

## 7. PERMUTATION GROUPS

Recall that the set of all permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  under composition is a group of order  $n!$ , denoted by  $S_n$ , which is called the **symmetric group** or **permutation group** of degree  $n$ . Permutations are usually denoted by Greek letters like  $\pi$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\sigma$ .

The following theorem indicates that permutation groups and their subgroups play a key-role in the investigation of finite groups.

**THEOREM 7.1.** If  $G$  is a finite group of order  $n$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: G &\rightarrow S_n \\ x &\mapsto \pi_x \end{aligned}$$

where for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\pi_x(i)$  is such that  $xa_i = a_{\pi_x(i)}$ .

$\varphi$  is well-defined: We have to show that for all  $x \in G$ ,  $\varphi(x) \in S_n$ . Let  $x \in G$ , then for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$\pi_x(i) = \pi_x(j) \iff xa_i = xa_j \iff a_i = a_j \iff i = j.$$

Thus, for each  $x \in G$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \pi_x$  is an injective mapping from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , which implies – since  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is a finite set – that  $\varphi(x)$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , or equivalently,  $\varphi(x) \in S_n$ .

$\varphi$  is injective: If  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ , then for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $\pi_x(i) = \pi_y(i)$ , thus

$$xa_i = a_{\pi_x(i)} = a_{\pi_y(i)} = ya_i,$$

which implies  $x = y$ .

$\varphi$  is a homomorphism: We have to show that  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ . For  $x, y \in G$  and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$a_{\pi_{xy}(i)} = (xy)a_i = x(ya_i) = xa_{\pi_y(i)} = a_{\pi_x(\pi_y(i))}.$$

Thus,  $\pi_{xy}(i) = \pi_x(\pi_y(i))$  (for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ), and hence,  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ .

By Corollary 6.2 and since  $\varphi$  is injective,  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$ , namely to the image of  $\varphi$ . –1

It is common to write a permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  in *two-row* notation, in which the top row of the  $2 \times n$  matrix contains the integers  $1, \dots, n$  and the effect of  $\pi$  on the integer  $i$  is written under  $i$ :

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & i & \dots & n \\ \pi(1) & \pi(2) & \dots & \pi(i) & \dots & \pi(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, the identity permutation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & i & \dots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & i & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}$$

and is denoted by  $\iota$ . For any permutation  $\pi$  and any integer  $k$  we set  $\pi^0 := \iota$  and  $\pi^{k+1} := \pi(\pi^k)$ .

A more compact notation is the so-called *cycle notation*, which avoids repeating the same first row in each permutation. The theoretical basis for this notation is in the following result.

**PROPOSITION 7.2.** Let  $\pi \in S_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $k$  be the smallest positive integer for which  $\pi^k(i)$  is in the set  $\{i, \pi(i), \pi^2(i), \dots, \pi^{k-1}(i)\}$ . Then  $\pi^k(i) = i$ .

*Proof.* If  $\pi^k(i) = \pi^r(i)$  for some non-negative  $r < k - 1$ , then, for  $k' = k - r$  we have  $k \geq k' > 0$  and  $\pi^{k'} = \iota$ , which implies  $\pi^{k'}(i) = i \in \{i, \pi(i), \dots, \pi^{k-1}(i)\}$ , and therefore, by our assumption,  $k' = k$ .  $\dashv$

**DEFINITION.** A permutation  $\rho \in S_n$  is a  **$k$ -cycle** if there exists a positive integer  $k$  and an integer  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

- (1)  $k$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $\rho^k(i) = i$ , and
- (2)  $\rho$  fixes each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, \rho(i), \dots, \rho^{k-1}(i)\}$ .

The  $k$ -cycle  $\rho$  is usually denoted  $(i, \rho(i), \dots, \rho^{k-1}(i))$ .

For example the five non-identity elements of  $S_3$  are all cycles, and may be written as

$$(1, 2, 3), (3, 2, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), \text{ and } (2, 3).$$

Notice that for example  $(1, 2, 3) = (2, 3, 1) = (3, 1, 2)$  and that not every permutation is a cycle, e.g.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not a cycle.

**DEFINITION.** Two permutations  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are **disjoint** if each number moved by  $\rho$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , or equivalently, each number moved by  $\sigma$  is fixed by  $\rho$ .

It is quite easy to see that disjoint permutations commute.

**FACT 7.3.** Let  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  be disjoint permutations, then  $\sigma\rho = \rho\sigma$ , and in general, for all positive integers  $k$ ,  $(\sigma\rho)^k = \sigma^k\rho^k$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are disjoint permutations, each number moved by  $\sigma$  is fixed by  $\rho$  and vice versa. So, the set of numbers moved by  $\sigma$  is disjoint from the set of numbers moved by  $\rho$ , and therefore it does not matter which permutation we carry out first. Consequently we get  $(\sigma\rho)^k = \sigma^k\rho^k$  (for all positive integers  $k$ ).  $\dashv$

The next result shows that cycles are the “atoms” of permutations.

**PROPOSITION 7.4.** Every permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  may be written as a product of disjoint cycles.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi \in S_n$ . By Proposition 7.2 and since the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is finite, for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there is a positive integer  $k_i$  such that  $\pi^{k_i}(i) = i$  and  $\rho_i = (i, \pi(i), \dots, \pi^{k_i-1}(i))$  is a  $k_i$ -cycle. We proceed by induction. Let  $i_1 := 1$  and for  $j \geq 1$  with  $\sum_{\ell=1}^j k_{i_\ell} < n$  let  $i_{j+1}$  be the least number of the non-empty set

$$\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \bigcup \{\pi^k(i_\ell) : k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 1 \leq \ell \leq j\}.$$

Further, let  $m$  be the least positive integer such that  $\sum_{\ell=1}^m k_{i_\ell} = n$ , then, by construction,  $\pi = \rho_{i_1} \rho_{i_2} \dots \rho_{i_m}$  and the  $\rho$ 's are disjoint cycles.  $\dashv$

**DEFINITION.** A decomposition of a permutation  $\pi$  into disjoint cycles is called a **cycle decomposition** of  $\pi$ .

For example the cycle decomposition of the permutation

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 6 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 7 & 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

is  $(1, 6)(2)(3, 5, 4)(7)(8, 9)$ . It is usual to omit cycles of length 1, those integers fixed by  $\pi$ , and so  $\pi$  is abbreviated to  $(1, 6)(3, 5, 4)(8, 9)$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.5.** If  $\rho$  is a  $k$ -cycle, then  $\text{ord}(\rho) = k$ , and consequently, if  $\pi$  is a product of disjoint cycles of length  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ , then  $\text{ord}(\pi) = \text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ , where  $\text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$  is the lowest common multiple of the integers  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ .

*Proof.* If  $\rho$  is a  $k$ -cycle, then there is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\rho = (i, \rho(i), \dots, \rho^{k-1}(i))$  where  $\rho^k(i) = i$ . Hence, for every non-negative  $\ell < k$  we have  $\rho^k(\rho^\ell(i)) = \rho^\ell(\rho^k(i)) = \rho^\ell(i)$ , which shows that  $\rho^k = \iota$ , thus  $\text{ord}(\rho) \geq k$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $k$ ,  $\rho^\ell \neq \iota$  for any positive  $\ell < k$ , thus,  $\text{ord}(\rho) = k$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a product of disjoint cycles  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$  of length  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  and let  $\text{ord}(\pi) = k$ . By Fact 7.3 we have  $\iota = \pi^k = \rho_1^k \dots \rho_r^k$  which implies that for every  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $k_j$  divides  $k$ , thus,  $\text{ord}(\pi) \geq \text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that for  $k = \text{lcm}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ ,  $\pi^k = \iota$ , thus,  $\text{ord}(\pi) = k$ .  $\dashv$

For example, the order of  $(1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6, 7)(8, 9)$  is equal to  $\text{lcm}(4, 3, 2) = 12$ . However, the permutation  $(1, 2, 3, 4)(2, 6, 7)(3, 9)$  is not a product of disjoint cycles (and so need not have order 12). In fact,

$$(1, 2, 3, 4)(2, 6, 7)(3, 9) = (1, 2, 6, 7, 3, 9, 4),$$

and therefore has order 7.

The following result shows that for any permutations  $\pi$  and  $\rho$ ,  $\pi$  has the same cycle structure as  $\rho\pi\rho^{-1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.6.** Let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be permutations in  $S_n$ . The cycle decomposition of the permutation  $\rho\pi\rho^{-1}$  is obtained from that of  $\pi$  by replacing each integer  $i$  in the cycle decomposition of  $\pi$  with the integer  $\rho(i)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the effect that  $\rho\pi\rho^{-1}$  has on the integer  $\rho(i)$ :

$$\rho\pi\rho^{-1}(\rho(i)) = \rho(\pi(i)),$$

or in other words,  $\rho\pi\rho^{-1}$  maps  $\rho(i)$  to  $\rho(\pi(i))$ . Hence, in the cycle decomposition of  $\rho\pi\rho^{-1}$ , the number  $\rho(i)$  stands to the left of  $\rho(\pi(i))$ , so

$$\rho\pi\rho^{-1} = \dots \left( \dots \rho(i), \rho(\pi(i)) \dots \right) \dots,$$

whereas in the cycle decomposition of  $\pi$ ,  $i$  stands to the left of  $\pi(i)$ , so

$$\pi = \dots \left( \dots i, \pi(i) \dots \right) \dots,$$

which completes the proof.  $\dashv$

DEFINITION. A **transposition** is a cycle of length 2, and an **elementary transposition** is a transposition of the form  $(i, i + 1)$ .

LEMMA 7.7. Every  $k$ -cycle can be written as a product of  $k - 1$  transpositions and every transposition can be written as product of an odd number of elementary transpositions.

*Proof.* It is easily verified that

$$(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = (i_1, i_2)(i_2, i_3) \dots (i_{k-1}, i_k),$$

thus, every  $k$ -cycle can be written as a product of  $k - 1$  transpositions. Further, let  $j$  be a positive integer and let  $(i, i + j)$  be a transposition. If  $j = 1$ , then  $(i, i + 1)$  is an elementary transposition and we are done. Otherwise, it is easy to see that

$$(i, i + j) = \underbrace{(i, i + 1) \dots (i + j - 1, i + j)}_{j \text{ elementary transpositions}} \underbrace{(i + j - 2, i + j - 1) \dots (i, i + 1)}_{j - 1 \text{ elementary transpositions}},$$

thus,  $(i, i + j)$  is the product of  $2j - 1$  elementary transpositions and  $2j - 1$  is always odd.  $\dashv$

PROPOSITION 7.8.

- (1) Each permutation can be written as a product of (elementary) transpositions.
- (2)  $S_n$  is generated by the transpositions  $(1, 2), (1, 3), \dots, (1, n)$ .
- (3)  $S_n$  is generated by the two permutations  $(1, 2)$  and  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from Proposition 7.4 and Lemma 7.7.

(2) By (1), it is enough to show that every transposition  $(i, j)$ , where  $i < j$ , belongs to  $\langle \{(1, 2), (1, 3), \dots, (1, n)\} \rangle$ . Now, if  $i = 1$ , then we are done. Otherwise, it is easy to see that  $(i, j) = (1, i)(1, j)(1, i)$ .

(3) See Hw10.Q47.  $\dashv$

The factorisation of a cycle into transpositions is not unique. Moreover, it is not even true that the number of transpositions in any factorisation of a given cycle is always the same, for example  $(1, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2)(2, 3)$ . However, we will see that the numbers of transpositions in any two decompositions of a given permutation are either both even or both odd.

DEFINITION. For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $\Delta_n$  be the polynomial in  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  defined by

$$\Delta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j),$$

and for any permutation  $\pi \in S_n$  let  $\pi \cdot \Delta_n$  be the polynomial

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_{\pi(i)} - x_{\pi(j)}).$$

The following properties are easily checked.

FACT 7.9.

- (a)  $\iota \cdot \Delta_n = \Delta_n$ .
- (b)  $(\pi\rho) \cdot \Delta_n = \pi \cdot (\rho \cdot \Delta_n)$ .
- (c) For any real number  $\lambda$ ,  $\pi \cdot (\lambda\Delta_n) = \lambda(\pi \cdot \Delta_n)$ .

DEFINITION. For any  $\pi \in S_n$ , the polynomial  $\Delta_n$  is either equal to  $\pi \cdot \Delta_n$ , in which case we say that the permutation  $\pi$  is **even**, or  $\Delta_n = -\pi \cdot \Delta_n$ , in which case we say that  $\pi$  is **odd**. We write  $\text{sgn}(\pi) = 1$  if  $\pi$  is even and  $\text{sgn}(\pi) = -1$  if  $\pi$  is odd, so that  $\pi \cdot \Delta_n = \text{sgn}(\pi) \Delta_n$ .

THEOREM 7.10. The map  $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow C_2$  is a homomorphism.

*Proof.* We must show that  $\text{sgn}(\pi\rho) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\rho)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{sgn}(\pi\rho) \Delta_n &= (\pi\rho) \cdot \Delta_n && \text{by definition} \\
 &= \pi \cdot (\rho \cdot \Delta_n) && \text{by Fact 7.9 (b)} \\
 &= \pi \cdot (\text{sgn}(\rho)\Delta_n) && \text{by definition} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(\rho)(\pi \cdot \Delta_n) && \text{by Fact 7.9 (c)} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(\rho) \text{sgn}(\pi)\Delta_n && \text{by definition}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{sgn}(\pi\rho) = \text{sgn}(\rho) \text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\rho)$ , as required.  $\dashv$

COROLLARY 7.11. For any permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ ,  $\text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi)$ , and for any  $\pi, \rho \in S_n$ ,

$$\text{sgn}(\rho\pi\rho^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi).$$

*Proof.* By Fact 7.9 and from the definition we have  $\text{sgn}(\iota) = 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 7.10, we have

$$1 = \text{sgn}(\iota) = \text{sgn}(\pi\pi^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}),$$

which implies  $\text{sgn}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1})$ .

Further, since

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\rho) = \text{sgn}(\rho) \text{sgn}(\pi),$$

by Theorem 7.10 it follows that

$$\text{sgn}(\rho\pi\rho^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\rho) \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\rho^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \text{sgn}(\rho) \text{sgn}(\rho^{-1}) = \text{sgn}(\pi).$$

$\dashv$

COROLLARY 7.12. All transpositions are odd, and a  $k$ -cycle is odd if and only if  $k$  is even.

*Proof.* Firstly notice that by the definition of  $\text{sgn}$ , every elementary transposition  $(i, i+1)$  is odd. Indeed, we change the sign of just one factor of the polynomial  $\Delta_n$ , namely of the factor  $(x_i - x_{i+1})$ . Now, by Lemma 7.7, every transposition can be written as product of an odd number of elementary transpositions, and therefore, by Theorem 7.10, all transpositions are odd.

Again by Lemma 7.7, every  $k$ -cycle can be written as a product of  $k-1$  transpositions, and therefore, by Theorem 7.10, a  $k$ -cycle is odd if and only if  $k$  is even.  $\dashv$

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 7.12 we get

**COROLLARY 7.13.** A permutation is even (odd) if and only if it can be written as a product of an even (odd) number of transpositions. In particular,  $\iota$  is even.

By the way, if  $A = (a_{i,j})$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$\det(A) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,\pi(i)} \right).$$

**DEFINITION.** The kernel of the homomorphism  $\operatorname{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow C_2$  is the **alternating group**  $A_n$ . Or in other words,

$$A_n = \{ \pi \in S_n : \pi \text{ is even} \}.$$

For example,  $A_3 = \{ \iota, (1, 2, 3), (3, 2, 1) \}$ , and therefore,  $A_3 \cong C_3$ . But for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $A_n$  is a non-abelian group of order  $n!/2$ . In particular, as we will see later,  $A_4$  is isomorphic to the tetrahedron-group  $T$  and  $A_5$  is isomorphic to the dodecahedron-group  $D$ , whereas the cube-group  $C$  is isomorphic to  $S_4$ .

By the First Isomorphism Theorem and the fact that for  $n \geq 2$  the map  $\operatorname{sgn}$  is surjective, for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $A_n \trianglelefteq S_n$  and  $|S_n : A_n| = 2$ . This implies that for every  $n \geq 3$ ,  $S_n$  is not simple. It is easy to see that  $A_3$  is the only non-trivial normal subgroup of  $S_3$  and that  $A_3$  is simple (since it is isomorphic to  $C_3$ ). On the other hand, the group  $S_4$  has a normal subgroup of order 4 (cf. Hw10.Q50 (c)) which is also a normal subgroup of  $A_4$ , thus,  $A_4$  is not the only non-trivial normal subgroup of  $S_4$  and  $A_4$  is not simple. But one can show that for every  $n \geq 5$ ,  $A_n$  is simple and it is the only non-trivial normal subgroup of  $S_n$  (we omit the proof).

We have seen that  $S_n$  is generated by its transpositions and that all transpositions are odd. Thus, no transposition belongs to  $A_n$ . To find simple generators for  $A_n$ , we have to consider even permutations. The simplest even permutations, beside the identity, are 3-cycles, and indeed:

**PROPOSITION 7.14.** The alternating group  $A_n$  is generated by its 3-cycles.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be an element of  $A_n$ . By Corollary 7.13,  $\pi$  can be written as a product of an even number of transpositions. So, it is enough to show that any product of two different transpositions can be written as a product of 3-cycles. Let us consider the product  $(i, j)(r, s)$ :

If the four integers  $i, j, r, s$  are distinct, then

$$(i, j)(r, s) = (i, r, j)(i, r, s).$$

Otherwise, we may assume without loss of generality that  $i = r$ , in which case

$$(i, j)(i, s) = (i, s, j).$$

$\dashv$

Let us now consider the centres of  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ . Since  $S_1 = A_1 \cong A_2 \cong C_1$ ,  $Z(S_1) = Z(A_1) \cong Z(A_2) = \{ \iota \}$ . Further,  $S_2 \cong C_2$  and  $A_3 \cong C_3$ , which implies that  $S_2$  and  $A_3$  are abelian, and therefore,  $Z(S_2) = S_2$  and  $Z(A_3) = A_3$ . In general, we get the following:

THEOREM 7.15.

- (a) For any  $n \geq 3$ ,  $Z(S_n) = \{\iota\}$ .  
 (b) For any  $n \geq 4$ ,  $Z(A_n) = \{\iota\}$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be any permutation except the identity: Since  $\sigma \neq \iota$ , there is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(i) = j \neq i$ . Pick any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  distinct from  $i$  and  $j$ . Now,  $\sigma(i, k)\sigma^{-1} = (j, \sigma(k)) \neq (i, k)$ , since  $j \notin \{i, k\}$ . Hence,  $\sigma(i, k) \neq (i, k)\sigma$ , which implies that  $\sigma \notin Z(S_n)$ .

(b) Let  $\pi \in A_n$  be any permutation except the identity: Since  $\pi \neq \iota$ , there is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\pi(i) = j \neq i$ . Pick any distinct  $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , both distinct from  $i$  and  $j$ . Now,  $\pi(i, k, \ell)\pi^{-1} = (j, \pi(k), \pi(\ell)) \neq (i, k, \ell)$ , since  $j \notin \{i, k, \ell\}$ . Hence,  $\pi(i, k, \ell) \neq (i, k, \ell)\pi$ , which implies that  $\pi \notin Z(A_n)$ .  $\dashv$

Finally, let us consider the automorphism group of  $S_n$ :

For any group  $G$  and for any  $x \in G$ , the mapping  $\varphi_x : G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\varphi_x(a) := xax^{-1}$  is an automorphism of  $G$  (cf. Hw8.Q38). Such an automorphism is called an **inner automorphism** of  $G$ . Let  $\text{Inn}(G)$  denote the set of all inner automorphisms of  $G$ . Further, the mapping  $\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$  defined by  $\psi(x) := \varphi_x$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , which implies that  $\text{Inn}(G)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and, by the First Isomorphism Theorem, that  $G/Z(G) \cong \text{Inn}(G)$  (cf. Hw10.Q46).

Let us turn back to the group  $S_n$ . As an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.15 we get the following:

PROPOSITION 7.16. For any  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\text{Inn}(S_n) \cong S_n$ .

In the following we will show that for any  $n \geq 3$ , where  $n \neq 6$ , every automorphism of  $S_n$  is an inner automorphism. Let us first consider what an automorphism is doing with transpositions.

LEMMA 7.17. Let  $n \geq 3$ , where  $n \neq 6$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S_n)$  and  $(i, j)$  a transposition in  $S_n$ . Then  $\varphi(i, j)$  is a transposition.

*Proof.* The transposition  $(i, j)$  has order 2, and therefore,  $\varphi(i, j)$  has order 2 (see Hw9.Q44 (c)). Thus,  $\varphi(i, j)$  must be the product of  $r$  disjoint transpositions where  $2r \leq n$ . There are  $\binom{n}{2}$  transpositions in  $S_n$ , and there are

$$\underbrace{\binom{n}{2} \cdot \binom{n-2}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \binom{n-2(r-1)}{2}}_{r \text{ factors}} \cdot \frac{1}{r!}$$

products of  $r$  disjoint transpositions. Now, if  $\varphi((i, j))$  is a product of  $r$  disjoint transpositions, then for every transposition  $(k, \ell)$ ,  $\varphi((k, \ell))$  is also a product of  $r$  disjoint transpositions. Indeed, by Proposition 7.6 there exists a permutation  $\rho$  such that  $\rho(i, j)\rho^{-1} = (k, \ell)$ , and since  $\varphi$  is an automorphism we get  $\varphi(\rho(i, j)\rho^{-1}) = \varphi(\rho)\varphi((i, j))\varphi(\rho)^{-1} = \varphi((k, \ell))$ , and therefore, by Proposition 7.6 again,  $\varphi((i, j))$  has the same cycle structure as  $\varphi((k, \ell))$ . So, the number of transpositions in  $S_n$  must correspond to the number of products of  $r$  disjoint transpositions in  $S_n$ . In other words, we must have

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-2r+1)}{2^r \cdot r!},$$

or equivalently,

$$2^{r-1} \cdot r! = (n-2)(n-3) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-2r+1). \quad (*)$$

Obviously, equation (\*) holds for  $r = 1$ . So, let us consider the other cases:

For  $r = 2$  we get  $4 = (n-2)(n-3)$ , which is impossible.

For  $r = 3$  we get  $24 = (n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)$  which holds just for  $n = 6$ , but we excluded this case.

For  $n \geq 4$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2)(n-3) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-2r+1) &\stackrel{n \geq 2r}{\geq} (2r-2)(2r-3) \cdot \dots \cdot 1 = (2r-2)! = \\ &= \underbrace{(2r-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (r+1)}_{r-2 \text{ factors, each } > 4} \cdot r! \geq 4^{r-2} \cdot r! = 2^{2(r-2)} \cdot r! > 2^{r-1} \cdot r!, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that also in this case the equation (\*) does not hold.

Thus,  $r = 1$ , or in other words,  $\varphi((i, j))$  is a transposition.  $\dashv$

**THEOREM 7.18.** Let  $n \geq 3$ , where  $n \neq 6$ , then  $\text{Aut}(S_n) \cong S_n$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.16 it is enough to show that every automorphism of  $S_n$  is an inner automorphism. By Proposition 7.8 we know that  $S_n$  is generated by the transpositions  $(1, 2), (1, 3), \dots, (1, n)$ , so, it is enough to consider these transpositions. By Lemma 7.17 we know that for any  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S_n)$  and for any  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\varphi((1, i))$  is a transposition. Pick any two distinct numbers  $i, j$  from the set  $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$  and let

$$\varphi((1, i)) = (k, \ell) \text{ and } \varphi((1, j)) = (p, q).$$

Now,  $(1, i)(1, j) = (1, j, i)$  and has order 3, and hence,  $(k, \ell)(p, q)$  must also have order 3, which implies that two of the four element  $k, \ell, p, q$  must be equal. Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $p = k$ . Then  $\varphi((1, i)) = (k, \ell)$  and  $\varphi((1, j)) = (k, q)$ . If  $n > 3$ , then we can pick an number  $h \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{1, i, j\}$ . Let  $\varphi((1, h)) = (r, s)$ , then  $\{r, s\}$  has one element in common with  $\{k, \ell\}$  and with  $\{k, q\}$ . If  $r = \ell$  and  $s = q$ , then we would have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((1, j, i)) &= \varphi((1, i)(1, j)) = (k, \ell)(k, q) = (k, q, \ell) = \\ &= (q, \ell, k) = (k, q)(\ell, q) = \varphi((1, j)(1, h)) = \varphi((1, h, j)), \end{aligned}$$

but this is a contradiction since  $\varphi$  is injective and  $(1, j, i) \neq (1, h, j)$ . So, we have either  $r = k$  or  $s = k$ .

In general, for every  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  there exists a unique  $\pi(i) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}$  such that

$$\varphi((1, i)) = (k, \pi(i)).$$

Further, it is not hard to see that we stipulate  $\pi(1) := k$ , then  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence, by Proposition 7.6 we finally have

$$\varphi((1, i)) = (k, \pi(i)) = (\pi(1), \pi(i)) = \pi(1, i) \pi^{-1},$$

which shows that every automorphism of  $S_n$  is an inner automorphism, which completes the proof.  $\dashv$



What about  $\text{Aut}(S_6)$ ? One can show that there exists an automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S_6)$  such that  $\varphi(i, j)$  is the product of 3 disjoint transpositions, and hence, by Proposition 7.6,  $\varphi \notin \text{Inn}(S_6)$ . Moreover one can show that  $|\text{Aut}(S_6)| = 1440$ , and since  $\text{Inn}(S_6) \cong S_6$  and  $|S_6| = 720$ , this implies that  $|\text{Aut}(S_6) : \text{Inn}(S_6)| = 2$ , and therefore  $\text{Inn}(S_6) \triangleleft \text{Aut}(S_6)$  (we omit the proof).