

Math 110, Summer 2012 Long Homework 3 (SOME) SOLUTIONS

Due Tuesday 7/10, 10.10am, in Etcheverry 3109. Late homework will not be accepted.

Please write your answers in complete English sentences (where applicable). Make your arguments rigorous - if something is 'obvious', state why this is the case. Full credit will be awarded to those solutions that are complete and answer the question posed in a coherent manner.

1. In this problem you will prove that commuting diagonalisable matrices can be *simultaneously diagonalised*.¹

Let $f, g \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, where V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space.

a) Suppose that U is a g -invariant subspace of V . Let $E_{\mu_i}^g$ be the μ_i -eigenspace of g (so that μ_i is an eigenvalue of g). Show that

$$(E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U = (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U),$$

as follows:

i) Show that

$$E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U + \cdots + E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U \subset (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U.$$

ii) If $W_i = E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U$ show that

$$W_i \cap \left(\sum_{j \neq i} W_j \right) = \{0_V\}, \text{ for each } i.$$

Hence, we have

$$E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U + \cdots + E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U = (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

Suppose that $u \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U$. Then, $u \in U$ and

$$u = e_1 + \cdots + e_k,$$

with $e_i \in E_{\mu_i}^g$. You are now going to show that $e_i \in U$, for each i , thereby showing that

$$u \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

Let

$$\Gamma_1 = \{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \mid e_i \in U\}, \Gamma_2 = \{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \mid e_i \notin U\},$$

so that $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \{1, \dots, k\}$.

iii) Show that if $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ then $u \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U)$.

iv) Show that if $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ then

$$u - \sum_{j \in \Gamma_1} e_j \in U.$$

Deduce that if $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ then there is some nonzero $w \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U$, such that

$$w = e_{i_1} + \cdots + e_{i_s}, \text{ with } e_{i_j} \in E_{\mu_{i_j}}^g \text{ and } e_{i_j} \notin U.$$

¹In fact, if we have a family (A_i) of diagonalisable matrices, such that $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$, for every i, j , then there is a common eigenbasis of all of the A_i : this means there is a *single* matrix P such that

$$P^{-1} A_i P = D_i,$$

with D_i diagonal, for every i .

v) Suppose $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{w \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U \mid w = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}, \text{ with } e_{i_j} \in E_{\mu_{i_j}}^g \text{ and } e_{i_j} \notin U\}.$$

By iv) we know that $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$. Let $w \in \mathcal{L}$ with

$$w = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}.$$

Show that it is not possible for $s = 1$. Deduce that we must have $s \geq 2$.

vi) Let $w \in \mathcal{L}$ with

$$w = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s},$$

and such that s is minimal. Using v) deduce that there is some $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that e_{i_j} is an eigenvector associated to a nonzero eigenvalue μ_{i_j} and show that

$$g(w) - \mu_{i_j} w \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Explain why we have contradicted the minimality condition for w .

vii) Explain why $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ and deduce that

$$(E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U \subset (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

- b) Deduce that if g admits a basis of eigenvectors then there is a basis of U (we are still assuming that U is g -invariant) consisting of eigenvectors of g . (Hint: Use that $E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g = V$ and a.)
- c) Suppose that $f \circ g = g \circ f$ (we say that f and g commute). Let E_{λ}^f be the λ -eigenspace of f . Prove that E_{λ}^f is g -invariant. (Hint: You must show that if $v \in E_{\lambda}^f$ then $g(v) \in E_{\lambda}^f$.)
- d) Deduce that if f and g commute and there exists a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of g then there exists a basis of $E_{\lambda_i}^f$ consisting of eigenvectors of g , for every eigenvalue λ_i of f . (Hint: Use b) and c.)
- e) Prove: if f and g commute and there exists two bases of V , one consisting of eigenvectors of f and the other consisting of eigenvectors of g , then there is a single basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of both f and g .
- f) Prove: Let $A, B \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $AB = BA$. Suppose that A and B are both diagonalisable. Then, there is an invertible matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}AP = D_1, \quad P^{-1}BP = D_2,$$

with D_i a diagonal matrix. (Hint: This follows from e.)

g) Find an invertible matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}AP = D_1, \quad P^{-1}BP = D_2,$$

with D_i diagonal, and where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(You do not need to show that $AB = BA$ or that A and B are diagonalisable - although you should be able to see that they are diagonalisable by looking at them.)

Solution:

a) i) Let $u \in E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U + \dots + E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U$. Then,

$$u = e_1 + \dots + e_k,$$

where $e_i \in E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U$, by definition of the sum of subspaces. Hence, each $e_i \in U$ since $E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U \subset U$, for each i , so that $u = e_1 + \dots + e_k \in U$ (U is a subspace). Moreover,

$$u = e_1 + \dots + e_k \in E_{\mu_1}^g + \dots + E_{\mu_k}^g,$$

as each $e_i \in E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U \subset E_{\mu_i}^g$. By a result obtained in class we have

$$E_{\mu_1}^g + \dots + E_{\mu_k}^g = E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g,$$

so that we have $u \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U$.

ii) Let $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $x \in W_i \cap (\sum_{j \neq i} W_j)$. Then, $x \in W_i$ and

$$x = \sum_{j \neq i} y_j \in \sum_{j \neq i} W_j.$$

So, if $x \neq 0_V$ then, for some $j \neq i$, we must have $y_j \neq 0_V$, so that

$$x - \sum_{j \neq i} y_j = 0_V,$$

is a nontrivial linear relation among $\{x\} \cup \{y_j \mid j \neq i\}$. However, since each of the elements in this set are eigenvectors for distinct eigenvalues then this set must be linearly independent so that it is not possible to have a nontrivial linear relation among these vectors. Hence, we must have $x = 0_V$.

iii) Suppose that $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$. Then, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have $e_i \in U$ and $e_i \in E_{\mu_i}^g$. Hence, for every i , we have $e_i \in E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U$ so that

$$u = e_1 + \dots + e_k \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \dots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

iv) Suppose that $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then, since $u \in U$ and $\sum_{j \in \Gamma_1} e_j \in U$ (because U is a subspace and each $e_j \in U$, when $j \in \Gamma_1$) we have

$$u - \sum_{j \in \Gamma_1} e_j \in U.$$

Hence, if $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ then there is some nonzero $w \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U$ satisfying the required conditions: namely,

$$w = \sum_{j \in \Gamma_2} e_j.$$

v) Let $w \in \mathcal{L}$ be such that

$$w = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s},$$

with $e_{i_j} \in E_{\mu_{i_j}}^g$ but $e_{i_j} \notin U$. If $s = 1$ then $w = e_{i_1} \in (E_{\mu_{i_1}}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U$ so that $e_{i_1} \in U$. However, by the definition of \mathcal{L} and since $w \in \mathcal{L}$, we can't have $e_{i_1} \in U$. Hence, we must have $s \geq 2$.

vi) Let $w \in \mathcal{L}$ so that

$$w = e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s},$$

with $e_{i_j} \in E_{\mu_{i_j}}^g$ and $e_{i_j} \notin U$, for each j . Suppose that s is minimal. By v) we know that $s \geq 2$ so that, since each e_{i_j} is an eigenvector for a distinct eigenvalue, we must have

some $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that e_{i_j} is an eigenvector with nonzero associated eigenvalue (else, $w = e_{i_1} \in \mathcal{L}$ contradicting what we've shown in v). Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(w) - \mu_{i_j} w &= g(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}) - \mu_{i_j}(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}) \\ &= g(e_{i_1}) + \dots + g(e_{i_s}) - \mu_{i_j}(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}) \\ &= \mu_{i_1} e_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_s} e_{i_s} - \mu_{i_j}(e_{i_1} + \dots + e_{i_s}) \\ &= (\mu_{i_1} - \mu_{i_j})e_{i_1} + \dots + (\mu_{i_{j-1}} - \mu_{i_j})e_{i_{j-1}} + 0_V + (\mu_{i_{j+1}} - \mu_{i_j})e_{i_{j+1}} + \dots + (\mu_{i_s} - \mu_{i_j})e_{i_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_{i_r} \neq \mu_{i_t}$, for $r \neq t$, we see that $f_i = (\mu_{i_j} - \mu_{i_t})e_{i_t} \notin U$ (because if $f_i \in U$ then we could scale by $(\mu_{i_j} - \mu_{i_t})^{-1}$ to obtain that $e_{i_t} \in U$, which is absurd as e_{i_t} is assumed to be not in U). Hence,

$$g(w) - \mu_{i_j} w \in \mathcal{L}.$$

However, $g(w) - w$ is a sum of $s - 1$ vectors, contradicting the minimality condition of w .

vii) Hence, our initial assumption that $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ must be false, so that $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ and, by iii), we must have

$$u \in (E_{\mu_1}^g \cap U) \oplus \dots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

The result follows.

b) If V admits a basis consisting of eigenvectors of g then we must have

$$E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g = V.$$

Hence, by a), we have

$$U = V \cap U = (E_{\mu_1}^g \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\mu_k}^g) \cap U = (E_{\mu_1} \cap U) \oplus \dots \oplus (E_{\mu_k}^g \cap U).$$

Thus, we find a basis \mathcal{B} of U by finding a basis \mathcal{B}_i (possibly empty) of each $E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U$ and since $E_{\mu_i}^g \cap U \subset E_{\mu_i}^g$ we have that \mathcal{B}_i consists of eigenvectors of g . Hence, there is a basis of U consisting of eigenvectors of g .

c) Let $v \in E_{\lambda}^f$, we want to show that $g(v) \in E_{\lambda}^f$, so that, if $g(v) \neq 0_V$, then $g(v)$ is an eigenvector of f with associated eigenvalue λ . Now,

$$f(g(v)) = g(f(v)) = g(\lambda v) = \lambda g(v),$$

so that $g(v) \in E_{\lambda}^f = \{w \in V \mid f(w) = \lambda w\}$.

d) By c) we see that, for every λ_i , we have $E_{\lambda_i}^f$ is g -invariant. Hence, by b) since there is a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of g then we can find a basis of $E_{\lambda_i}^f$ consisting of eigenvectors of g , for every λ_i .

e) We just need to combine d) and the assumption that there is a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of f . In this case, we must have

$$E_{\lambda_1}^f \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_l}^f = V.$$

By d) we can find a basis \mathcal{C}_i of each $E_{\lambda_i}^f$ consisting of eigenvectors of g . Since $\mathcal{C}_i \subset E_{\lambda_i}^f$ the vectors in \mathcal{C}_i are also eigenvectors of f . Since the above sum is direct, we have that

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_l,$$

is a basis of V . Moreover, \mathcal{C} consists of eigenvectors of both f and g .

f) The assumptions on A, B allow us to apply e) to the morphisms $T_A, T_B \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We see that there is a simultaneous eigenbasis \mathcal{C} of both T_A, T_B . If $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ then denoting

$$P = [c_1 \cdots c_n] \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

we have that

$$P^{-1}AP = P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow S^{(n)}}[T_A]_{S^{(n)}}P_{S^{(n)} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}} = [T_A]_{\mathcal{C}} = D_1,$$

and

$$P^{-1}BP = P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow S^{(n)}}[T_B]_{S^{(n)}}P_{S^{(n)} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}} = [T_B]_{\mathcal{C}} = D_2,$$

with both D_1, D_2 diagonal.

g) The above theory tells us that in order to determine a simultaneous eigenbasis for A and B we must proceed as follows: each eigenspace E_{λ}^A of A is B -invariant so that B defines an endomorphism of E_{λ}^A (by c) above). We then have that this endomorphism is diagonalisable (this is d) above) so we need can find an eigenbasis for this endomorphism of E_{λ}^A . Then, we can use these eigenbases for each eigenspace of A to determine a simultaneous eigenbasis for both A and B .

We have that

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = (2 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda),$$

so that $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2$ are the eigenvalues of A . Then, it is easy to see that

$$E_{\lambda_1} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad E_{\lambda_2} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Thus, since each eigenspace of A has dimension 1 and is B -invariant, we must have that

$$B \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in E_{\lambda_1}, \quad B \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in E_{\lambda_2}.$$

This is also easy to verify directly. Hence, a simultaneous eigenbasis of A and B is

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

so that if we take

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then we have

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^{-1}BP = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$