

- Ref:
- Eisenbud, Fløystad, Schreyer: "Sheaf cohom..." (2003)
 - Beilinson, Ginzburg, Soergel: "Koszul duality patterns..."
 - Bernstein, Gelfand, Gelfand: "Algebraic vector bundles..."
 - Macaulay 2

How Tate resolutions compute cohomology of coherent sheaves on projective spaces.

1. Setup of Koszul duality:

deg $V = 1$
deg $V^* = -1$

$V = k\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $V^* = k\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $\langle e_i, x_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$

$R \subseteq V \otimes_k V \rightsquigarrow A = T(V) / (R)$

"Koszul algebra"

↑ two sided ideal

$\rightsquigarrow R^\perp \subseteq V^* \otimes_k V^* \rightarrow R^*$

ie resolution is linear.

$A^\dagger = T(V^*) / (R^\perp)$ "dual Koszul algebra"

$A^\dagger = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} A^\dagger_d$ \uparrow deg = -d.

Consider the 'graded dual' of A^\dagger ,

$(A^\dagger)^\otimes = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (A^\dagger_d)^*$ \uparrow deg d.

Let N be graded A^\dagger -module, and consider (left)

$$a \otimes n \mapsto \sum a x_i \otimes e_i n \quad (2)$$

$$F(N): \dots \rightarrow A(i) \otimes_k N_{-i} \rightarrow A(i+1) \otimes_k N_{-i-1} \rightarrow \dots$$

consider N_{-i} as (ungraded) v.s.
 \underline{i} grading comes from LH term.

• Suppose N is a complex

$$\dots \rightarrow N^j \rightarrow N^{j+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

and consider the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & A(i) \otimes_k N_{-i}^j & \rightarrow & A(i+1) \otimes_k N_{-i-1}^j & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & A(i) \otimes_k N_{-i}^{j+1} & \rightarrow & A(i+1) \otimes_k N_{-i-1}^{j+1} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{array}$$

$\leadsto F(N) = \text{total complex of double cx}$ (direct sum)

• For M a graded (left) A -module.

$$G(M): \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(A^i(i), M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(A^i(i+1), M_{i+1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

\uparrow graded hom. \parallel
 $(A^i)^{\otimes}(-i) \otimes_k M_i$

For M cx $\leadsto G(M)$ total (direct product) cx of double cx.

Adjunct
functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(A^!) & \xrightarrow{F} & C(A) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 A^! \text{-mods} & \xleftarrow{G} & A \text{-mods}
 \end{array}$$

(3)

$$F(N) = A \otimes_k (A^!) \otimes_A N$$

$$G(M) = \text{Hom}_A (A \otimes_k A^!, M)$$

"adjunction is
tensor-hom
adjunction"

Tate Resolutions

• Now, $A = \text{Sym}(V) = S(V)$

$$A^! = E(V^*)$$

$$(A^!)^{\oplus} = \hat{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim V} \wedge^i V$$

Note: $\hat{E} \cong E$ as left E -module; \hat{E} is "self-injective".

• For N graded f.g. (left) E -module.

Free resolution: $\dots \rightarrow T^{-2} \rightarrow T^{-1} \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow N \quad (1)$

Cofree (injective)
resolution

$$N \rightarrow T^1 \rightarrow T^2 \rightarrow \dots \quad (2)$$

where $T^i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{E}(-j)^{\delta_{ij}}$.

\rightsquigarrow splice together: $\dots \rightarrow T^{-2} \rightarrow T^{-1} \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T^1 \rightarrow T^2 \rightarrow \dots$

"TATE RESOLUTION"

(4)

Properties:

- 1) Doubly infinite (if N not projective)
- 2) unique up to htpy
- 3) Exact everywhere.

Assume (1), (2) are minimal free/cofree resolutions
(ie $T \otimes k$ has trivial differentials)

- 4) Unique up to isomorphism
- 5) The whole cx is determined by any of its differentials

Tate resolution.

Everywhere exact cx of graded free f-g.
(left) E-modules.

Tate(E) = htpy category

Tate^{min}(E) = htpy category of min. Tate res^{ns}.

3. Coherent sheaves

Let \mathcal{F} be coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(V) = \text{Proj}(S(V))$

$\rightsquigarrow M = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{F}(d))$, graded $S(V)$ -module.
 \parallel
 $H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{F}(d))$

Consider $G(M): \dots \rightarrow \hat{E}(-d) \otimes_k H^0(P(V), \mathcal{F}(d))$ (5)

$$\downarrow$$

$$\hat{E}(-d-1) \otimes_k H^0(P(V), \mathcal{F}(d+1))$$

This sequence is exact for some $d \rightarrow \dots$

\rightsquigarrow obtain a Tate resolution $T(\mathcal{F})$

(find d where exactness holds, consider image and take projective resolution)

Theorem:
(EFS) $\parallel T^P(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\dim P(V)} E(i-p) \otimes_k H^i(P(V), \mathcal{F}(p-i))$

Ex 1: $N=k$ over E

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \hat{E}(-n-1) \otimes_k \wedge^n V^* \otimes V^* & \rightarrow & \hat{E}(-n) \otimes_k \wedge^n V^* & \rightarrow & \hat{E} & \rightarrow & \hat{E}(-1) \otimes_k V \rightarrow \hat{E}(-2) \otimes_k S_2(V) \rightarrow \dots \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & & & \\ & & k & & & & \end{array}$$

This is a Tate resolution. (= $T(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)})$, under)

In fact: $D^b(\text{coh}(P(V))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tate}(E)$

(6)

Ex: $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathcal{I}_p \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Cohomology table.

6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	2	5	9	14
-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4

j

$H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_p(j-2))$
 $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_p(j-1))$
 $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_p(j))$

$T^{-3}(\mathcal{I}_p) = E(5)^6 \oplus E(4)^1$

Remark:

$$C(E) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} C(S)$$

$$D_{fg}^b(E) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} D_{fg}^b(S)$$

↓ quotient category

$\overline{E\text{-mod}} \simeq D^b(\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}(1)))$

12
Tate(E).