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#### Abstract

Using independent families from combinatorial set theory, it is shown that for every infinite cardinal $\kappa, \ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension $2^{\kappa}$. This provides a new proof for the first step in the construction of complete minimal systems in Banach spaces of bounded functions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq X$ be an arbitrary set of vectors of $X$. Let $\left[x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right]$ denote the closure of the linear span of $\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$. A set $\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq X$ is called a complete system if $\left[x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right]=X$, and it is called a minimal system if for every $\lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda, x_{\lambda^{\prime}} \notin\left[x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\left\{\lambda^{\prime}\right\}\right]$. A complete minimal system, abbreviated c.m.s., is a complete system which is also minimal.
Using functionals, we can characterize minimal systems (and consequently c.m.s.) also in the following way: Let $X$ be a Banach space. A pair of sequences $\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in\right.$ $\Lambda\} \subseteq X$ and $\left\{f_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq X^{*}$ is called a biorthogonal system if $f_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)=\delta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\lambda}$. Now, a sequence $\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq X$ is minimal if and only if there is a sequence $\left\{f_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq X^{*}$, such that the pair $\left(\left\{x_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\},\left\{f_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$ is a biorthogonal

[^0]system. A biorthogonal system which corresponds to a complete minimal system is called complete biorthogonal system.

Even though not every Banach space has a c.m.s. (see e.g., [Pl80] or [GK80]), it is known that $\ell_{\infty}$ has a c.m.s.. The first (not completely correct) proof for the existence of a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}$ was given by William Davis and William Johnson in [DJ73]. Later, Borys Godun gave a correct (and slightly easier) proof in [Go83]. However, the crucial point in both proofs is the following result due to Haskel Rosenthal (cf. [Ro69, Proposition 3.4]):
Proposition 1. The space $\ell_{\infty}^{*}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum.

Let us briefly sketch why Proposition 1 implies the existence of a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}$ : Let $Y \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$ be isomorphic to a Hilbert space of dimension the continuum. Since $Y$ is reflexive, $Y$ is weakly* closed (cf. e.g., [Ro69, Proposition 1.2]), and therefore, $\left({ }_{\perp} Y\right)^{\perp}=Y$, where ${ }_{\perp} Y=\left\{x \in \ell_{\infty}: \forall y \in Y(y(x)=0)\right\}$ and $\left({ }_{\perp} Y\right)^{\perp}:=\left\{x^{*} \in\right.$ $\left.\ell_{\infty}^{*}: \forall x \in{ }_{\perp} Y\left(x^{*}(x)=0\right)\right\}$. Thus, $\left(\ell_{\infty} / \perp Y\right)^{*}$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $Y$, which implies that also $\ell_{\infty} / \perp Y$ is isomorphic to $Y$. Now, following [Go83], with the orthonormal basis in $Y$ we can easily construct a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}$. At this point we like to mention that starting with generalized version of Proposition 1 (cf. [Ro69, p. 203, Remark 2]), a similar construction yields a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ for any infinite cardinal $\kappa$.

Rosenthal's proof of Proposition 1 involves some deep results from functional analysis. On the other hand, from a set-theoretical point of view a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}$ is just a set of bounded real-valued sequences, and therefore, it was natural to seek a more combinatorial or set-theoretical proof of Proposition 1 and the aim of this paper is to provide such a proof.

Acknowledgement: I like to thank Anatolij Plichko and Stephanie Halbeisen for pointing me out and explaining me the various steps in the construction of a c.m.s. in $\ell_{\infty}$.

## 2. Some set theory

2.1. Set-theoretic terminology. Our set-theoretical axioms are the axioms of Zermelo and Fraenkel including the Axiom of Choice. All our set-theoretical notations and definitions are standard and can be found in textbooks like [Ku83].

For a set $x$, the cardinality of $x$, denoted by $|x|$, is the least ordinal number $\alpha$ for which there exists a bijection $f: \alpha \rightarrow x$; such an ordinal number $\alpha$ is called a cardinal number (or just a cardinal). The least infinite ordinal number, which is also a cardinal, is denoted by $\omega$, thus, $|\omega|=\omega$. In particular, $\omega=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ is the set of natural numbers. A set $x$ is called finite, if $|x| \in \omega$, otherwise it is called infinite. Further it is called countable, if $|x| \leq \omega$. For a set $x, \mathcal{P}(x)$ denotes the power
set of $x$ and $[x]^{<\omega}$ denotes the set of all finite subsets of $x$. For a cardinal $\kappa,|\mathcal{P}(\kappa)|$ is denoted by $2^{\kappa}$. For example there exists a bijection between the reals $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$, hence $|\mathbb{R}|=|\mathcal{P}(\omega)|=2^{\omega}$. For every infinite cardinal we have $2^{\kappa}>\kappa$ and $\left|[\kappa]^{<\omega}\right|=\kappa$.
2.2. Independent families. Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal and let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, then $\mathcal{I}$ is called an independent family (on $\kappa$ ), if whenever $m$ and $n-1$ belong to $\omega$, and $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}, \ldots, x_{m+n}$ are distinct members of $\mathcal{I}$, then

$$
\left|\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m} x_{i} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_{m+j}\right|=\kappa
$$

To make this paper self-contained, let us prove the following result due to Felix Hausdorff (cf. [Ha36]):

Proposition 2. For any infinite cardinal $\kappa$, there is an independent family on $\kappa$ of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$.

Proof. We just follow Exercise (A6) on p. 288 of [Ku83]. Let

$$
J=\{\langle s, A\rangle: s \subseteq \kappa \text { and }|s|<\omega \text { and } A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s)\}
$$

Notice that $|J|=\kappa$, so, it is enough to construct an independent family of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$ on $J$. For $x^{\prime} \subseteq \kappa$, let $x:=\left\{\langle s, A\rangle \in J: x^{\prime} \cap s \in A\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}=\left\{x: x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa)\right\}$ is an independent family on $J$ of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$. Indeed, let $x_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m+n}^{\prime}$ be distinct members of $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ (for some $m$ and $n-1$ in $\omega$ ). Then there is a finite set $s \subseteq \kappa$ such that for all $i, j$ with $0 \leq i<j \leq m+n$ we have $x_{i}^{\prime} \cap s \neq x_{j}^{\prime} \cap s$. Let $A=\left\{s \cap x_{i}^{\prime}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(s)$, and for every $\alpha \in \kappa \backslash s$, let $s_{\alpha}=s \cup\{\alpha\}$ and $A_{\alpha}=A \cup\{t \cup\{\alpha\}: t \in A\}$. Then

$$
\left\{\left\langle s_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha}\right\rangle: \alpha \in \kappa \backslash s\right\} \subseteq \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq m} x_{i} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_{m+j},
$$

which implies that $\left|\bigcap_{i \leq m} x_{i} \backslash \bigcup_{m<j \leq n} x_{j}\right|=\kappa$, and therefore, $\mathcal{I}$ is an independent family on $J$ of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$.

As an easy consequence we get the following
FACT. If $\mathcal{I}=\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha \in 2^{\kappa}\right\}$ is an independent family on $\kappa$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are finitely many distinct elements of $2^{\kappa}$, then $\left|\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_{\alpha_{i}}\right|=\kappa$, where for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the set $y_{\alpha_{i}}$ is either equal to the set $x_{\alpha_{i}}$, or to its complement $\kappa \backslash x_{\alpha_{i}}$.
2.3. The Banach spaces $\ell_{2}(\kappa)$ and $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$. Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. The Banach space $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ is the set of all bounded functions from $\kappa$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where for $x \in$ $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa),\|x\|=\sup \{x(\alpha): \alpha \in \kappa\}$. The Banach space $\ell_{2}(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions $x$ from $\kappa$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \kappa} x(\alpha)^{2}=:\|x\|^{2}<\infty$. It is common to write $\ell_{2}$ and $\ell_{\infty}$ instead of $\ell_{2}(\omega)$ and $\ell_{\infty}(\omega)$ respectively. Like for $\ell_{2}$ and $\ell_{\infty}$, one can show that $\ell_{2}(\kappa)^{*}=\ell_{2}(\kappa)$ and that $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$ is isometric to the space of all finitely additive signed
measures $\mu$ of bounded variation on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$, supplied with the norm $\|\mu\|=|\mu|(\kappa)$, where $|\mu|$ is the total variation of $\mu$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \kappa$, let

$$
\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \alpha=\beta \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and let $e_{\alpha}: \kappa \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ be such that $e_{\alpha}(\beta)=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$. It is easy to see that the set of vectors $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \kappa\right\}$ is a c.m.s. of $\ell_{2}(\kappa)$. On the other hand, the set $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \kappa\right\}$ is much too small to be a c.m.s. of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$. In general, the cardinality of a complete minimal system $S$ of an infinite dimensional real Banach space $X$ is always equal to the density character of $X$. Indeed, on the one hand, the set of all finite linear combinations of $S$ with rational coefficients is dense in $X$, and on the other hand, $S$ is discrete in $X$. In particular, the density character of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ is $2^{\kappa}$, so, any c.m.s. of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ must have cardinality $2^{\kappa}$.

## 3. $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$ CONTAINS AN ISOMORPHIC COPY OF $\ell_{2}\left(2^{\kappa}\right)$

Now we are ready to prove the main result.
Theorem. Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. Then any independent family on $\kappa$ of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$ induces a subspace of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$ which is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_{2}\left(2^{\kappa}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha \in 2^{\kappa}\right\}$ be an independent family on $\kappa$ of cardinality $2^{\kappa}$ (which exists by Proposition 2). Define a measure $\hat{\mu}$ on the set $B$ of all Boolean combinations of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ by stipulating

- $\hat{\mu}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=\hat{\mu}\left(\kappa \backslash x_{\alpha}\right)=1 / 2$ (for all $\left.x_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}\right)$,
- $\hat{\mu}\left(x_{\alpha} \cap x_{\beta}\right)=\hat{\mu}\left(x_{\alpha} \cap\left(\kappa \backslash x_{\beta}\right)\right)=1 / 4$ (for all distinct $\left.x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta} \in \mathcal{I}\right)$,
and in general, if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are finitely many distinct elements of $2^{\kappa}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$
\hat{\mu}\left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j} x_{\alpha_{i}} \cap \bigcap_{j<i \leq n}\left(\kappa \backslash x_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)=2^{-n}
$$

The measure $\hat{\mu}$ induces a normalized linear functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$ on a subspace of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$. Thus, by the normed space version of the Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem, there is a normalized functional on all of $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ which extends the functional $\varphi_{\hat{\mu}}$. In particular, there is a measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ with $\|\mu\|=1$, such that $\left.\mu\right|_{B} \equiv \hat{\mu}$. For every $\alpha \in 2^{\kappa}$ let $f_{\alpha}: \kappa \rightarrow\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
f_{\alpha}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1 & \text { if } \lambda \in x_{\alpha} \\
-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Now, for every $\alpha \in 2^{\kappa}$, let the measure $\mu_{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be defined by

$$
\mu_{\alpha}(E)=\mu\left(E \cap x_{\alpha}\right)-\mu\left(E \cap\left(\kappa \backslash x_{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

and let $\varphi_{\alpha}$ be the linear functional on $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)$ induced by the measure $\mu_{\alpha}$. It is not hard to see that for all $\alpha, \beta \in 2^{\kappa}, \varphi_{\alpha}\left(f_{\beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ and that $\left\|\varphi_{\alpha}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}}=1$. Let $Y=\left[\varphi_{\alpha}: \alpha \in 2^{\kappa}\right] \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$, and let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{\alpha_{i}} \in Y$.

CLAIM. For each $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{\alpha_{i}}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}}=2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}\right|
$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ let $E_{\varepsilon}=\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_{\alpha_{i}}$, where

$$
y_{\alpha_{i}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x_{\alpha_{i}} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{i} a_{i} \geq 0 \\
\kappa \backslash x_{\alpha_{i}} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the fact mentioned above, $\left|E_{\varepsilon}\right|=\kappa$, and by the properties of the measure $\mu$ we get $\mu(E)=2^{-n}$. Notice that for any distinct $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ in $\{-1,1\}^{n}$ we have $E_{\varepsilon} \cap E_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ and that $\kappa=\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}} E_{\varepsilon}$. Further, for every $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ let $f_{\varepsilon}: \kappa \rightarrow\{ \pm 1,0\}$ be such that

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1 & \text { if } \lambda \in E_{\varepsilon} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i} \geq 0 \\
-1 & \text { if } \lambda \in E_{\varepsilon} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}<0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and let $f=\sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}} f_{\varepsilon}$. It is not hard to verify that for each $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ we have

$$
\left(a_{1} \varphi_{\alpha_{1}}+\ldots+a_{n} \varphi_{\alpha_{n}}\right)\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right)=2^{-n}\left|\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\ldots+\varepsilon_{n} a_{n}\right|
$$

and therefore,

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{\alpha_{i}}\right)(f)=2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}\right|
$$

Now, since the $E_{\varepsilon}$ 's are pairwise disjoint, $\|f\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)}=1$, and by the construction of $f$ we finally get

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{\alpha_{i}}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}}=2^{-n} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}^{n}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} a_{i}\right|
$$

Hence, by Khintchine's inequality, there is a constant $c=1 / \sqrt{2}$ such that

$$
c \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}} \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{\alpha_{i}}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}}
$$

which implies that the space $Y \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\kappa)^{*}$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\ell_{2}\left(2^{\kappa}\right)$ and completes the proof.

Remark. For an infinite cardinal $\kappa$, the Banach space $c_{0}(\kappa)$ is the set of all functions $x$ from $\kappa$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$, the set $\{\alpha<\kappa:|x(\alpha)|>\varepsilon\}$ is finite. Now, the Theorem admits the following generalization: Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. Then the space $\left(\ell_{\infty}(\kappa) / c_{0}(\kappa)\right)^{*}$ contains a subspace which is isomorphic to $\ell_{2}\left(2^{\kappa}\right)$. Consequently we get: For every infinite cardinal $\kappa$, the space $\ell_{\infty}(\kappa) / c_{0}(\kappa)$ has a complete minimal system.
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