A) + 2$.

Plan of Proof:

1) The universal moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}} = \{(u, J) \mid J \in \mathcal{J}^l, u \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(J, A)\}$ is a separable C^{l-1} -Banach submanifold of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{J}^l$.
 $\mathcal{B} = \hat{W}^{1,p}(\Sigma, M)$

2) The projection $\pi: \mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^l$ is a C^{l-1} -map and for all $(u, J) \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{univ}}$ $d_{(u, J)}\pi$ is Fredholm with

$$\ker d_{(u, J)}\pi \cong \ker D_u \bar{\partial}_J, \quad \frac{T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}^l}{\text{im } d_{(u, J)}\pi} \cong \mathcal{E}_u / D_u \bar{\partial}_J$$

Linearization D_u of $\bar{\partial}_J$

$$D_u \bar{\partial}_J \text{ surjective} \Leftrightarrow d_{(u, J)}\pi \text{ surjective}$$

$$J \text{ regular} \Leftrightarrow J \text{ regular value of } \pi$$

Sard-Smale: M, \mathcal{J} separable \mathcal{E}^k -Banach manifolds, $k \geq 1$

$\pi: M \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ \mathcal{E}^k Fredholm map, $\text{index } \pi \leq k-1$
(each $d_m \pi$ Fredholm)

\Rightarrow The set of regular values $\{\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{J} \mid \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \Rightarrow d_m \pi \text{ surjective}\}$
 is a Baire set in \mathcal{J} .

Proof of 2) The projection $\pi: M^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\ell}$ is a $\mathcal{E}^{\ell-1}$ -map and

for all $(u, \mathcal{J}) \in M^{\text{univ}}$ $d_{(u, \mathcal{J})} \pi$ is Fredholm with

$$\ker d_{(u, \mathcal{J})} \pi \cong \ker D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \quad T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell} / \text{im } d_{(u, \mathcal{J})} \pi \cong \mathcal{E}_u / D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}$$

uses

Lemma: $D: B \rightarrow E$ Fredholm, $L: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow E$ bounded linear

If $D \oplus L: B \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow E$ is onto then $\pi: \ker(D \oplus L) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is

Fredholm with $\ker \pi \cong \ker D$, $\mathcal{J} / \text{im } \pi \cong E / \text{im } D$ ($\Rightarrow \text{ind } \pi = \text{ind } D$).

Indeed:

$$T_{(u, \mathcal{J})} M^{\text{univ}} = \ker (D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} + L_{(u, \mathcal{J})}) \quad \text{for some } L_{(u, \mathcal{J})}: T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_u$$

$$D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} + L_{(u, \mathcal{J})}: T_u B \times T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_u \quad \text{surjective by 1.)}$$

$$d_{(u, \mathcal{J})} \pi: T_{(u, \mathcal{J})} M^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}^{\ell} \quad \text{is the projection } \pi \text{ in Lemma.}$$

Proof of 1.)

\mathcal{E}
 \downarrow
 $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{Y}^{\ell}$ \uparrow $s(u, \mathcal{J}) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} u$ is a $e^{\ell-1}$ -section
 transverse to 0.

• Why (only) $e^{\ell-1}$? Consider $f: W^{1,p} \rightarrow L^p$, $u \mapsto \mathcal{J}(u) \circ du$

Its k -th derivative contains $\mathcal{J} \mapsto \underbrace{\nabla^k \mathcal{J}(u)}_{e^0 \text{ if } k \leq \ell}(\mathcal{J}, \dots, \mathcal{J}) \circ du$

Transition maps in \mathcal{E} are only $e^{k \leq \ell-1}$ since parallel transport depends on $\nabla \mathcal{J}$.

• Why is $D_{(u, \mathcal{J})} s: T_u \mathcal{B} \times T_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{Y}^{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_u$ onto?
 $(\mathcal{J}, Y) \mapsto (D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}}) \mathcal{J} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} Y(u) \circ du \circ j}_{= L_{(u, \mathcal{J})} Y}$

→ it has closed image since $\text{im } D_u \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \text{im } D_{(u, \mathcal{J})} s \subset \mathcal{E}_u$
 closed, finite codim.

→ to check image is dense suppose $\eta \in (\text{im } D_{(u, \mathcal{J})} s)^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{E}_u^*$

That is $\eta \in L^q(\Sigma, \Lambda^{0,1} T\Sigma \otimes u^* TM)$, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ satisfies

$$(a) \int_{\Sigma} \langle \eta, D_u \mathcal{J} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \mathcal{J} \in W^{1,p}(\Sigma, u^* TM)$$

$$\Rightarrow D_u^* \eta = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{elliptic regularity}} \eta \in W^{1,p} \hookrightarrow e^0$$

$$(b) \int_{\Sigma} \langle \eta, Y(u) \circ du \circ j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M, \text{End}(TM, \mathcal{J}, \omega))$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta(z_0) = 0 \quad \forall z_0 \text{ injective point of } u \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} Y\mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}Y = 0 \\ \omega(Y, \cdot) = -\omega(\cdot, Y) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \eta \equiv 0$$

